

(HI,xn γ) 1985QuZZ

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	K. Kitao	NDS 75,99 (1995)	1-Feb-1993

1985QuZZ: $^{114}\text{Cd}(^7\text{Li},3\text{n}\gamma)$ E=29 MeV, $^{110}\text{Pd}(^{11}\text{B},3\text{n}\gamma)$ E=43 MeV; pulsed-beam, excitation functions, γ , $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(\theta)$.

1983Va14: $^{114}\text{Cd}(^7\text{Li},3\text{n}\gamma)$ E=29 MeV, $^{110}\text{Pd}(^{11}\text{B},3\text{n}\gamma)$ E=51 MeV; pulsed-beam, excitation functions, γ , $\gamma\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(\theta)$.

The level scheme is that given by 1985QuZZ, but levels at 510- and 2917 keV has been not confirmed in $(\alpha,\text{n}\gamma)$.

 ^{118}Sb Levels

E(level) [†]	J [‡]	T _{1/2}	Comments
250 6	8 ⁻	5.00 h 2	Additional information 1. E(level): from Adopted Levels.
511.0 3			
568.18 21	(7) ⁻ @		
964.80 21	7 ⁽⁺⁾ @	22.4 ns 5	T _{1/2} : from 1983Va14. Other: 23 ns 5 (1985QuZZ).
1187.13 19	7 ⁻ @		
1224.21& 21	8 [#]		
1426.81& 24	9 ⁻		
1571.0 3	10 ⁻		
1732.8 5	11 ⁺		
1753.1& 4	10 ⁻		
2115.2& 5	11 ⁻		
2402.8 6	12 ⁺		
2502.7& 5	12 ⁻		
2918.1& 6	(13 ⁻)		E(level): assigned as $\Delta J=1$ band member from $\gamma\gamma$ coin.

[†] From a least-squares fit to E(γ 's) except for 250 level.

[‡] Suggested by authors based on results on $\gamma(\theta)$. See also the comment on each level.

From systematics of the base level of the $\Delta J=1$ band in even Sb isotopes.

@ From similar level sequence in decay of the band head with $J^\pi=8^-$ in the $\Delta J=1$ band in ^{116}Sb .

& Member of negative-parity $\Delta J=1$ band.

 $\gamma(^{118}\text{Sb})$

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\ddagger \ddagger}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult. ^a	δ	α^b	Comments
37.1 1		1224.21	8 ⁻	1187.13	7 ⁻				E $_{\gamma}$: from adopted gammas.
161.8 3	45.2 3	1732.8	11 ⁺	1571.0	10 ⁻	D			Mult.: stretched d.
202.8 3	35.0 2	1426.81	9 ⁻	1224.21	8 ⁻				E $_{\gamma}$: doublet.
222.6 3	16.1 2	1187.13	7 ⁻	964.80	7 ⁽⁺⁾				Mult.: mult=(M1+E2) for a complex peak.
261.0 3	58.7 3	511.0		250	8 ⁻				Mult.: mult=stretched Q from A ₂ and A ₄ values, but this is inconsistent with J $^{\pi}$ assignments for the 1187- or 964 level.
318.4 3	100.0 4	568.18	(7) ⁻	250	8 ⁻				E $_{\gamma}$: doublet. A part of the intensity is considered as that of a transition depopulating the 1186 level from results of ($\alpha,\text{n}\gamma$).
326.3 3	29.8 2	1753.1	10 ⁻	1426.81	9 ⁻	(M1+E2)	≈ 0.2	≈ 0.0254	$\alpha(K) \approx 0.0220$; $\alpha(L) \approx 0.00276$; $\alpha(M) \approx 0.00054$; $\alpha(N+) \approx 0.00013$
362.1 3	18.4 2	2115.2	11 ⁻	1753.1	10 ⁻	(M1+E2)	≈ 0.2	≈ 0.0194	$\alpha(K) \approx 0.0168$; $\alpha(L) \approx 0.00210$; $\alpha(M) \approx 0.00041$
387.5 3	11.0 2	2502.7	12 ⁻	2115.2	11 ⁻	(M1+E2)	≈ 0.2	≈ 0.0164	$\alpha(K) \approx 0.0142$; $\alpha(L) \approx 0.00176$; $\alpha(M) \approx 0.00035$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

(HI,xn γ) 1985QuZZ (continued) $\gamma(^{118}\text{Sb})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ^a	Comments
396.8 3	37.1 3	964.80	7 ⁽⁺⁾	568.18	(7) ⁻		
415.4 @ 3		2918.1	(13) ⁻	2502.7	12 ⁻		E_γ : other: 406 (1983Va14).
529.1 #c 4	&	1753.1	10 ⁻	1224.21	8 ⁻		
619.0 @ 3	&	1187.13	7 ⁻	568.18	(7) ⁻		
670.0 3	25.2 4	2402.8	12 ⁺	1732.8	11 ⁺	D	
675.9 @c 3		1187.13	7 ⁻	511.0			
688.4 #c 4	&	2115.2	11 ⁻	1426.81	9 ⁻		
714.9 3	11.0 4	964.80	7 ⁽⁺⁾	250	8 ⁻	D	Mult.: stretched d.
749.6 # 4	&	2502.7	12 ⁻	1753.1	10 ⁻		
937.0 3	46.5 4	1187.13	7 ⁻	250	8 ⁻		
1176.6 3	14.5 4	1426.81	9 ⁻	250	8 ⁻	D	
1321.0 3	64.5 5	1571.0	10 ⁻	250	8 ⁻	Q	Mult.: stretched Q.

^d From [1985QuZZ](#) unless otherwise noted.^e Normalized to $I(318.4\gamma)=100$.^f Given only in authors' drawing. Energy value is from two cascading γ 's (362.1 γ , 326.3 γ) from the 2115 level.^g Observed also in $(\alpha, n\gamma)$, but not considered as a transition depopulating this level.^h No intensity was given by authors.ⁱ From $\gamma(\theta)$ ([1985QuZZ](#)).^j Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.^k Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

