

Coulomb excitation 1976Tu02

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 111,1471 (2010)	1-May-2009

 $E(\alpha)=9.4, 10.0, 10.6 \text{ MeV}$. $E(^{16}\text{O})=42, 45 \text{ MeV}$.

Enriched target (96%) were chemically processed to eliminate contaminants.

Measured: γ singles, $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma\gamma$ coin, semi, Doppler broadening.Others: [1970Be02](#), [1974Er06](#), [1974Le34](#). ^{113}In LevelsB(E2): B(E2) and B(E3) values were calculated from measured yield at 55° in $^{113}\text{In}(\alpha, \alpha'\gamma)$; see [1976Tu02](#).

E(level)	$J^{\pi} \dagger$	T _{1/2}	Comments
0	9/2 ⁺	stable	
391.7 8	1/2 ⁻		
646.9 8	3/2 ⁻		
1024.2 7	5/2 ⁺	3.6 ps 3	B(E3) $\uparrow=0.0048$ 5 B(E2) $\uparrow=0.0075$ 6 T _{1/2} : from B(E2). 3.8 ps 7 from DSA.
1131.7 7	5/2 ⁺	0.97 ps 7	B(E2) $\uparrow=0.0160$ 10 T _{1/2} : from B(E2).
1173.0 7	11/2 ⁺	0.07 [‡] ps 4	B(E2) $\uparrow=0.093$ 6 T _{1/2} : from B(E2).
1344.4 8	13/2 ⁺	0.33 ps 3	J ^π : x, $\gamma(\theta)$ for 171 γ and 1173 γ consistent with 11/2 ⁺ only. B(E2) $\uparrow=0.053$ 3 J ^π : x, $\gamma(\theta)$ gives 9/2 ⁺ or 13/2 ⁺ . T _{1/2} (DSA) and B(E2) not mutually consistent with 9/2 ⁺ . T _{1/2} : from B(E2). 0.28 ps 7 from DSA.
1509.5 8	7/2 ^{+,9/2⁺}	$\leq 0.2^{\ddagger}$ ps	B(E2) $\uparrow=0.0145$ 10 T _{1/2} : from B(E2). J ^π : from x, $\gamma(\theta)$ and Adopted Levels.
1566.9 8	7/2 ^{+,9/2⁺}	0.24 [‡] ps 10	B(E2) $\uparrow=0.0178$ 12 J ^π : from x, $\gamma(\theta)$ and Adopted Levels. T _{1/2} : from B(E2). B(E2) $\uparrow=0.0032$ 12
1630.7 7			

[†] From Adopted Levels, except as noted.[‡] From DSA-method line shapes in $^{113}\text{In}(^{16}\text{O}, ^{16}\text{O}'\gamma)$. $\gamma(^{113}\text{In})$

E _y [†]	I _y [‡]	E _i (level)	J _i ^π	E _f	J _f ^π	Mult.	#	δ	Comments
107.5	0.9 2	1131.7	5/2 ⁺	1024.2	5/2 ⁺				
171.4	2.1 1	1344.4	13/2 ⁺	1173.0	11/2 ⁺	M1+E2		+0.03 3	B(M1)(W.u.)=0.28 3; B(E2)(W.u.)=7 +14-7
255.3		646.9	3/2 ⁻	391.7	1/2 ⁻				
377.3	9.0 6	1024.2	5/2 ⁺	646.9	3/2 ⁻				
377.8	6.5 32	1509.5	7/2 ^{+,9/2⁺}	1131.7	5/2 ⁺				
391.7		391.7	1/2 ⁻	0	9/2 ⁺				
393.9	12.5 9	1566.9	7/2 ^{+,9/2⁺}	1173.0	11/2 ⁺				
457.7	25.9 48	1630.7		1173.0	11/2 ⁺				
484.8	14.0 3	1131.7	5/2 ⁺	646.9	3/2 ⁻	E1(+M2)	-0.03 5		B(E1)(W.u.)=0.00037 3; B(M2)(W.u.)=6 +22-6 δ : -3.0 5 excluded from transition strength.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

Coulomb excitation 1976Tu02 (continued) $\gamma(^{113}\text{In})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	Comments
606.5	≤ 1.0	1630.7		1024.2	$5/2^+$		
1024.2	91.0 6	1024.2	$5/2^+$	0	$9/2^+$	E2	$B(E2)(W.u.)=3.9\ 4$
1131.7	85.1 5	1131.7	$5/2^+$	0	$9/2^+$	E2	$B(E2)(W.u.)=8.2\ 6$
1173.0	100	1173.0	$11/2^+$	0	$9/2^+$		
1344.4	97.9 1	1344.4	$13/2^+$	0	$9/2^+$	E2	$B(E2)(W.u.)=11.8\ 11$
1509.5	93.5 32	1509.5	$7/2^+, 9/2^+$	0	$9/2^+$		
1566.9	87.5 9	1566.9	$7/2^+, 9/2^+$	0	$9/2^+$		
1630.7	73.1 48	1630.7		0	$9/2^+$		

[†] Uncertainty not given, 1 keV assumed by evaluator.[‡] % photon branching from each level.[#] Mult and δ from $\gamma(\theta)$.

