

$^{113}\text{Ru} \beta^-$ decay (0.80 s) 2002Ku18,2007Ku23

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 111, 1471 (2010)	1-May-2009

Parent: ^{113}Ru : E=0; $J^\pi=(1/2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=0.80$ s 5; $Q(\beta^-)=6480$ 50; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

2002Ku18: Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\beta\gamma$ coin, lifetimes by $\beta\gamma(t)$ using a LEGe-detector and a 37% Ge-detector operated with two plastic scintillators and in anti-coincidence with a BGO shield.

2007Ku23: Re-interpretation of the β feedings.

All data are from 2002Ku18, except for intensities of some of the γ rays, β feedings and associated log ft values. Revised division (amongst two activities of ^{113}Ru) of γ -ray intensities and β feedings are from 2007Ku23 and e-mail reply of Oct 15, 2007 from the first author of 2007Ku23 to the evaluator. The questionable and unplaced γ rays are not listed in this e-mail reply.

The 578, 785, 786 and 834 levels and deexciting γ rays have been removed by 2007Ku23 and associated with only the decay of the 0.51-s isomer.

 ^{113}Rh Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π	$T_{1/2}$ [#]	E(level) [†]	E(level) [†]
0	(7/2 ⁺)	2.80 s 12	1034.1 6	2191.2 4
211.66 20	(9/2 ⁺)	0.21 ns 13	1060.9 4	2221.4 4
263.18 16	(3/2 ⁺)	0.38 ns 12	1463.9 8	2287.4? [‡] 5
351.27 19	(5/2 ⁺)		1485.2 9	2297.4 7
600.7 3	(3/2 ⁺)	0.66 ns 14	1711.6? 10	2525.6? [‡] 5
823.4 4			1908.9 8	2623.6? [‡] 10
968.0 4			1945.0 [‡] 7	2675.3? [‡] 14
978.0 4			1966.0 9	
1008.9 3			2121.9 4	

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s.

[‡] Level not shown in figure 1 of 2002Ku18.

[#] From centroid-shift method in $\beta\gamma(t)$.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{‡#}	Log ft	Comments
(3.80×10 ³ @ 5)	2675.3?	0.3 [‡] 1	6.2	av $E\beta=1636$ 24
(3.86×10 ³ @ 5)	2623.6?	1.7 [‡] 2	5.5	av $E\beta=1660$ 24
(3.95×10 ³ @ 5)	2525.6?	4.4 [‡] 5	5.1	av $E\beta=1707$ 24
(4.18×10 ³ 5)	2297.4	2.4	5.5	av $E\beta=1816$ 24
(4.19×10 ³ @ 5)	2287.4?	5.1 [‡] 5	5.1	av $E\beta=1820$ 24
(4.26×10 ³ 5)	2221.4	7.6	5.0	av $E\beta=1852$ 24
(4.29×10 ³ 5)	2191.2	14.9	4.7	av $E\beta=1866$ 24
(4.36×10 ³ 5)	2121.9	7.6	5.0	av $E\beta=1899$ 24
				$I\beta^-$: Compilers deduce 5.3 6 from intensity balance.
(4.51×10 ³ 5)	1966.0	1.3	5.9	av $E\beta=1973$ 24
(4.54×10 ³ 5)	1945.0	1.7	5.8	av $E\beta=1983$ 24
				$I\beta^-$: 2.0 (obtained by compilers from intensity balance).
(4.57×10 ³ 5)	1908.9	2.6	5.6	av $E\beta=2001$ 24
(4.77×10 ³ @ 5)	1711.6?	<1.6 [‡]	>5.9	av $E\beta=2095$ 24
(4.99×10 ³ 5)	1485.2	1.1	6.1	av $E\beta=2203$ 24
(5.02×10 ³ 5)	1463.9	1.2	6.1	av $E\beta=2213$ 24
(5.42×10 ³ 5)	1060.9	6.5	5.5	av $E\beta=2406$ 24
				$I\beta^-$: Compilers deduce 3.0 3 from intensity balance.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{113}Ru β^- decay (0.80 s) [2002Ku18,2007Ku23](#) (continued) β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†#}	Log ft	Comments
(5.45×10^3 5)	1034.1	1.8	6.1	av $E\beta=2418$ 24
(5.47×10^3 5)	1008.9	6.2	5.6	av $E\beta=2431$ 24
(5.50×10^3 5)	978.0	7.8	5.5	av $E\beta=2445$ 24
				$I\beta^-$: Compilers deduce 6.14 17 from intensity balance.
(5.51×10^3 5)	968.0	2.0	6.1	av $E\beta=2450$ 24
(5.66×10^3 5)	823.4	2.1	6.1	av $E\beta=2519$ 24
(5.88×10^3 5)	600.7	12.6	5.4	av $E\beta=2626$ 24
(6.22×10^3 5)	263.18	20.5	5.3	av $E\beta=2787$ 24
				$I\beta^-$: Compilers deduce 16.1 23 from intensity balance.

[†] From [2007Ku23](#).[‡] Deduced from intensity balance.

Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{113}\text{Rh})$

I_γ normalization: From comparison of β feedings given by [2007Ku23](#) and γ intensities from [2002Ku18](#), assuming no β feeding to the g.s.

E_γ	I_γ [#]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α [@]	Comments
88.1 3	9.0 [‡] 13	351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	[M1]	0.490 9	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.427$ 8; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0522$ 9; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00972$ 17; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.00161$ 3; $\alpha(\text{O})=8.00 \times 10^{-5}$ 14 $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.00169$ 3 I_γ : combined intensity from both isomers=13.1 13.
^x 181.0 [†] 7	0.8 4							
211.7 2	1.1 [‡] 1	211.66	(9/2 ⁺)	0	(7/2 ⁺)	M1	0.0444	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0388$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00464$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000862$ 13; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001431$ 21; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.22 \times 10^{-6}$ 11 $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001503$ 22 I_γ : combined intensity from both isomers=32.8 8.
^x 226.0 7	0.8 4							
246.4 ^{&} 11	0.3 2	2191.2		1945.0				I_γ : total transition intensity is listed as 0.6 2 in e-mail reply of Oct 15, 2007 from the first author of 2007Ku23 .
^x 247.0 [†] 8	0.6 4							
263.2 2	78.6 [‡] 4	263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	0	(7/2 ⁺)	[E2]	0.0439	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0374$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00529$ 8; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000989$ 15; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001592$ 23; $\alpha(\text{O})=6.23 \times 10^{-6}$ 9 $\alpha(\text{N}+..)=0.0001655$ 24 I_γ : combined intensity from both isomers=100.0 5.
^x 274.7 [†] 7	0.9 1							
337.6 3	14.7 [‡] 3	600.7	(3/2 ⁺)	263.18	(3/2 ⁺)			I_γ : combined intensity from both isomers=23.4 4.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{113}\text{Ru} \beta^-$ decay (0.80 s) [2002Ku18,2007Ku23](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{113}\text{Rh})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ #	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
351.2 3	8.1 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 13	351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	0	(7/2 ⁺)	I_γ : combined intensity from both isomers=11.8 17.
367.2 5	2.9 4	968.0		600.7	(3/2 ⁺)	
x 401.0 $\frac{1}{2}^+$ 7	1.1 1					
403.4 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 5	2.4 5	2525.6?		2121.9		
x 422.9 $\frac{1}{2}^+$ 5	2.3 1					I_γ : combined intensity from both isomers=2.1 3.
560.1 4	5.1 2	823.4		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
600.5 5	1.3 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 2	600.7	(3/2 ⁺)	0	(7/2 ⁺)	
626.8 5	2.3 1	978.0		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
657.8 5	2.5 1	1008.9		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
682.8 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 8	0.7 2	1034.1		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
704.9 7	0.9 1	968.0		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
709.4 5	2.7 1	1060.9		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
715.1 4	5.8 1	978.0		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
745.9 5	2.4 1	1008.9		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
770.9 7	1.2 1	1034.1		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
797.8 6	2.3 1	1060.9		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
x 906.2 $\frac{1}{2}^+$ 8	0.8 1					
1008.7 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 6	2.9 2	1008.9		0	(7/2 ⁺)	
1061.2 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 6	2.5 2	1060.9		0	(7/2 ⁺)	
1112.2 10	0.5 4	1463.9		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
x 1123.0 $\frac{1}{2}^+$ 8	0.9 1					E_γ : other placement from 1945-578 level (2002Ku18) is now omitted since 578 level is populated only in the decay of 0.51-s isomer according to 2007Ku23 .
1133.9 8	1.1 3	1485.2		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
1160.8 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 9	0.7 1	2221.4		1060.9		
x 1180.4 $\frac{1}{2}^+$ 7	1.4 7					
x 1194.6 $\frac{1}{2}^+$ 6	2.6 2					
1213.1 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 7	1.3 1	2221.4		1008.9		
1223.3 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 7	1.7 2	2191.2		968.0		
1226.6 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 6	3.7 1	2287.4?		1060.9		
1367.6 6	2.9 1	2191.2		823.4		
1448.4 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 9	0.8 8	1711.6?		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	E_γ : placement not shown in figure 1; also fits between levels 2417-968, the 2417 level is populated in the decay of 0.51-s isomer.
1464.3 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 10	0.7 1	1463.9		0	(7/2 ⁺)	
1548.9 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 7	1.7 1	2525.6?		978.0		
1593.8 7	2.4 2	1945.0		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
1614.7 8	1.4 1	1966.0		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
1645.7 7	2.7 2	1908.9		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
x 1661.2 $\frac{1}{2}^+$ 10	0.6 1					
1770.2 7	2.7 3	2121.9		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
1840.8 7	2.9 2	2191.2		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
1858.1 7	3.4 2	2121.9		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
1869.7 7	2.3 2	2221.4		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
1911.0 9	1.1 1	2121.9		211.66	(9/2 ⁺)	
1927.6 7	4.5 2	2191.2		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
1936.3 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 10	0.7 3	2287.4?		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)	
1957.8 7	3.6 3	2221.4		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
2023.9 $\frac{3}{2}^+$ 10	0.9 4	2287.4?		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	
2034.5 10	0.8 1	2297.4		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{113}Ru β^- decay (0.80 s) 2002Ku18,2007Ku23 (continued) $\gamma(^{113}\text{Rh})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
2121.8& 11	0.7 1	2121.9		0	(7/2 ⁺)
2173.6& 8	0.5 1	2525.6?		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)
2191.0 8	2.9 1	2191.2		0	(7/2 ⁺)
2297.1 9	1.7 3	2297.4		0	(7/2 ⁺)
2324.0& 13	0.3 1	2675.3?		351.27	(5/2 ⁺)
2360.4& 9	1.8 2	2623.6?		263.18	(3/2 ⁺)

[†] The unplaced γ belongs to the decay of either or both the isomers.

[‡] Intensity divided based on β feeding proposed by 2007Ku23. Value is different in authors' earlier work (figure 1 of 2002Ku18).

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.89.

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

Decay Scheme

Legend

