

$^{116}\text{Sn}(p,n\gamma)$ 1991Ga15

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 111, 717 (2010)	1-Dec-2009

$E(p)=6.3,6.7,7.2$ MeV (1991Ga15); 5.2-7.4, 15 MeV (1976Ka19).

Measured: $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $T_{1/2}(\gamma)$, $n\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma\gamma$, excit (1991Ga15,1976Ka19) ce supermagnetic lens spectrometer, Si (1991Ga15).

The $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}$ were normalized using the 719 γ and 1160 γ in ^{117}Sb taken as E2.

Others: 1972MoYL, 1973ChYA, 1974SaYZ, 1975KaZG, 1976MoYV, 1976Va16.

A 571 γ reported by 1976Ka19 but not seen by 1991Ga15 has not been adopted.

The level scheme is as given by 1991Ga15.

 ^{116}Sb Levels

E(level)	$J^{\pi\dagger}$	$T_{1/2}^{\ddagger}$	Comments
0	3 ⁺		J^{π} : based on γ multiplicities.
93.856 19	1 ⁺		J^{π} : adopted value.
103.040 15	2 ⁺	<1 ns	
410.864 20	4 ⁺	<1 ns	
455.208 22	3 ⁻	2.1 ns 3	
466.103 21	3 ⁺	<1 ns	
503.09 5	5 ⁽⁺⁾	<1 ns	
518.051 23	2 ⁻	<1 ns	
546.34 6	4 ⁺	<1 ns	
550.866 22	2 ⁺		
574.59 3	2 ⁺		
612.84 3	4 ⁻	<1 ns	
654.32 5	3 ⁺	<1 ns	
731.714 21	1 ⁺	<1 ns	
735.42 3	4 ⁺	<1 ns	
815.13 3	3 ⁺		
820.92 4	5 ⁻	<1 ns	
841.10 5	6 ⁽⁺⁾	<1 ns	
881.65 3	3 ⁺		
917.74 3	1 ⁺		
948.29 4	4 ⁺		
997.95 21	(3 ⁻ ,4 ⁻)		
1045.40 4	(4) ⁻		
1065.24 5	(5) ⁺		
1076.76 5	(5,3) ⁺		
1087.54 5	4 ⁺ ,3 ⁺ ,2 ⁺		
1096.11 11	(2,3,4)		
1127.42 11	(2)		
1138.85 7	4 ⁺		
1158.49 7	1 ⁺		
1223.21 8	3,2		
1226.12 8			
1336.58 10	2,3		
1385.75 11	1,2,3		
1407.91 11	(3,4)		
1425.47 10	(1,2,3)		
1481.11 11	(1 to 4)		
1483.34 11	(2 to 5) ⁻		

[†] Spins have been determined from ce and by Hauser-Feshbach analysis of normalized cross sections.

[‡] From 1976Ka19.

$^{116}\text{Sn}(p,n\gamma)$ **1991Ga15** (continued)

							$\gamma(^{116}\text{Sb})$		
E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]		Comments	
92.23 4	7.6 13	503.09	5(+)	410.864	4+				
93.88 3	145 20	93.856	1+	0	3+	E2			
103.01 2	410 43	103.040	2+	0	3+	M1	B(M1)(W.u.)>0.020		
108.47 3	13.4 13	574.59	2+	466.103	3+				
157.14 9	$\leq 50^\#$	731.714	1+	574.59	2+				I_γ : derived from the level scheme.
157.60 3	≤ 50	612.84	4-	455.208	3-	M1	B(M1)(W.u.)>0.0052		
180.83 3	5.2 3	731.714	1+	550.866	2+	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.14$ 3		
208.09 2	4.8 5	820.92	5-	612.84	4-	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.090$ 20		
224.14 2	<1	1065.24	(5)+	841.10	6(+)	M1			
293.95 9	3.2 3	948.29	4+	654.32	3+	M1,E2			
^x 298.53 2	3.8 3								
307.79 3	18.3 12	410.864	4+	103.040	2+	E2,(M1)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0286$ 22		
330.9 1	2.6 3	881.65	3+	550.866	2+				
338.01 1	2.6 3	841.10	6(+)	503.09	5(+)	M1,(E2)			
341.34 3	1.8 3	1076.76	(5,3)+	735.42	4+	M1,E2			
^x 349.66 8	2.4 4								
352.16 2	115.0 18	455.208	3-	103.040	2+	E1	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0055$ 5 B(E1)(W.u.)= 2.6×10^{-6} 4 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0153$ 18		
363.06 2	95.0 20	466.103	3+	103.040	2+	M1,E2			
365.5 1	1 1	820.92	5-	455.208	3-				
366.87 2	21.9 5	917.74	1+	550.866	2+				
^x 374.56 5	2.4 3								
395.7 1	19.5 8	1127.42	(2)	731.714	1+				
401.9 2	1.8 3	948.29	4+	546.34	4+				
404.27 3	12.9 4	815.13	3+	410.864	4+				
410.91 3	100.0 16	410.864	4+	0	3+	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0117$ 10		
424.20 3	106.0 18	518.051	2-	93.856	1+	E1	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0038$ 8 B(E1)(W.u.)> 2.8×10^{-6}		
^x 426.13 2	1.4 3								
432.51 4	2.3 3	1045.40	(4)-	612.84	4-				
447.83 6	9.6 3	550.866	2+	103.040	2+	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0089$ 20		
455.19 7	24.2 6	455.208	3-	0	3+	E1	B(E1)(W.u.)= 2.5×10^{-7} 4		
457.01 2	39.4 8	550.866	2+	93.856	1+	M1,E2			
466.11 5	14.2 4	466.103	3+	0	3+	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0079$ 15		
470.79 4	16.5 18	881.65	3+	410.864	4+	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0085$ 16		
471.62 6	10.6 19	574.59	2+	103.040	2+	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0082$ 17		
479.9 2	25 9	997.95	(3-,4-)	518.051	2-	(M1,E2)			
^x 480.2 4	$\leq 90^\circ$								
480.8 4	$\leq 90^\circ$	574.59	2+	93.856	1+	(M1,E2)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0078$ 12 for 480 doublet.		
^x 482.3 1	4.1 13								
484.6 1	1.3 3	1138.85	4+	654.32	3+				
^x 491.45 7	3.2 3								
518.04 3	32.7 8	518.051	2-	0	3+	E1	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0018$ 5 B(E1)(W.u.)> 4.8×10^{-7}		
537.43 5	1.3 3	948.29	4+	410.864	4+				
^x 545.4 2	<2								
546.33 6	27.9 8	546.34	4+	0	3+	M1,(E2)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0066$ 10		
550.83 7	$\leq 175^\circ$ &	550.866	2+	0	3+	(M1,E2)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0054$ 6 for 550 doublet.		
551.4 2	$\leq 175^\circ$ &	654.32	3+	103.040	2+	(M1,E2)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0054$ 6 for 550 doublet.		
571.80 6	2.9 3	1226.12		654.32	3+				
574.5 1	11.0 6	574.59	2+	0	3+	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0043$ 14		
583.6 3	4.0 15	1158.49	1+	574.59	2+				
590.22 3	4.8 3	1045.40	(4)-	455.208	3-	M1,(E2)			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{116}\text{Sn}(p,n\gamma)$ **1991Ga15** (continued) $\gamma(^{116}\text{Sb})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	Comments
^x 612.38 9	$\leq 4.4^a$						
612.89 5	$\leq 4.4^\#$	612.84	4 ⁻	0	3 ⁺	E1	B(E1)(W.u.) $>1.00 \times 10^{-7}$
621.47 5	3.8 3	1087.54	4 ⁺ ,3 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	466.103	3 ⁺	M1	
628.66 3	71.0 19	731.714	1 ⁺	103.040	2 ⁺		
630.0 1	10.3 9	1096.11	(2,3,4)	466.103	3 ⁺		
^x 635.5 1	6.8 4						
637.87 2	17.4 6	731.714	1 ⁺	93.856	1 ⁺	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0037 8$
654.33 5	$\leq 13.5^b$	654.32	3 ⁺	0	3 ⁺	(M1,E2)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0043 9$ for 654 doublet.
^x 654.60 5	$\leq 13.5^b$						
^x 662.8 3	0.8 3						
672.6 2	0.8 3	1138.85	4 ⁺	466.103	3 ⁺		
^x 701.7 1	3.3 4						
705.2 1	19.0 7	1223.21	3,2	518.051	2 ⁻		
712.07 4	25.6 8	815.13	3 ⁺	103.040	2 ⁺	E2,(M1)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0023 4$
^x 720.7 2	7.2 9						
735.42 3	27.6 18	735.42	4 ⁺	0	3 ⁺	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0026 3$
^x 752.78 3	1.0 3						
762.0 1	18.2 12	1336.58	2,3	574.59	2 ⁺		
^x 775.87 2	1.8 3						
778.59 3	10.4 5	881.65	3 ⁺	103.040	2 ⁺		
^x 782.6 1	7.5 4						
785.7 2	9.0 5	1336.58	2,3	550.866	2 ⁺		
815.3 2	14.4 6	815.13	3 ⁺	0	3 ⁺		
823.7 2	18.9 7	917.74	1 ⁺	93.856	1 ⁺		
^x 862.5 2	5.6 4						
867.7 1	7.5 5	1385.75	1,2,3	518.051	2 ⁻		
870.5 1	11.1 5	1483.34	(2 to 5) ⁻	612.84	4 ⁻	M1,E2	
874.7 1	15.7 12	1425.47	(1,2,3)	550.866	2 ⁺		
^x 894.6 1	10.5 5						
907.0 2	2.0 4	1425.47	(1,2,3)	518.051	2 ⁻		
917.82 8	25.0 10	917.74	1 ⁺	0	3 ⁺		
948.28 6	4.4 8	948.29	4 ⁺	0	3 ⁺	E2,(M1)	
952.7 1	4.8 5	1407.91	(3,4)	455.208	3 ⁻		
^x 1012.7 1	<20						
1025.9 1	5.5 9	1481.11	(1 to 4)	455.208	3 ⁻		
^x 1038.8 2	3.5 5						
1055.48 8	29.4 12	1158.49	1 ⁺	103.040	2 ⁺		
1064.6 1	11.8 7	1158.49	1 ⁺	93.856	1 ⁺		
1087.4 1	3.6 10	1087.54	4 ⁺ ,3 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	0	3 ⁺		
1129.3 1	7.4 5	1223.21	3,2	93.856	1 ⁺		
1138.8 1	5.8 4	1138.85	4 ⁺	0	3 ⁺	E2,(M1)	
^x 1322.7 2	4.4 4						

† From 1991Ga15. Evaluators give the relative I_γ as given in the authors' table 2. Some of these are discrepant with the branching ratios in the authors' level scheme.

‡ From $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ (1991Ga15), mult without $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ are from adopted γ 's.

$I_\gamma=45 5$ for 157 doublet.

@ $I_\gamma=80 10$ for 480 doublet.

& $I_\gamma=165 10$ for 550 doublet.

^a $I_\gamma=4.0 4$ for 612 doublet.

^b $I_\gamma=12.2 13$ for 654 doublet.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{116}\text{Sn}(p,n\gamma)$ 1991Ga15

Level Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$





