

^{115}Cd β^- decay (53.46 h) [1978He08](#),[1967Ba18](#),[1974Bo26](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Update	Balraj Singh	ENSDF	03-Jun-2019

Parent: ^{115}Cd : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=1/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=53.46$ h 5; $Q(\beta^-)=1451.9$ 7; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

^{115}Cd - J^π , $T_{1/2}$: From ^{115}Cd Adopted Levels.

^{115}Cd - $Q(\beta^-)$: From [2017Wa10](#).

Previous dataset in [2012B110](#) evaluation corrected and updated by B. Singh (McMaster), June 03, 2019.

Literature (NSR) search did not indicate any newer experimental studies of this decay. The Q value has been adopted from [2017Wa10](#). Revised mixing ratio of 35.6-keV transition has been deduced using BrIccMixing code. E5 admixture in the 336-keV transition from the isomer is deduced from conversion data, and discussed in terms of realistic B(E5) transition probability. The β feedings and $\log ft$ values have been re-evaluated. [2013Ha24](#) is a theoretical study of ^{115}Cd decay with the calculation of partial half-lives of possible 4th-forbidden decays to 1418 and 1449 levels, both with $J^\pi=9/2^+$. Note that none of these two levels have been populated in the available experimental studies of the decay of the ground state of ^{115}Cd .

Additional information 1.

[1978He08](#): measured E_γ , I_γ using Compton-suppressed Ge detectors.

[1967Ba18](#): measured E_γ , I_γ , ce, $\beta\gamma(t)$ and $\gamma(ce)(t)$.

[1974Bo26](#): measured E_γ , I_γ , x rays, ce, $\beta\gamma$ -coin.

Others (γ and ce data): [1974HeYW](#), [1973Is03](#), [1967Mc13](#), [1966Gr14](#), [1966Pa07](#), [1964Bo19](#), [1963Ha24](#).

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$: [1975Bo29](#), [1973Ba29](#), [1970Be79](#), [1968Ba32](#), [1963Ha24](#).

 ^{115}In Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	9/2 ⁺	4.41×10 ¹⁴ [‡] y 25	
336.242 25	1/2 ⁻	4.486 [‡] h 4	$\%IT=95.0$ 7; $\% \beta^- = 5.0$ 7 Decay modes from the Adopted Levels.
597.14 3	3/2 ⁻	≤0.25 [#] ns	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta\gamma(t), \gamma\gamma(t)$ (1967Na04). Other: ≤0.17 ns (1967Be57).
828.59 [@] 3	3/2 ⁺	5.78 [#] ns 6	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta\gamma(t)$ (1971Sv01). Others: 5.99 ns 3 (1967Na04), 5.65 ns 15 (1967Mc13), 6.00 ns 12 (1967Hr02), 5.6 ns 1 (1967Be57), 5.4 ns 2 (1967Ba18), 5.5 ns 2 (1964Ta09), 5.9 ns 3 (1960Go21). g factor=+0.50 8 if $T_{1/2}=5.78$ ns (1974Ba24) (35 γ)(492 γ)(θ, H). Deduced electric quadrupole interaction (1976Lu06 , 1973RaZG) $\beta\gamma(\theta, H, t)$.
864.14 [@] 3	1/2 ⁺	0.91 [#] ns 3	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta\gamma(t)$ (1971Sv01). Others: 1.15 ns 4 (1967Na04), 0.95 ns 3 (1967Mc13), 1.13 ns 6 (1967Hr02), 1.52 ns 8 (1967Be57), 1.1 ns 1 (1967Ba18).
941.424 11	5/2 ⁺		
1041.4 3	5/2 ⁻		
1078.2?	5/2 ⁺		Level added in this update. Population of this level in this decay is tentative, although, definite in Coulomb excitation, (γ, γ'); ($n, n'\gamma$) and (d, d'). See Adopted Levels for details.
1192.50 3	(1/2 ⁺ , 3/2)		J^π : γ rays to 1/2 ⁻ , 1/2 ⁺ and 5/2 ⁺ ; β feeding from 1/2 ⁺ . Note that $J^\pi=(3/2)$ in Adopted Levels.
1287.39 5	1/2, 3/2, 5/2 ⁻		J^π : γ to 1/2 ⁻ ; β feeding from 1/2 ⁺ . Note that $J^\pi=1/2, 3/2^-, 5/2^-$ in Adopted Levels.

[†] From least-squares fit to E_γ data.

[‡] From the Adopted Levels, unless otherwise stated.

[#] Level half-lives in the Adopted Levels are from this dataset.

[@] Possible member of $\pi 1/2[431]$ band, as characterized by E2 enhancement of intraband transitions of 35.6 and 105.2 keV. See also [2002Lu15](#) for details.

^{115}Cd β^- decay (53.46 h) 1978He08,1967Ba18,1974Bo26 (continued) β^- radiations

Total β^- feeding adds to 98.9% *I*₂, slightly below 100%.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log <i>ft</i>	Comments
(164.5 7)	1287.39	0.0009 1	9.13 5	av $E\beta=44.52$ 21
(259.4 7)	1192.50	0.013 1	8.60 4	av $E\beta=73.24$ 22
(373.7 [‡] 7)	1078.2?	$<2\times 10^{-6}$	>12.9	av $E\beta=110.41$ 24
(410.5 8)	1041.4	0.00008 2	11.4 ^{1u} 1	av $E\beta=140.71$ 29
(587.8 7)	864.14	33.1 4	6.38 1	av $E\beta=186.19$ 26 $E\beta=593$ 2 semi-semi $\beta\gamma$ -coin (1974Bo26) β shape factor determined. Others: 590 20 (1952Ha24), 580 (1952La02).
(623.3 7)	828.59	3.3 3	7.47 4	av $E\beta=199.42$ 27 E(decay): $E\beta=636$ 2 semi-semi $\beta\gamma$ -coin (1974Bo26) β shape factor determined.
(854.8 7)	597.14	1.165 17	8.41 1	av $E\beta=289.21$ 28 $\beta(261\gamma)(\theta)$, $A_2=-0.16$ 2 (1966Pa07) scintillation detector.
(1115.7 7)	336.242	61.3 10	7.11 1	av $E\beta=396.22$ 30 E(decay): $E\beta=1110$ 10 (1952Ha24,1952La02), 1130 30 (1940La07). $I\beta^-$: $I(\gamma+ce)$ imbalance of 58.2% 9 at the 336 level, representing 95.0% 7 of the intensity from the isomer, gives $I\beta$ feeding of 61.3% 10, instead of the 62.6 18 in 2012B110.

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$\gamma(^{115}\text{In})$

I γ normalization: Absolute photon intensities (I γ /10⁴ decays) given in [1978He08](#). Note that from the decay scheme, γ -normalization factor is deduced as 0.01011 9 by equating I(γ +ce)(336 γ), I(γ (941 γ), and β^- decay branching from the 336.2-keV isomer to 100.
 $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ normalized to $\alpha(\text{K})(336\gamma)=0.84$ (M4 theory). Note that $\alpha(\text{K})=0.856$ from BrIcc with frozen orbit, 0.845 for no hole, and 0.87 from HSICC. To conform to BrIcc with frozen orbit, experimental K-conversion coefficients listed in this dataset should be adjusted upwards by 1.9%.

E_γ [†]	I_γ ^{†@}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ [‡]	α ^{&}	Comments
35.57 6	42.1 3	864.14	1/2 ⁺	828.59	3/2 ⁺	M1+E2	-0.193 24	12.1 6	%I γ =0.421 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=9.10$ 16; $\alpha(\text{L})=2.5$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.49$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.085$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.00372$ 21 I γ corrected to 42.1 3 (1978He08) from previous value of 1.534 11 in 2012B110 evaluation. E γ : unweighted average of 35.514 3 (1978He08) and 35.63 5 (1967Ba18). (35.6 γ)(231.4 γ)(θ): A ₂ =-0.021 8 (1975Bo29). (35.6 γ)(492.4 γ)(θ): A ₂ =+0.096 15 (1975Bo29). L1:L2:L3:M=100 10:51 13:62 9:57 17 (1967Ba18). $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=\text{ce}(\text{K})/\text{I}\gamma$ (coin with 492 γ) corrected for $\omega(\text{K})$: 8.2 8 (1974Bo26), 8.5 4 (1969Ca16), 7.7 8 (1967Mc13), 9.6 12 (1966Gr14). Others: 1963Ha24 , 1964Bo19 , 1964Ta09 . δ : deduced in the present update from a fit to all the available K-conversion coefficients, subshell ratios, and the $\delta(\text{E}2/\text{M}1)$ value in 1977Kr13 evaluation using the BrIccMixing code. Previous value in 2012B110 was -0.173 16, where magnitude was deduced from only the L1/L3 ratio in 1967Ba18 . Sign of δ is from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$. $\delta=-0.20$ 1 (1977Kr13 evaluation) weighted average of δ values from 1975Bo29 , 1973Ba29 , 1970Be79 , 1968Ba32 and 1963Ha24 .
231.443 3	74 1	828.59	3/2 ⁺	597.14	3/2 ⁻	E1		0.01639	%I γ =0.74 1 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.01427$ 20; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001726$ 25; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000333$ 5 $\alpha(\text{N})=6.04\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(\text{O})=4.22\times 10^{-6}$ 6 E γ =231.41 3, I γ =1.40 11 relative to 100 for 336 γ (1974HeYW). $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.016$ 5 (1967Ba18). Analog: HF(E1,87 γ , ¹¹¹ Ag)=1.2 $\times 10^6$ W.u. %I γ =9.E-5 7 %I γ =1.94 1 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.0326$ 5; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00404$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000783$ 14 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001434$ 25; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.065\times 10^{-5}$ 16 E γ =260.85 6, I γ =3.86 25 relative to 100 for 336 γ (1974HeYW).
252 1 260.896 3	0.009 7 194 1	1192.50 597.14	(1/2 ⁺ , 3/2) 3/2 ⁻	941.424 5/2 ⁺ 336.242 1/2 ⁻		M1+E2	-0.09 6	0.0376	

$\gamma(^{115}\text{In})$ (continued)

E_γ †	I_γ †@	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.‡	α &	Comments
266.985 10	9.2 3	864.14	1/2 ⁺	597.14	3/2 ⁻	[E1]	0.01112	δ: from (231γ)(261γ)(θ) (1973Ba29): $A_2=-0.260$ 35, $A_4=-0.007$ 47. Other: -0.08 9 from (267γ)(231γ)(θ) (1973Ba29). Other $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ data: 1970Be79, 1963Ha24. From $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$, $\text{mult}=M1+E2$, $\delta<1.5$. $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.035$ 5 (1967Ba18). Other: 0.043 9 (1973Is03). Other $\delta=-0.08$ 9 (1973Ba29), 267γ(261γ)(θ); 1970Be79, 1963Ha24. $\%I_\gamma=0.092$ 3 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00968$ 14; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.001166$ 17; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000225$ 4 $\alpha(\text{N})=4.09\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(\text{O})=2.88\times 10^{-6}$ 4 $E_\gamma=266.90$ 8, $I_\gamma=0.18$ 1 relative to 100 for 336γ (1974HeYW). HF(E1,267γ)=2.2×10^7 W.u. $\%I_\gamma=0.0033$ 5 $\%I_\gamma=46.02$ 20 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.856$ 12; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.181$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0369$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00664$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=0.000392$ 6 I_γ corrected to 4602 20 (1978He08) from the previous value of 100 in 2012B110 evaluation. $\delta(\text{E5/M4})<0.36$ by fitting all the available ce data for K-shell conversion coefficients and subshell ratios by the BrIccMixing code. However, $\delta(\text{E5/M4})=0.36$ gives an unrealistically large B(E5)(W.u.) value of 2.1×10^4. Considering maximum B(E5)(W.u.)=143 25 (for a transition in ¹⁹⁹Hg) in the ENSDF database, $\delta(\text{E5/M4})$ should be less than 0.03, which does not change the total conversion coefficient. E_γ: from 1974HeYW. Others: 336.301 3 (1978He08), 336.23 5 (1967Ba18), 336.25 10 (1967Mu08). I_γ: per 1×10^4 decays: 4590 10 (1974Ha39); 4602 20 (1978He08, transient equilibrium, measured $I_\gamma=4969$ 22 measured). K:L:M+=100 9:21 2:3 1 (1974Bo26), 100:22 1:4 1 (1967Ba18). $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.843$ 12 and $\alpha(\text{total})_{\text{exp}}=1.073$ 14 (1974Ha39, in ¹¹⁵In IT decay); 0.91 8 (1974Bo26), 0.90 9 (1967Mc13), 0.91 6 (1966Gr14), 0.84 9 (1963Ha24). Others: 1955Es15, 1955Va04, 1966Jh01. $\%I_\gamma=8\times 10^{-6}$ 1 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00495$ 7; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000593$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.0001144$ 16 $\alpha(\text{N})=2.08\times 10^{-5}$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=1.489\times 10^{-6}$ 21 I_γ: from $I_\gamma(344\gamma)/I_\gamma(941\gamma)=0.11$ 1 (1976Tu02) Coul. ex. $\%I_\gamma=0.0061$ 6 $\%I_\gamma=8.03$ 9 $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00204$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000242$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=4.67\times 10^{-5}$ 7 $\alpha(\text{N})=8.53\times 10^{-6}$ 12; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.19\times 10^{-7}$ 9 $E_\gamma=492.274$ 35, $I_\gamma=17.0$ 14 relative to 100 for 336γ (1974HeYW). $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0019$ 3. 2% M2 admixture deduced from $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0028$ (1967Ba18); pure E1 if $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0019$ (1973Is03). $\%I_\gamma=27.45$ 18
328.38 10 336.241 25	0.33 5 4602 20	1192.50 336.242	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2) 1/2 ⁻	864.14 0.0	1/2 ⁺ 9/2 ⁺	M4	1.081	
(344.2)	0.0008 1	941.424	5/2 ⁺	597.14	3/2 ⁻	E1#	0.00568	
363.95 10 492.351 4	0.61 6 803 9	1192.50 828.59	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2) 3/2 ⁺	828.59 336.242	3/2 ⁺ 1/2 ⁻	E1	0.00234	
527.901 7	2745 18	864.14	1/2 ⁺	336.242	1/2 ⁻	E1	0.00199	

¹¹⁵Cd β⁻ decay (53.46 h) [1978He08](#),[1967Ba18](#),[1974Bo26](#) (continued)

γ(¹¹⁵In) (continued)

<u>E_γ[†]</u>	<u>I_γ^{†@}</u>	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.[‡]</u>	<u>α^{&}</u>	<u>Comments</u>
								α(K)exp=0.017 1 α(K)=0.001734 25; α(L)=0.000205 3; α(M)=3.96×10 ⁻⁵ 6 α(N)=7.23×10 ⁻⁶ 11; α(O)=5.26×10 ⁻⁷ 8 E _γ =527.807 35, I _γ =3.86 25 relative to 100 for 336γ (1974HeYW). α(K)exp=0.0020 3 (1967Ba18), 0.0017 1 (1973Is03). %I _γ =0.0017 2 %I _γ =0.00061 6 %I _γ =8×10 ⁻⁵ 2 %I _γ =0.00220 10 %I _γ =7×10 ⁻⁵ 1 α(K)=0.001207 17; α(L)=0.0001475 21; α(M)=2.86×10 ⁻⁵ 4 α(N)=5.21×10 ⁻⁶ 8; α(O)=3.79×10 ⁻⁷ 6 %I _γ =0.00028 3 %I _γ <2.0×10 ⁻⁴ Tentative γ from 1978He08 added in this update.
595.375 24	0.17 2	1192.50	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2)	597.14	3/2 ⁻			
690.23 4	0.061 6	1287.39	1/2,3/2,5/2 ⁻	597.14	3/2 ⁻			
705.18 25	0.008 2	1041.4	5/2 ⁻	336.242	1/2 ⁻			
856.245 13	0.22 1	1192.50	(1/2 ⁺ ,3/2)	336.242	1/2 ⁻			
941.420 11	0.007 1	941.424	5/2 ⁺	0.0	9/2 ⁺	E2 [#]	1.39×10 ⁻³	
951.19 6	0.028 3	1287.39	1/2,3/2,5/2 ⁻	336.242	1/2 ⁻			
1078.2 ^a	<0.0002	1078.2?	5/2 ⁺	0.0	9/2 ⁺			

[†] From measurements by [1978He08](#), except as noted. Photon intensities are per 1×10⁴ decays of parent ([1978He08](#)).

[‡] Deduced from α(K)exp and ce-ratio data. Assignments are the same in the Adopted Gammas.

[#] From the Adopted Gammas.

[@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0100.

[&] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ-ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{115}\text{Cd} \beta^-$ decay (53.46 h) 1978He08,1967Ba18,1974Bo26