

$^{117}\text{I}$   $\beta^+$  decay 1985Le10

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	ENSDF	1-Mar-2009

Parent:  $^{117}\text{I}$ :  $E=0$ ;  $J^\pi=(5/2)^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=2.22$  min 4;  $Q(\beta^+)=4.66\times 10^3$  3;  $\% \beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{117}\text{I}$  produced from  $^{16}\text{O}$  (86 MeV)+  $^{104}\text{Pd}$ , ms.

$^{12}\text{C}$  (50-70MeV)+Ag (1985Le10).

Others: 1969Ha03, 1969Se05, 1974Ha10, 1969La33.

$\beta$ -strength function: see 1975Ko01, 1975Ho03.

 $^{117}\text{Te}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ ‡	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	$1/2^+$	62 min 2	
274.4 2	$5/2^+$		
296.0 5	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$		$J^\pi$ : suggested by the 296 $\gamma$ (1985Le10).
325.9 3	$(3/2^+)$		
577.8 5			
681.4?† 5			
935.7 5			
958.4?† 5			
964.4 5			
1244.4 5			
1299.3? 1			
1577.3 5			

† Based on deexciting transition to 274 level. This transition could feed 296 level instead, in which case E(level) would be larger by 21.6 keV. In the case of the 681 level, the level at this energy is established by data in other data sets.

‡ From 1985Le10, but not adopted.

 $\epsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ †	$I\epsilon$ †	Log $ft$	$I(\epsilon + \beta^+)$ †	Comments
$(3.08\times 10^3)$ 3)	1577.3	0.11 4	0.10 3	6.64 15	0.21 7	av $E\beta=925$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.393$ 10; $\epsilon L=0.0517$ 13; $\epsilon M+=0.0136$ 4
$(3.70\times 10^3)$ 3)	964.4	0.46 11	0.18 4	6.54 11	0.64 15	av $E\beta=1207$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.237$ 6; $\epsilon L=0.0310$ 8; $\epsilon M+=0.00817$ 21
$(3.70\times 10^3)$ 3)	958.4?	0.39 13	0.15 5	6.61 15	0.54 18	av $E\beta=1210$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.236$ 6; $\epsilon L=0.0308$ 8; $\epsilon M+=0.00813$ 21
$(3.72\times 10^3)$ 3)	935.7	1.2 3	0.43 11	6.15 11	1.6 4	av $E\beta=1220$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.231$ 6; $\epsilon L=0.0303$ 8; $\epsilon M+=0.00798$ 20
$(4.33\times 10^3)$ 3)	325.9	70 5	14 1	4.78 4	84 6	av $E\beta=1505$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.143$ 4; $\epsilon L=0.0187$ 5; $\epsilon M+=0.00493$ 12
$(4.36\times 10^3)$ 3)	296.0	<8	<1	>5.8	<9	av $E\beta=1519$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.140$ 4; $\epsilon L=0.0183$ 5; $\epsilon M+=0.00482$ 11
$(4.39\times 10^3)$ 3)	274.4	<3	<0.6	>6.1	<4	av $E\beta=1529$ 14; $\epsilon K=0.138$ 4; $\epsilon L=0.0180$ 4; $\epsilon M+=0.00474$ 11

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{117}\text{I}\beta^+$  decay **1985Le10** (continued)

$\gamma(^{117}\text{Te})$

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: from assumption of Σ Ti(g.s.)=100.

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\alpha^@$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^\#$	Comments
21.6 5		296.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	274.4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1,E2)	4.×10 <sup>2</sup> 4	7 5	ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.8 5; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.17 22; ce(N)/(γ+ce)=0.03 5; ce(O)/(γ+ce)=0.002 4; Particle normalization/T <sub>1/2</sub> =0.03 5 Mult.: from level scheme. I <sub>(γ+ce)</sub> : based on the intensity balance.
30.1 5	0.1 1	325.9	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	296.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	(M1,E2)	7.×10 <sup>1</sup> 7		α(L)=6.E1 6; α(M)=12 12; α(N)=2.3 22; α(O)=0.18 17; α(N+..)=2.5 24 Mult.: from level scheme. I <sub>(γ+ce)</sub> : based on the intensity balance.
<sup>x</sup> 45.6 5	0.3 1	325.9	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	274.4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]	4.43 14	7 5	ce(K)/(γ+ce)=0.702 12; ce(L)/(γ+ce)=0.092 4; ce(M)/(γ+ce)=0.0184 8; ce(N)/(γ+ce)=0.00363 15; ce(O)/(γ+ce)=0.000392 16 Particle normalization/T <sub>1/2</sub> =0.00402 17
<sup>x</sup> 112.3 6	0.5 2								
<sup>x</sup> 122.2 5	0.3 1								
274.4 2	27.2 14	274.4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	0	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	E2	0.0516		α(K)=0.0427 6; α(L)=0.00715 11; α(M)=0.001453 21; α(N)=0.000280 4; α(O)=2.74×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4 α(N+..)=0.000308 5
296.0 5	0.5 <sup>†</sup> 5	296.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> ,5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0	1/2 <sup>+</sup>				
303.4 5	1.5 1	577.8		274.4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>				
325.8 2	100	325.9	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )	0	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1,E2)	0.0286 11		α(K)exp=0.025 3; α(L)exp=0.004 1 (1986Ma41) α(K)=0.0243 6; α(L)=0.0034 5; α(M)=0.00069 10; α(N)=0.000136 18; α(O)=1.41×10 <sup>-5</sup> 12 α(N+..)=0.000150 19
340.9 5	0.6 1	1299.3?		958.4?					
<sup>x</sup> 353.0 5	0.6 1								
407.0 5	1.1 1	681.4?		274.4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>				
<sup>x</sup> 475.9 5	0.6 1								
<sup>x</sup> 583.3 5	0.7 1								
609.8 5	0.6 3	935.7		325.9	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
638.9 5	3.3 2	964.4		325.9	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> )				
<sup>x</sup> 655.4 5	0.5 1								
661.5 5	6.8 20	935.7		274.4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>				
684.0 5	4.3 3	958.4?		274.4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>				
689.7 5	0.8 1	964.4		274.4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>				
<sup>x</sup> 695.8 5	1.7 1								
<sup>x</sup> 858.8 5	1.3 1								
935.5 5	0.6 1	935.7		0	1/2 <sup>+</sup>				

2

$^{117}\text{I} \beta^+$  decay [1985Le10](#) (continued)

$\gamma(^{117}\text{Te})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	$E_\gamma$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
948.6 5	0.4 1	1244.4		296.0	(3/2 <sup>+</sup> , 5/2 <sup>+</sup> )	<sup>x</sup> 1084.5 5	0.8 1			
964.4 5	0.9 1	964.4		0	1/2 <sup>+</sup>	<sup>x</sup> 1232.4 5	0.4 1			
969.9 5	0.7 1	1244.4		274.4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>	1302.9 5	1.7 1	1577.3	274.4	5/2 <sup>+</sup>
<sup>x</sup> 989.7 5	0.6 1									

† From coin data ([1985Le10](#)).

‡ For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.75 1.

# Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{117}\text{I} \beta^+$  decay 1985Le10

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$

$^{117}\text{I}_{53} \beta^+ \rightarrow ^{117}\text{Te}_{64}^{(5/2)^+}$   
 $Q_\beta = 4.66 \times 10^3 \text{ eV}$   
 $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100$   
 2.22 min 4

