

$^{112}\text{Cd}(\text{d,p}), ^{114}\text{Cd}(\text{d,t})$ 1969Go03

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 111, 1471 (2010)	1-May-2009

 $^{112}\text{Cd}(\text{d,p})$: E=13 MeV.

Other: 1964Ro17.

 ^{113}Cd Levels

E(level)	J^π	L^\dagger	C ² S	Comments
0.0	1/2 ⁺	0	0.34	
270 10	11/2 ⁻	5	0.40	
300 10	3/2 ⁺	2	0.40	
320 10	5/2 ⁺	2	0.14	
460 10	7/2 ⁺	4	0.26	
530 10	7/2 ⁺	4	0.36	
590 10	(5/2 ⁺)	2	0.05	
680 10	(3/2 ⁺)	2	0.27	
760 10	1/2 ⁺	0	0.14	
820 10	7/2 ⁺	4	0.12	
880 10	1/2 ⁺	0	0.07	
900 10	3/2 ⁺	2	0.21	
960 10				
980 10	1/2 ⁺	0	0.04	
1010 10				
1130 20				
1170 20				
1200 10		2,3		J^π : authors assign $J^\pi=7/2^+$ but it is not compatible with given L. A level at 1195.4 has been adopted with $J^\pi=5/2^+, 7/2^+, 9/2^+$.
1280 10	(3/2 ⁺)	2	0.03	
1320 10				
1390 20				
1430 10	(3/2 ⁺)	2	0.06	
1450 20				
1490 15	(3/2 ⁺)	2	0.06	
1540 10				
1580 10	(7/2 ⁻)	(3)	0.02	
1610 10	(5/2 ⁺)	2	0.02	
1670 10	(3/2 ⁺)	(2)	0.02	
1810 10				
1840 10		1,2		
1880 10				
1900 10	(1/2 ⁺)	(0)	0.02	
1990 10				
2040 10	7/2 ⁻	3	0.04	
2080 10	(1/2 ⁺)	(0)	0.01	
2110 10	(7/2 ⁻)	(3)	0.02	
2120 20				
2140 20	(1/2 ⁺)	(0)		
2170 10	3/2 ⁻	1	0.04	
2180 10	3/2 ⁻	1	0.03	
2240 10		(3)		
2270 10				
2310 10	(3/2 ⁻)	(1)	0.01	
2330 10				
2370 10				
2410 10		(4)		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{112}\text{Cd}(\text{d,p}), ^{114}\text{Cd}(\text{d,t})$ **1969Go03 (continued)** ^{113}Cd Levels (continued)

<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$J^{\pi\dagger}$</u>	<u>L^{\dagger}</u>	<u>C^2S</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$J^{\pi\dagger}$</u>	<u>L^{\dagger}</u>	<u>C^2S</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u>$J^{\pi\dagger}$</u>	<u>L^{\dagger}</u>	<u>C^2S</u>
2440 <i>10</i>				2630 <i>10</i>	(1/2 ⁺)	(0)	0.04	2770 <i>10</i>	(3/2 ⁻)	(1)	0.02
2540 <i>10</i>	(7/2 ⁻)	(3)	0.03	2690 <i>10</i>				2810 <i>10</i>	1/2 ⁺	0	0.03
2580 <i>10</i>	(3/2 ⁻)	(1)	0.02	2750 <i>10</i>							

[†] Deduced from proton angular distributions at 16 angles, $\theta=5^{\circ}-115^{\circ}$ compared with DWBA calculations. For $L \geq 3$ the agreement with DWBA is rather poor.

[‡] Determined from L by use of the shell model. The d5/2 shell-model state is almost full, while the d3/2 state is almost empty. For $L=2$, J was therefore assigned 5/2 or 3/2 from a comparison of $\sigma(\text{d,t})$ and $\sigma(\text{d,p})$.