

¹¹⁴Sn(p,n γ) **1994Ga26**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 113, 515 (2012)	1-Jan-2012

E(p)=7.8,8.0 MeV, enriched target (70%) (1994Ga26).

Measured: γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$, ce, Ge(HP), superconducting magnetic lens Si(Li) detectors (1994Ga26).

E=6.3-8.4, 15 MeV, enriched targets (1976Ka19).

Measured: γ , $\gamma\gamma$, n γ , $\sigma(e,e\gamma)$, T_{1/2}(γ), excit (1976Ka19).

The level scheme is from 1994Ga26. The levels and the transitions deexciting the 495-keV level (173, 136, 90) are not given by 1994Ga26.

¹¹⁴Sb Levels

E(level)	J π [†]	T _{1/2} [‡]	E(level)	J π [†]	T _{1/2} [‡]	E(level)	J π [†]	T _{1/2} [‡]
0.0	3 ⁺	3.49 min 3	501.6 5	3 ⁻	<1 ns	809.21 7	3 ⁺	
27.4 2	1 ⁺		506.96 4	0 ⁺		869.92 6	0 ⁺	
45.86 3	(2 ⁺),3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	<2 ns	565.76 3	4 ⁺		871.90 6	(1 ⁺)	
54.63 3	3 ⁺		572.73 4	(2,3)		892.97 5	5 ⁻	<1 ns
83.54 3	(5)		641.51 6	5 ⁽⁺⁾		945.25 20	(3)	
83.84 3	2 ⁺	<2 ns	664.4 1	4 ⁻	<1 ns	948.73 20	4,5,6	
144.90 3	2 ⁺	<1 ns	664.80 8	3 ⁺		990.76 20	1,2	
264.58 3	4 ⁺		691.4 4	2 ⁺		1005.1 5		
272.02 4	1 ⁺	<1 ns	763.62 4	1,2 ⁺		1017.48 24		
344.49 3	3 ⁺	<1 ns	793.4 4	5,6				
492.00 3	2 ⁺		806.02 4	2 ⁺				

[†] From $\alpha(K)$ exp, Hauser-Feshbach analysis and $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$.

[‡] From 1976Ka19. The levels at 90,136,173,245,298 keV given by 1976Ka19 are not confirmed by 1994Ga26, so the T_{1/2} have been attributed to the levels where 1994Ga26 have placed the deexciting gammas.

$\gamma(^{114}\text{Sb})$

E γ	I γ	E _i (level)	J π _i	E _f	J π _f	Mult. [†]	α [@]	Comments
8.8		54.63	3 ⁺	45.86	(2 ⁺),3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺			E γ : from E(level) difference. Transition not observed but required by coin.
27.5 3	2.4 \times 10 ² 10	27.4	1 ⁺	0.0	3 ⁺			I γ : estimated value.
37.4 4		83.54	(5)	45.86	(2 ⁺),3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺			I γ : I γ is weak.
45.87 3	293 17	45.86	(2 ⁺),3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺	0.0	3 ⁺	[M1]	5.98	B(M1)(W.u.)>0.016
54.65 3	340 18	54.63	3 ⁺	0.0	3 ⁺	[M1]	3.6	A ₂ =+0.16 18; A ₄ =-0.20 27
56.3 2	16 8	83.84	2 ⁺	27.4	1 ⁺			
79.94 2	96 3	344.49	3 ⁺	264.58	4 ⁺			
83.5		83.54	(5)	0.0	3 ⁺			E γ : from E(level) difference. Transition not observed but suggested by intensity balance arguments.
83.83 2	831 29	83.84	2 ⁺	0.0	3 ⁺	[M1]	1.04	A ₂ =+0.040 18; A ₄ =+0.034 22 B(M1)(W.u.)>0.0089 A ₂ =+0.027 17; A ₄ =+0.039 20
90.29 2	1000 35	144.90	2 ⁺	54.63	3 ⁺			
³ 92.3 2	23 3							
144.99 3	21.3 11	144.90	2 ⁺	0.0	3 ⁺			
147.53 2	124 6	492.00	2 ⁺	344.49	3 ⁺			
163.24 3	108 6	664.4	4 ⁻	501.6	3 ⁻	M1,(E2)		$\alpha(K)$ exp=0.146 12 A ₂ =-0.31 13; A ₄ =-0.21 17

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{114}\text{Sn}(p,n\gamma)$ 1994Ga26 (continued) $\gamma(^{114}\text{Sb})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.†	Comments
181.15 3	41.7 24	264.58	4 ⁺	83.54 (5)			
188.18 2	423 25	272.02	1 ⁺	83.84 2 ⁺		M1,(E2)	$A_2=+0.01$ 3; $A_4=+0.02$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.092$ 7
209.94 2	217 10	264.58	4 ⁺	54.63 3 ⁺		M1,(E2)	$A_2=-0.19$ 3; $A_4=+0.07$ 4 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.069$ 4
218.8 3	5.1 10	264.58	4 ⁺	45.86 (2 ⁺),3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺			
228.28 4	17.0 9	892.97	5 ⁻	664.4 4 ⁻		M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.059$ 13
234.93 3	47.7 24	506.96	0 ⁺	272.02 1 ⁺			$A_2=-0.04$ 9; $A_4=+0.04$ 12 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.058$ 9
244.65 2	684 24	272.02	1 ⁺	27.4 1 ⁺		M1,(E2)	$A_2=+0.13$ 5; $A_4=+0.11$ 6 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0449$ 25
256.6 3	12.6 5	763.62	1,2 ⁺	506.96 0 ⁺			
264.56 2	310 11	264.58	4 ⁺	0.0 3 ⁺		M1,E2	$A_2=-0.25$ 4; $A_4=+0.05$ 6 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0401$ 25
271.8 5	32 10	763.62	1,2 ⁺	492.00 2 ⁺			
298.62 2	422 13	344.49	3 ⁺	45.86 (2 ⁺),3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺		M1,E2	$A_2=+0.02$ 4; $A_4=-0.01$ 5 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0287$ 16
301.0 3	20 3	572.73	(2,3)	272.02 1 ⁺			
320.4 2	29.0 12	664.80	3 ⁺	344.49 3 ⁺			
344.49 2	113 26	344.49	3 ⁺	0.0 3 ⁺		M1,E2	$A_2=+0.35$ 9; $A_4=+0.22$ 10 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0211$ 14 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0187$ 10
346.8 5	<20	691.4	2 ⁺	344.49 3 ⁺			Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ is for the 346 and 347 γ . I_γ : from $I_\gamma(346.8+347.12 \gamma\text{'s})=368$ 9.
347.12 6	363 12	492.00	2 ⁺	144.90 2 ⁺		M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0187$ 10 $A_2=+0.23$ 5; $A_4=+0.12$ 6 Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ is for the 346 and 347 γ . I_γ : from branching in ϵ decay.
356.72 9	29.2 8	501.6	3 ⁻	144.90 2 ⁺		E1	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0054$ 55 $A_2=-0.23$ 15; $A_4=+0.14$ 18 $B(\text{E1})(\text{W.u.})>4.1\times 10^{-7}$
^x 365.68 5	5.22 14						
376.93 5	55.2 22	641.51	5 ⁽⁺⁾	264.58 4 ⁺			$A_2=+0.02$ 20; $A_4=+0.55$ 23
379.93 6	59.9 16	871.90	(1 ⁺)	492.00 2 ⁺			
^x 392.8 3	12 3						
408.15 2	86.7 24	492.00	2 ⁺	83.84 2 ⁺		M1,(E2)	$A_2=+0.07$ 5; $A_4=+0.04$ 6 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0136$ 12
419.35 3	55.6 16	691.4	2 ⁺	272.02 1 ⁺		M1,(E2)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0131$ 12
437.39 3	157 5	492.00	2 ⁺	54.63 3 ⁺		M1,E2	$A_2=-0.18$ 10; $A_4=+0.08$ 13 $A_2=+0.04$ 8; $A_4=+0.08$ 9 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0106$ 12
453.4 3	3.3 8	945.25	(3)	492.00 2 ⁺			
455.79 2	236 7	501.6	3 ⁻	45.86 (2 ⁺),3 ⁺ ,4 ⁺		E1	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0026$ 5 $A_2=-0.11$ 3; $A_4=-0.01$ 4 $B(\text{E1})(\text{W.u.})>1.6\times 10^{-6}$
461.56 10	43.6 24	806.02	2 ⁺	344.49 3 ⁺		M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0087$ 24
464.6 3	19.3 12	809.21	3 ⁺	344.49 3 ⁺			
479.56 3	315 13	506.96	0 ⁺	27.4 1 ⁺		M1,(E2)	$A_2=+0.12$ 10; $A_4=+0.18$ 13 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0090$ 8
483.78 22	24.1 9	990.76	1,2	506.96 0 ⁺			
501.58 3	182 6	501.6	3 ⁻	0.0 3 ⁺		E1	$A_2=+0.39$ 5; $A_4=0.00$ 7 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0019$ 3 $B(\text{E1})(\text{W.u.})>9.3\times 10^{-7}$
519.89 3	135 10	664.80	3 ⁺	144.90 2 ⁺		M1,(E2)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0072$ 6 $A_2=-0.39$ 11; $A_4=+0.01$ 14
528.8 4	12 4	793.4	5,6	264.58 4 ⁺			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{114}\text{Sn}(p,n\gamma)$ **1994Ga26 (continued)** $\gamma(^{114}\text{Sb})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	Comments
534.03 14	30.6 11	806.02	2 ⁺	272.02	1 ⁺		
544.3 5	42.3 15	809.21	3 ⁺	264.58	4 ⁺		
545.33 3	362 14	572.73	(2,3)	27.4	1 ⁺		$A_2=-0.18$ 5; $A_4=-0.03$ 8
565.76 3	127 9	565.76	4 ⁺	0.0	3 ⁺	M1,E2	$A_2=-0.22$ 8; $A_4=+0.07$ 11 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0059$ 8
580.84 5	94 4	664.80	3 ⁺	83.84	2 ⁺	(M1),E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0042$ 6
597.98 12	80 12	869.92	0 ⁺	272.02	1 ⁺	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0050$ 9
600.7 5	15 6	945.25	(3)	344.49	3 ⁺		
618.9 5	15 7	763.62	1,2 ⁺	144.90	2 ⁺		
636.72 3	248 10	691.4	2 ⁺	54.63	3 ⁺	M1,(E2)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0043$ 4 $A_2=+0.13$ 10; $A_4=+0.23$ 12 $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0035$ 4
664.26 4	253 11	691.4	2 ⁺	27.4	1 ⁺		
^x 672.40 16	20.9 10						
679.82 4	95 4	763.62	1,2 ⁺	83.84	2 ⁺	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0034$ 4
684.15 20	8.8 12	948.73	4,5,6	264.58	4 ⁺		
718.8 9	60 40	990.76	1,2	272.02	1 ⁺		I_γ : estimated value.
722.14 7	100 8	806.02	2 ⁺	83.84	2 ⁺		$A_2=+0.42$ 17; $A_4=+0.26$ 20
726.9 1	200 6	871.90	(1 ⁺)	144.90	2 ⁺	M1,(E2)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.00306$ 23
736.00 9	234 9	763.62	1,2 ⁺	27.4	1 ⁺	M1,(E2)	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.00307$ 22
745.7 4	38.7 14	1017.48		272.02	1 ⁺		
751.4 3	30 4	806.02	2 ⁺	54.63	3 ⁺		
778.62 5	152 5	806.02	2 ⁺	27.4	1 ⁺	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0025$ 3 $A_2=-0.31$ 5; $A_4=-0.05$ 5
800.3 4	10.0 19	945.25	(3)	144.90	2 ⁺		
806.2 3	16.5 8	806.02	2 ⁺	0.0	3 ⁺		
809.22 7	59.6 22	809.21	3 ⁺	0.0	3 ⁺	M1,E2	$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0018$ 5
842.5 5	79 6	869.92	0 ⁺	27.4	1 ⁺		
844.4 5	56 14	871.90	(1 ⁺)	27.4	1 ⁺		
845.9 5	56 23	990.76	1,2	144.90	2 ⁺		
860.2 5	≤ 61.5 [‡]	1005.1		144.90	2 ⁺	#	
861.4 5	≤ 61.5 [‡]	945.25	(3)	83.84	2 ⁺	#	
933.6 3	29.6 19	1017.48		83.84	2 ⁺		

[†] The internal conversion coefficients were normalized with the ^{116}Sb transitions present in the target (9%).

[‡] $I_\gamma=59.2$ 23 for 860.2+861.4 γ 's.

$\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0020$ 5 for 860.2+861.4 γ 's.

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.



