

^{113}Te ε decay [1975WiZX](#),[1976Wi11](#)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 111, 1471 (2010)	1-May-2009

Parent: ^{113}Te : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=(7/2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=1.7$ min 2; $Q(\varepsilon)=6070$ 30; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

γ singles with escape-suppression spectrometer and semi, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\gamma(t)$, [1975WiZX](#), [1976Wi11](#).

Others: [1974Ch17](#), [1974Bu21](#), [1975BuYW](#).

 ^{113}Sb Levels

E(level)	J ^π	T _{1/2}	E(level)	J ^π	E(level)
0.0	5/2 ⁺	6.67 min 7	1257.1 3	(9/2 ⁺)	2094.2 6
644.78 20	1/2 ⁺		1461.3 5	(9/2 ⁺)	2115.4 6
814.07 24	7/2 ⁺		1550.9 4	(5/2) ⁺	2132.3? 8
1018.5 4	(5/2) ⁺		1716.5? 5		2172.1 5
1181.0 4			1853.3 5		2534.6 4

 ε, β^+ radiations

$\varepsilon + \beta^+$ branches were obtained from $(\gamma + \text{ce})$ imbalance at each level and measured annihilation radiation intensity.

E(decay) [†]	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ ^{†‡}	$I\varepsilon$ ^{†‡}	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ [‡]	Comments
(3.54×10^3) 3)	2534.6	≈ 3.5	≈ 1.5	≈ 5.4	≈ 5.0	av $E\beta=1133$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.254$ 7; $\varepsilon L=0.0330$ 9; $\varepsilon M+=0.00857$ 23
(3.90×10^3) 3)	2172.1	≈ 2.0	≈ 0.57	≈ 5.9	≈ 2.57	av $E\beta=1301$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.187$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0243$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.00631$ 16
(3.95×10^3) 3)	2115.4	≈ 2.9	≈ 0.77	≈ 5.8	≈ 3.7	av $E\beta=1327$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.179$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0232$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.00603$ 15
(3.98×10^3) 3)	2094.2	≈ 3.7	≈ 0.94	≈ 5.7	≈ 4.6	av $E\beta=1337$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.176$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.0228$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.00592$ 15
(4.22×10^3) 3)	1853.3	≈ 3.5	≈ 0.71	≈ 5.9	≈ 4.2	av $E\beta=1450$ 14; $\varepsilon K=0.145$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.0188$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.00489$ 12
(4.52×10^3) 3)	1550.9	≈ 2.8	≈ 0.43	≈ 6.2	≈ 3.2	av $E\beta=1592$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.115$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.0149$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.00388$ 9
(4.81×10^3) 3)	1257.1	≈ 4.6	≈ 0.57	≈ 6.1	≈ 5.2	av $E\beta=1731$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0934$ 20; $\varepsilon L=0.0121$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.00314$ 7
(4.89×10^3) 3)	1181.0	≈ 11	≈ 1.2	≈ 5.8	≈ 12.2	av $E\beta=1767$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0886$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.01147$ 24; $\varepsilon M+=0.00298$ 7
(5.05×10^3) 3)	1018.5	≈ 10.0	≈ 1.0	≈ 5.9	≈ 11	av $E\beta=1844$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0794$ 16; $\varepsilon L=0.01027$ 21; $\varepsilon M+=0.00267$ 6
(5.26×10^3) 3)	814.07	≈ 13	≈ 1.1	≈ 5.9	≈ 14	av $E\beta=1941$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0695$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.00899$ 18; $\varepsilon M+=0.00233$ 5
(5.43×10^3) 3)	644.78	< 5.6	< 0.44	> 6.3	< 6.0	av $E\beta=2021$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0625$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.00807$ 16; $\varepsilon M+=0.00210$ 4
5.7×10^3 2	0.0	≈ 28	≈ 1.4	≈ 5.9	≈ 29.4	av $E\beta=2330$ 15; $\varepsilon K=0.0428$ 8; $\varepsilon L=0.00553$ 10; $\varepsilon M+=0.001436$ 25

E(decay): from [1974Ch17](#). Other: 5600 200 ([1975BuYW](#)).

[†] β^+ and ε intensities are approximate because of the large number of unplaced $I\gamma$'s.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{113}\text{Sb})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: from $\Sigma(I\gamma + \text{ce})$ to g.s. + $\Sigma(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ to g.s.=100.

Measured $I\gamma(\gamma^\pm)$ is 780 200 relative to $I\gamma(814)=100$, [1976Wi11](#).

E_γ	I_γ [†]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
238.4 5	2.1 7	1257.1	$(9/2^+)$	1018.5	$(5/2)^+$
^x 269.8 5	2.7 7				
391.8 5	12 6	1853.3		1461.3	$(9/2^+)$
^x 437.7 4	1.1 6				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{113}Te ε decay [1975WiZX,1976Wi11](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{113}\text{Sb})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\dagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
443.3 4	3.0 9	1257.1	(9/2 ⁺)	814.07	7/2 ⁺
^x 473.1 8	2.5 6				
^x 583.0 5	3 2				
^x 609.3 5	5 2				
644.8 2	29 3	644.78	1/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺
647.5 8	3.6 10	1461.3	(9/2 ⁺)	814.07	7/2 ⁺
737.0 4	4.4 14	1550.9	(5/2 ⁺)	814.07	7/2 ⁺
814.4 3	100	814.07	7/2 ⁺	0.0	5/2 ⁺
915.0 4	6.3 16	2172.1		1257.1	(9/2 ⁺)
1018.1 4	59 6	1018.5	(5/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁺
1039.5 5	7 2	1853.3		814.07	7/2 ⁺
1071.7 [‡] 4	7 2	1716.5?		644.78	1/2 ⁺
1181.0 4	56 6	1181.0		0.0	5/2 ⁺
^x 1206.6 6	6 2				
^x 1245.4 5	4 3				
1256.7 5	25 3	1257.1	(9/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁺
1301.3 7	8 3	2115.4		814.07	7/2 ⁺
1317.9 [‡] 6	6 2	2132.3?		814.07	7/2 ⁺
1358.0 8	5.3 14	2172.1		814.07	7/2 ⁺
1449.7 7	8 2	2094.2		644.78	1/2 ⁺
1460.0 10	8 4	1461.3	(9/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁺
1515.1 7	7 2	2534.6		1018.5	(5/2 ⁺)
1550.3 7	10 3	1550.9	(5/2 ⁺)	0.0	5/2 ⁺
^x 1567.2 8	6 2				
1719.8 10	3.9 12	2534.6		814.07	7/2 ⁺
^x 1803.6 7	8 3				
^x 1868.1 9	11 3				
^x 1944.3 11	4 2				
^x 2047.8 10	7 2				
2093.7 10	13 3	2094.2		0.0	5/2 ⁺
2115.5 10	9 2	2115.4		0.0	5/2 ⁺
^x 2221.2 9	9 3				
2535.2 5	12 3	2534.6		0.0	5/2 ⁺
^x 2552.4 9	7 2				
^x 2606.5 5	8 3				

[†] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.22.

[‡] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{113}Te ϵ decay 1975WiZX,1976Wi11

Decay Scheme

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 — $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 — $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
 - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays