

^{113}Ag β^- decay (68.7 s) 1975BrYM,1990Fo07

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 111, 1471 (2010)	1-May-2009

Parent: ^{113}Ag : E=43.2; $J^\pi=7/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=68.7$ s 50; $Q(\beta^-)=2016$ 16; % β^- decay=36 7Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\gamma(t)$, $E\beta$, $\beta\gamma$ coin, (1975BrYM) $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$ (1981Me17). $E\gamma$, branching for IT decay (1990Fo07). Others: 1969Hn01, 1970Ma47. α : Additional information 1. ^{113}Cd Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	$1/2^+$	7.7×10^{15} y 5	$T_{1/2}$: from Adopted Levels.
298.30 8	$3/2^+$		
316.09 8	$5/2^+$		
458.30 16	$7/2^+$		
583.87 25	$5/2^+$		
708.34 17	$5/2^+$		
1007.1 3	$(5/2)^+$		
1047.4 4	$7/2^+$		
1195.3 6	$5/2^+$		

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ ^{†‡}	Log ft	Comments
(864 16)	1195.3	0.5 3	≈ 5.3	av $E\beta=291$ 8
(1012 16)	1047.4	≈ 0.44	≈ 5.6	av $E\beta=351$ 9
(1052 16)	1007.1	≈ 0.99	≈ 5.3	av $E\beta=368$ 9
(1351 16)	708.34	≈ 8.9	≈ 4.8	av $E\beta=495$ 9
(1475 16)	583.87	≈ 2.4	≈ 5.5	av $E\beta=549$ 9
(1601 16)	458.30	≈ 0.60	≈ 6.3	av $E\beta=604$ 9
(1743 16)	316.09	≈ 5.8	≈ 5.4	av $E\beta=668$ 9

[†] β^- branches were obtained from ($\gamma+ce$) imbalance at each level.[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.8 4. $\gamma(^{113}\text{Cd})$ $I\gamma$ normalization: assuming no β^- feeding to g.s. $\Delta J=3$, $\Delta\pi=\text{no}$.

E_γ	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ [‡]	Comments
17.8 1		316.09	$5/2^+$	298.30	$3/2^+$	M1	9.72 22	48.66	$ce(L)/(\gamma+ce)=0.738$ 10; $ce(M)/(\gamma+ce)=0.142$ 4; $ce(N)/(\gamma+ce)=0.0252$ 8; $ce(O)/(\gamma+ce)=0.00141$ 5; Particle normalization/ $T_{1/2}=0.0266$ 8
126 1	<2	583.87	$5/2^+$	458.30	$7/2^+$				$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: calculated from the decay scheme with assumption of no β^- feeding of the 298 level. I_γ : from $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ and α . Mult.: from ^{113}Ag β^- decay (5.37 h).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 ^{113}Ag β^- decay (68.7 s) 1975BrYM,1990Fo07 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{113}\text{Cd})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α	Comments
				[M1]				
142.20 [†] 15	16.5	458.30	7/2 ⁺	316.09	5/2 ⁺		0.1735	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.1505$ 22; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.0187$ 3; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.00360$ 6; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.000641$ 10; $\alpha(\text{O})=3.67\times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.000678$ 10
188 1	<2	1195.3	5/2 ⁺	1007.1	(5/2) ⁺			
249.9 [†] 4	6.3	708.34	5/2 ⁺	458.30	7/2 ⁺			
268.0 6	<2	583.87	5/2 ⁺	316.09	5/2 ⁺			
285 1	0.75	583.87	5/2 ⁺	298.30	3/2 ⁺			
298.3 [†] 1	57.5	298.30	3/2 ⁺	0	1/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0242	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0210$ 3; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00256$ 4; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000491$ 7; $\alpha(\text{N})=8.76\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.09\times 10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N+..})=9.27\times 10^{-5}$ 13
316.1 [†] 1	100	316.09	5/2 ⁺	0	1/2 ⁺	[E2]	0.0273	$\alpha(\text{K})=0.0232$ 4; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.00335$ 5; $\alpha(\text{M})=0.000649$ 10; $\alpha(\text{N})=0.0001129$ 16; $\alpha(\text{O})=5.08\times 10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(\text{N+..})=0.0001180$ 17
392.3 [†] 2	63	708.34	5/2 ⁺	316.09	5/2 ⁺			
410.0 [†] 6	3.8	708.34	5/2 ⁺	298.30	3/2 ⁺			
423 1	<1	1007.1	(5/2) ⁺	583.87	5/2 ⁺			
487 1	2.2	1195.3	5/2 ⁺	708.34	5/2 ⁺			
549 1	2.0	1007.1	(5/2) ⁺	458.30	7/2 ⁺			
583.8 3	20.5	583.87	5/2 ⁺	0	1/2 ⁺			I_γ : 1981Me17 gives 17.7 9.
589 1	1	1047.4	7/2 ⁺	458.30	7/2 ⁺			
690.8 4	4.1	1007.1	(5/2) ⁺	316.09	5/2 ⁺			
708.3 4	20	708.34	5/2 ⁺	0	1/2 ⁺			
709.0 5	5	1007.1	(5/2) ⁺	298.30	3/2 ⁺			E_γ : not seen by 1981Me17 and also by 1987BaYW in (n,n'γ).
731.3 4	3.5	1047.4	7/2 ⁺	316.09	5/2 ⁺			
737 1	<2	1195.3	5/2 ⁺	458.30	7/2 ⁺			
897 1	<2	1195.3	5/2 ⁺	298.30	3/2 ⁺			

[†] Also seen by 1981Me17 which agrees on I_γ .

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.18 4.

$^{113}\text{Ag} \beta^-$ decay (68.7 s) 1975BrYM,1990Fo07