

$^{119}\text{Sn}(\text{p},\text{n}\gamma)$     1975Du05,1994Pa19

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. M. Symochko, E. Browne, J. K. Tuli		NDS 110,2945 (2009)	1-Dec-2008

1975Du05:  $E(p)<4$  MeV; 90% enriched target ( $4 \text{ mg/cm}^2$  thick); semi  $\gamma$ , measured DSA  $T_{1/2}$ .

1994Pa19:  $E(p)=4.529-5.553$  MeV; 86% enriched target ( $1 \text{ mg/cm}^2$ ); semi  $\gamma$ , measured neutron  $\Gamma(n)$  and proton  $\Gamma(p)=4.1$  keV from the decay of  $0^+$  analog state of  $^{120}\text{Sb}$ .

The level scheme is that proposed by 1975Du05.

 $^{119}\text{Sb}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>‡</sup>	T <sub>1/2</sub> <sup>#</sup>	Comments
0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>		
270.45 4	7/2 <sup>+</sup>		
644.02 4	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	>350 fs	$\Gamma(n)=20.3$ 76 keV from 1994Pa19.
699.84 6	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	>300 fs	$\Gamma(n)=14.2$ 76 keV from 1994Pa19.
970.7 10	9/2 <sup>+</sup>		
1048.51 8	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	>300 fs	
1212.70 5	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	>350 fs	
1327.23 11	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	>76 fs	
1338.69 10	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.07 ps +6-3	$\Gamma(n)=4.2$ 27 keV from 1994Pa19.
1413.23 8	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	0.12 ps +10-5	$\Gamma(n)=7.0$ 23 keV from 1994Pa19.
1487.60 7	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	>215 fs	$\Gamma(n)=2.5$ 10 keV from 1994Pa19.
1646.5 10	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	>450 fs	$\Gamma(n)=7.2$ 34 keV from 1994Pa19.
1749.63 6	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	23 fs +11-7	
1821.11 8	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	55 fs 22	$\Gamma(n)=7.5$ 27 keV from 1994Pa19.
1848.2 10		>130 fs	
1875.32 20	(1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2)	0.08 ps +21-4	
1982.0 10		0.11 ps +28-7	
2269.1 10	1/2 <sup>+</sup> ,3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	<14 fs	
2415.5 10	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0.12 ps +28-6	

<sup>†</sup> Deduced by evaluators from least-squares fit to  $\gamma$ -ray energies.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

<sup>#</sup> From DSA by 1975Du05.

 $\gamma(^{119}\text{Sb})$ 

E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>†</sup>	I <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> <sup>‡</sup>	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	J <sub>i</sub> <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>	E <sub>f</sub>	J <sub>f</sub> <sup><math>\pi</math></sup>
270.45 4	32	270.45	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
644.01 4	100	644.02	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
683.21 10	18	1327.23	(1/2 <sup>-</sup> )	644.02	1/2 <sup>+</sup>
694.5 3	8	1338.69	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	644.02	1/2 <sup>+</sup>
699.85 6	110	699.84	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
769.30 15	4	1413.23	3/2 <sup>-</sup>	644.02	1/2 <sup>+</sup>
778.10@ 15		1048.51	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	270.45	7/2 <sup>+</sup>
787.76 10	9	1487.60	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	699.84	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>
843.57 8	8	1487.60	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	644.02	1/2 <sup>+</sup>
970.65#	2	970.7	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
1048.50 8	23	1048.51	7/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
1105.57 8	3	1749.63	3/2 <sup>+</sup>	644.02	1/2 <sup>+</sup>
1121.30 10	4	1821.11	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	699.84	3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup>
1177.04 10	22	1821.11	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	644.02	1/2 <sup>+</sup>
1212.69 5	5	1212.70	9/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	5/2 <sup>+</sup>

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 $^{119}\text{Sn}(\text{p},\text{n}\gamma)$     1975Du05,1994Pa19 (continued)
 $\gamma(^{119}\text{Sb})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
1338.70 10	20	1338.69	$3/2^+$	0	$5/2^+$	1848.2 <sup>#</sup>	5	1848.2		0	$5/2^+$
1413.19 8	41	1413.23	$3/2^-$	0	$5/2^+$	1875.30 20	11	1875.32	$(1/2^+, 3/2)$	0	$5/2^+$
1646.5 <sup>#</sup>	10	1646.5	$1/2^+$	0	$5/2^+$	1982.0 <sup>#</sup>	3	1982.0		0	$5/2^+$
1749.65 8	18	1749.63	$3/2^+$	0	$5/2^+$	2269.1 <sup>#</sup>	4	2269.1	$1/2^+, 3/2^+, 5/2^+$	0	$5/2^+$
1821.3 <sup>@</sup> 3		1821.11	$1/2^+$	0	$5/2^+$	2415.5 <sup>#</sup>	2	2415.5	$1/2^+$	0	$5/2^+$

<sup>†</sup>  $E(\gamma)$ 's are those measured in the  $^{119}\text{Te}$   $\beta^+$  decay (1975Du04), unless otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> Relative to  $I(644\gamma)=100$  at  $E(\text{p})=4$  MeV.

<sup>#</sup> Uncertainty of  $E(\gamma)$ 's were not given.

<sup>@</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

