

^{113}Ag β^- decay (5.37 h) 1978Ma17,1970Ma47

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 111, 1471 (2010)	1-May-2009

Parent: ^{113}Ag : $E=0$; $J^\pi=1/2^-$; $T_{1/2}=5.37$ h 5; $Q(\beta^-)=2016$ 16; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

Measured E_γ , I_γ , Ice, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, β endpoint, $\beta\gamma$ coin, 1978Ma17, 1970Ma47.

Others: 1958A190, 1960Kj01, 1969Cl11, 1969Hn01, 1969Li20, 1973BuZW.

α : Additional information 1.

 ^{113}Cd Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}^\dagger$	Comments
0.0	$1/2^+$	8.04×10^{15} y 5	
263.58 13	$11/2^-$	14.1 y 5	
298.53 6	$3/2^+$		
316.18 6	$5/2^+$	10.7 ns 4	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta\gamma(t)$ with scin, 1980Oh01.
522.34 9	$(7/2)^-$	0.322 ns 12	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ with scin, 1980Oh01.
584.06 9	$5/2^+$		
638.06 12	$9/2^-$		
680.58 7	$3/2^+$		
708.58 12	$5/2^+$		
855.31 8	$5/2^-$		
883.60 10	$1/2^+$		
988.44 8	$1/2^+$		
1049.90 10	$3/2^+$		
1126.09 8	$3/2^+$		
1194.66 6	$(3/2^-)$		
1479.29 7	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$		

† From Adopted Levels, except as noted.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\ddagger}$	Log ft	Comments
(537 16)	1479.29	≈ 0.12	≈ 7.7	av $E\beta=167.7$ 62
(821 16)	1194.66	≈ 2.1	≈ 7.1	av $E\beta=276.4$ 68
(890 16)	1126.09	≈ 0.086	≈ 8.6	av $E\beta=303.9$ 69
(966 16)	1049.90	≈ 0.065	≈ 8.8	av $E\beta=334.8$ 70
(1028 16)	988.44	≈ 0.45	≈ 8.1	av $E\beta=360.2$ 71
(1132 16)	883.60	≈ 0.29	≈ 8.4	av $E\beta=404.0$ 72
(1307 16)	708.58	≈ 0.020	$\approx 10.6^{1u}$	av $E\beta=490.2$ 71
				Log ft : calculated as first-forbidden unique.
(1335 16)	680.58	≈ 1.0	≈ 8.2	av $E\beta=490.7$ 74
(1432 16)	584.06	≈ 0.13	$\approx 10.0^{1u}$	av $E\beta=542.5$ 72
				Log ft : calculated as first-forbidden unique.
(1700 16)	316.18	≈ 1.7	$\approx 9.3^{1u}$	av $E\beta=657.3$ 74
				Log ft : calculated as first-forbidden unique.
(1717 16)	298.53	≈ 9.4	≈ 7.6	av $E\beta=659.1$ 77
(2016 16)	0.0	≈ 85	≈ 7.0	av $E\beta=793.8$ 78
				$E(\text{decay})$: $E\beta=2020$ from 1957Je07. Other: 2030 (1970Ma47).
				$I\beta^-$: from $I\beta(\text{total})/I_\gamma(299)$ compared with ^{198}Au $I\beta(\text{total})/I_\gamma(412)$, 1970Ma47. Other: 88% (1969Hn01).

† β branches were obtained from $(\gamma+ce)$ imbalance at each level, except for the g.s..

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$^{113}\text{Ag} \beta^-$ decay (5.37 h) 1978Ma17,1970Ma47 (continued) $\gamma(^{113}\text{Cd})$

I γ normalization: from $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)$ to g.s.+I β (g.s.)=100. The normalization factor is uncertain, since I β (g.s.) is approximate.
 $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}$ normalized by 316 γ keV to E2 theory. If 316 γ is M1, δ and α will be different for 259 γ and 299 γ .

E_γ	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α	Comments
17.7 2	0.42 5	316.18	5/2 ⁺	298.53	3/2 ⁺	M1		9.9 4	$\alpha(L)=8.0 3$; $\alpha(M)=1.55 6$; $\alpha(N)=0.275 11$; $\alpha(O)=0.0154 6$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.290 11$ $B(M1)(W.u.)=0.0084 14$ I γ : obtained by low-energy photon spectrometer. I $_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from Ti(17.7 γ)/Ti(316.3 γ) in $^{113}\text{Ag} \beta^-$ decay (68.7 s). Mult.: from I γ and I $(\gamma+ce)$.
96.2 2	0.37 2	680.58	3/2 ⁺	584.06	5/2 ⁺				
^x 133.5 2	0.66 2								
206.4 2	0.20 2	522.34	(7/2) ⁻	316.18	5/2 ⁺				
217.2 1	0.28 2	855.31	5/2 ⁻	638.06	9/2 ⁻				
258.8 1	16.35 30	522.34	(7/2) ⁻	263.58	11/2 ⁻	E2		0.0531	$\alpha(K)=0.0447 7$; $\alpha(L)=0.00685 10$; $\alpha(M)=0.001331 19$; $\alpha(N)=0.000230 4$; $\alpha(O)=9.56 \times 10^{-6} 14$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.000240 4$ $B(E2)(W.u.)=44.0 21$ Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.049 6$. E1+M2 is not excluded.
298.6 1	100	298.53	3/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺	E2+(M1)	>1.1	0.0310 21	$\alpha(K)=0.0264 17$; $\alpha(L)=0.0037 4$; $\alpha(M)=0.00073 7$; $\alpha(N)=0.000126 12$; $\alpha(O)=5.85 \times 10^{-6} 24$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.000132 12$ Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.027 1$.
316.3 1	13.43 20	316.18	5/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺	[E2]		0.0273	$\alpha(K)=0.0232 4$; $\alpha(L)=0.00335 5$; $\alpha(M)=0.000648 10$; $\alpha(N)=0.0001127 16$; $\alpha(O)=5.07 \times 10^{-6} 8$ $\alpha(N+..)=0.0001178 17$ $B(E2)(W.u.)=0.373 21$ Mult.: based on J^π values in proposed decay scheme.
333.1 1	5.98 9	855.31	5/2 ⁻	522.34	(7/2) ⁻	(M1,E2)		0.0207 25	$\alpha(K)=0.0178 19$; $\alpha(L)=0.0024 5$; $\alpha(M)=0.00046 9$; $\alpha(N)=8.0 \times 10^{-5} 15$; $\alpha(O)=4.08 \times 10^{-6} 25$ $\alpha(N+..)=8.4 \times 10^{-5} 15$ Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.021 9$.
339.4 1	6.38 10	1194.66	(3/2) ⁻	855.31	5/2 ⁻	M1,E2		0.0196 22	$\alpha(K)=0.0168 17$; $\alpha(L)=0.0022 4$; $\alpha(M)=0.00043 8$; $\alpha(N)=7.6 \times 10^{-5} 13$; $\alpha(O)=3.87 \times 10^{-6} 22$ $\alpha(N+..)=7.9 \times 10^{-5} 13$ Mult.: from $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=0.019 5$.
364.4 1	1.40 3	680.58	3/2 ⁺	316.18	5/2 ⁺				
369 1	0.10 5	1049.90	3/2 ⁺	680.58	3/2 ⁺				
374.3 2	0.25 2	638.06	9/2 ⁻	263.58	11/2 ⁻				
382.1 1	1.45 3	680.58	3/2 ⁺	298.53	3/2 ⁺				
392.4 1	0.20 2	708.58	5/2 ⁺	316.18	5/2 ⁺				
^x 410.8 1	0.12 2								

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{113}\text{Ag} \beta^-$ decay (5.37 h) **1978Ma17,1970Ma47** (continued) $\gamma(^{113}\text{Cd})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ [‡]	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
539 <i>l</i>	0.08 <i>3</i>	855.31	5/2 ⁻	316.18	5/2 ⁺
584.0 [#] <i>l</i>	2.1 ^{#†} <i>3</i>	584.06	5/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺
585 [#] <i>l</i>	0.10 ^{#†} <i>5</i>	883.60	1/2 ⁺	298.53	3/2 ⁺
611.0 <i>5</i>	0.45 <i>10</i>	1194.66	(3/2 ⁻)	584.06	5/2 ⁺
624.0 <i>l</i>	0.19 <i>l</i>	1479.29	3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺	855.31	5/2 ⁻
672.3 [#] <i>l</i>	0.3 ^{#†} <i>l</i>	988.44	1/2 ⁺	316.18	5/2 ⁺
672.3 [#] <i>l</i>	8.7 ^{#†} <i>3</i>	1194.66	(3/2 ⁻)	522.34	(7/2 ⁻)
680.6 <i>l</i>	6.95 <i>16</i>	680.58	3/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺
734 <i>l</i>	0.10 <i>5</i>	1049.90	3/2 ⁺	316.18	5/2 ⁺
809.9 <i>l</i>	0.15 <i>2</i>	1126.09	3/2 ⁺	316.18	5/2 ⁺
^x 816.1 <i>l</i>	0.11 <i>2</i>				
827 <i>l</i>	0.10 <i>5</i>	1126.09	3/2 ⁺	298.53	3/2 ⁺
878.5 <i>l</i>	0.52 <i>2</i>	1194.66	(3/2 ⁻)	316.18	5/2 ⁺
883.6 <i>l</i>	2.82 <i>7</i>	883.60	1/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺
896.1 <i>l</i>	0.58 <i>10</i>	1194.66	(3/2 ⁻)	298.53	3/2 ⁺
988.4 <i>l</i>	4.23 <i>9</i>	988.44	1/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺
1049.9 <i>l</i>	0.45 <i>3</i>	1049.90	3/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺
^x 1084.5 <i>l</i>	0.16 <i>3</i>				
1126.1 <i>l</i>	0.61 <i>3</i>	1126.09	3/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺
1180.8 <i>l</i>	0.37 <i>3</i>	1479.29	3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺	298.53	3/2 ⁺
1194.6 <i>l</i>	3.78 <i>10</i>	1194.66	(3/2 ⁻)	0.0	1/2 ⁺
1479.2 <i>l</i>	0.68 <i>4</i>	1479.29	3/2 ⁺ , 5/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁺

[†] Unresolved doublet. I_γ from $\gamma\gamma$ -coin results. I_γ divided into two parts on the basis of intensity balances.

[‡] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈ 0.10 .

[#] Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{113}\text{Ag} \beta^-$ decay (5.37 h) 1978Ma17,1970Ma47

Decay Scheme

Intensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays
@ Multiply placed: intensity suitably divided

Legend

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{max}$
- Coincidence

