

$^{116}\text{Pd} \beta^-$  decay    1989Ko22,1987AyZW,1986RoZN

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 111, 717 (2010)	1-Dec-2009

Parent:  $^{116}\text{Pd}$ : E=0;  $J^\pi=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=11.8$  s 4;  $Q(\beta^-)=2.61\times 10^3$  3; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0Activity:  $^{249}\text{Cf}(n,\text{F})$ , chem. (1986RoZN),  $^{238}\text{U}(p,\text{F})$  IGISOL (1987AyZW,1989Ko22).Measured:  $\gamma$ (semi),  $\gamma\gamma$ (semi),  $\beta\gamma$ (scin) (1975BrYN,1986RoZN,1987AyZW,1989Ko22).

1987AyZW compare the calculated  $\beta$  strength for a pure Gamow Teller ( $v g_{7/2}$ ) to ( $\pi g_{9/2}$ ) transition with the measured one. The experimental strength is considerably hindered, only 5.5% of the theoretical value.

The level scheme is almost similar in the three papers. 1987AyZW agree with 1986RoZN and suggest a level at 569, but no I $\gamma$  are given. 1989Ko22 find a 292 $\gamma$  in coin with the 101 $\gamma$  and suggest a level at 508.

1990Fo07 have measured the I $\gamma$  of 114.7  $\gamma$  and the Q( $\beta^-$ ). $^{116}\text{Ag}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}^\dagger$
0.0	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	2.68 min 10
91.02 15	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	
114.70 10	1 <sup>+</sup>	
215.9 4	(2)	
393.9 4	1 <sup>+</sup>	
508?		

† From Adopted Levels.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\ddagger\dagger}$	Log $f_f$	Comments
$(2.10\times 10^3$ 3)	508?	1	5.7	av $E\beta=833$ 14
$(2.22\times 10^3$ 3)	393.9	21 2	4.46 7	av $E\beta=885$ 14
$(2.50\times 10^3$ 3)	114.70	79 4	4.14 4	av $E\beta=1014$ 14

† The  $I\beta$  given by 1987AyZW are in agreement with those derived from  $I(\gamma+ce)$  (1986RoZN).

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{116}\text{Ag})$ I $\gamma$  normalization: from sum of(I( $\gamma+ce$ ) to g.s.)=100. I $\gamma$ (114.7 $\gamma$ )=86% 9 (1990Fo07) is consistent with adopted value.

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\dagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. $^\ddagger$	$\alpha @$	Comments
23.7 2	$\approx 50$	114.70	1 <sup>+</sup>	91.02	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	[E1]	1.380	$\alpha(L)=1.106$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.2063$
91.0 2	101 7	91.02	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	0.0	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	M1	0.546	$\alpha(K)=0.474$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.0590$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.01123$ 18; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00203$ 4
101.2 2	102 7	215.9	(2)	114.70	1 <sup>+</sup>	D	0.28 12	$\alpha(N)=0.00194$ 3; $\alpha(O)=8.93\times 10^{-5}$ 14
114.7 1	1000 24	114.70	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	(2 <sup>-</sup> )	E1	0.1080	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.65$ 15 $\alpha(K)\exp<0.55$ $\alpha(K)=0.0940$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.01142$ 17; $\alpha(M)=0.00215$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000381$ 6
								$\alpha(N)=0.000366$ 6; $\alpha(O)=1.501\times 10^{-5}$ 22 $\alpha(K)\exp<0.14$

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

**$^{116}\text{Pd}$   $\beta^-$  decay    1989Ko22, 1987AyZW, 1986RoZN (continued)** **$\gamma(^{116}\text{Ag})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. $^{\ddagger}$	$\alpha^{\circledast}$	Comments
178.0 3	148 10	393.9	1 <sup>+</sup>	215.9 (2)		D	0.06 3	$\alpha(K)\exp \approx 0.05$
216.1 4	24 5	215.9	(2)	0.0 (2 <sup>-</sup> )				
278.3 34	79 9	393.9	1 <sup>+</sup>	114.70 1 <sup>+</sup>				
292 <sup>&amp;</sup>		508?		215.9 (2)				$E_\gamma$ : reported by 1989Ko22.
302.6 5	41 15	393.9	1 <sup>+</sup>	91.02 (2 <sup>-</sup> )				$E_\gamma$ : only seen by 1989Ko22.

<sup>†</sup> From 1989Ko22, 1986RoZN give only  $I(\gamma+ce)$ .<sup>‡</sup> From the intensity ratio of the  $\gamma$ 's and the K-x rays observed in the spectrum gated by the 101 $\gamma$ ,  $\alpha(K)\exp(115\gamma) < 0.14$ , consistent only with E1.  $\alpha(K)\exp(115\gamma) = 0.093$  then was used by the authors to normalize  $I_\gamma$ ,  $I(ce)$  scales.<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0770 18.<sup>◎</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.<sup>&</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{116}\text{Pd} \beta^-$  decay    1989Ko22,1987AyZW,1986RoZN