

$^{115}\text{In}(\text{d},\text{t})$ **2002SaZO**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 113, 515 (2012)	1-Jan-2012

2002SaZO: E=18 MeV. Measured $E(t)(\theta)$ with FWHM=12 keV at 17 angles between 5° and 88° using multigap magnetic spectrograph at Van de Graaff accelerator.

1967Hj03: E=18 MeV. Measured $E(t)(\theta)$.

$J^\pi(^{115}\text{In})$ target= $9/2^+$.

 ^{114}In Levels

E(level)	J^π	L	S	Comments
0	$1^+ \dagger$	4	0.17	E(level): listed in 2002SaZO as -0.7 , L=4, S=0.13 (1967Hj03). Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu g_{7/2}$.
190.36 7	$5^+ \dagger$	0	0.34	E(level): E=192, L=0, S=0.7 (1967Hj03). Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu s_{1/2}$.
220.89 9	$4^+ \dagger$	0	0.37	Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu s_{1/2} + \pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu d_{3/2}$.
286.0 7	$2^+ \dagger$	4	0.84	E(level): E=278, l=(4), S=(0.25) (1967Hj03). Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu g_{7/2}$.
498.39 15	$5^+ \& 8^-$	2+5	0.28,0.21	E(level): unresolved doublet of 497.2, 5^+ and 501.9, 8^- states. Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu d_{3/2}$ for 5^+ , and $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu h_{11/2}$ for 8^- . J^π : in Table 4 of 2002SaZO , negative parity of 497.2 level is a misprint.
536.5 3	7^-	5	0.26	E(level): E=541, l=5, S=0.29 (1967Hj03). Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu h_{11/2}$.
574.6 3	6^-	5	0.22	Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu h_{11/2}$.
627.5 4	$3^+ \dagger$	4	0.71	Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu g_{7/2}$.
641.64 10	$7^+ \dagger$	2	0.63	Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu d_{5/2}$ for.
689.2 5	$8^+ \& 5^-$	4+5	0.50,0.26	E(level): unresolved doublet of 687.5, 8^+ and 696.4, 5^- states. Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu g_{7/2}$ for 8^+ and $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu h_{11/2}$ for 5^- . J^π : in Table 4 of 2002SaZO , negative parity of 687.5 level is a misprint.
728.0 3	$3^+ \& 4^+ \dagger$	2+0	0.11,0.08	Unresolved doublet of 725.1, 3^+ and 728.5, 4^+ states.
775.2 3	4^+	4+2	0.44,0.08	Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu g_{7/2} + \pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu d_{3/2}$.
825.43 18	$2^+ \dagger$	2	0.86	Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu d_{5/2}$.
866.2 18				L: L=2 (?).
909.72 22	$6^+ \dagger$	2	0.16	Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu d_{3/2}$.
1002.1 4	5^+	2	0.06	Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu d_{3/2}$.
1029.33 20		4+2	0.25+0.11	Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \nu g_{7/2} + g_{9/2} \otimes \nu d_{5/2}$.
1044.47 15	$6^+ \dagger$	2	0.62	Configuration= $\pi g_{9/2} \otimes \nu d_{5/2}$.
1068.6 20				
1082.7 13				
1095.1 9				
1139.47 17		2		E(level): E=1134, l=2, S=0.37 (1967Hj03).
1169.9 10				
1315.9 3		2		
1341.8 3		2		
1377.1 5		2		
1400.5 5		2		
1456.0 4	(2)			E(level): E=1466, l=2, S=0.52 (1967Hj03).
1474.0 4	2+0			
1511.9 15	(0)			
1528.6 11				
1552.1 9				
1622.0 11				
1658.2 12				
1690.5 20				

[†] Negative parity in Table 4 of **2002SaZO** is a misprint.