

^{114}Ru β^- decay 1992Jo05

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 113, 515 (2012)	1-Jan-2012

Parent: ^{114}Ru : E=0.0; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=0.52$ s 5; $Q(\beta^-)=5.50\times 10^3$ 7; % β^- decay=100.0Activity: ^{238}U (p,F), E=20 MeV, on-line isotope separator IGISOL.Measured: γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(t)$, ce, Ge(Li), Ge, Si(Li), Electron spectrometer.Q(β^-)=6120 20 (1992Jo05) disagrees with mass adjustment value of 4800 syst (1993Au05)?, but is closer (5.50×10^3 7) than the new 2011AuZZ.

The beta feedings and logft values should be considered as limits only.

 ^{114}Rh Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	1^+	1.85 s 5	$T_{1/2}$: From Adopted Levels.
126.89 18	(2) $^+$		
179.35 23	1^+		
255.1 4	1^+		
267.0 4	1^+		

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
$(5.23\times 10^3$ 7)	267.0	4.6 18	5.37 18	av $E\beta=2316$ 34
$(5.24\times 10^3$ 7)	255.1	14 6	4.89 20	av $E\beta=2322$ 34
$(5.32\times 10^3$ 7)	179.35	26 10	4.65 18	av $E\beta=2358$ 34
$(5.50\times 10^3$ 7)	0.0	60 14	4.35 12	av $E\beta=2444$ 34

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays. $\gamma(^{114}\text{Rh})$ I γ normalization: the total β feeding was determined from the growth and decay of the 373 keV in ^{114}Rh decay.

E_γ [‡]	I_γ [#]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	δ	α @	Comments
52.7 3	27 4	179.35	1^+	126.89	(2) $^+$	M1(+E2)	≤ 0.27	2.5 4	$\alpha(K)\exp>1.11$ $\alpha(K)=2.33$; $\alpha(L)=0.494$; $\alpha(M)=0.093$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0168$ Mult.: $\delta\leq 0.27$.
87.7 3	12 2	267.0	1^+	179.35	1^+	M1(+E2)	≤ 0.25	0.55 5	$\alpha(K)\exp: \text{from } \alpha(K)>1.11 \text{ Mult}\neq E1.$ From intensity balance at the 127 level Mult=M1(+E2) with $\delta\leq 0.27$. $\alpha(K)=0.436$; $\alpha(L)=0.0531$; $\alpha(M)=0.00987$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00193$
127.0 2	100 16	126.89	(2) $^+$	0.0	1^+	M1+E2	0.78 4	0.328 10	$\alpha(K)\exp=0.47$ 4 $\alpha(K)\exp=0.274$ 7 $\alpha(K)=0.273$; $\alpha(L)=0.0454$; $\alpha(M)=0.0085$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00156$
128.2 3	42 11	255.1	1^+	126.89	(2) $^+$	[M1,E2]		0.36 19	$\alpha(K)=0.30$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.05$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.010$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=0.0018$ 12
^x 145.1 3									

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{114}\text{Ru } \beta^-$ decay 1992Jo05 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{114}\text{Rh})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\ddagger}	$I_\gamma^{\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [†]	$a^{\text{@}}$	Comments
179.1 3	31 5	179.35	1 ⁺	0.0	1 ⁺	[M1,E2]	0.12 5	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.10\ 4; \alpha(\text{L})=0.015\ 8; \alpha(\text{M})=0.0028\ 15; \alpha(\text{N+..})=0.0005\ 3$

[†] From electron measurements.

[‡] ΔE estimated by evaluators.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.24 8.

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{114}\text{Ru} \beta^-$ decay 1992Jo05

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$

