

^{112}Tc β^- decay 1990Ay02

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. Lalkovski, F. G. Kondev		NDS 124, 157 (2015)	1-Aug-2014

Parent: ^{112}Tc : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=(2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=271$ ms 15; $Q(\beta^-)=10374$ 11; $\% \beta^-$ decay=100.0

1990Ay02: Facility: IGISOL at Jyvaskyla; Source: mass separated from $^{238}\text{U}(p,F)$; Beam: $E(p)=20$ MeV, $I_c=1\mu\alpha$; Target: 10-20 mg/cm² natural uranium; Detectors: two intrinsic Ge, one planar Ge, one surface barrier ΔE detector, one plastic NE102 E-detector, ELLI detector comprising magnetic transport system, Si(Li); Measured: E_γ , I_γ , I_c , $\beta\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$; Deduced: ^{112}Ru level scheme; Also from the same team: 1991Jo11, 1988AyZZ.

Others: 2009Pe06.

 ^{112}Ru Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$ [‡]
0.0	0 ⁺	1.75 s 7
236.64 17	2 ⁺	
523.56 17	2 ⁺	
644.9 3	4 ⁺	
747.6 4	3 ⁺	
1026.6 5		
1179.3 6		

[†] From a least-squares fit to E_γ .

[‡] From the Adopted Levels.

 $\gamma(^{112}\text{Ru})$

I_γ normalization: from $\text{Ti}(236.8\gamma)+\text{Ti}(523.5\gamma)\leq 100$. The decay scheme is incomplete (pandemonium) and no log ft values are given. The I_γ normalization is an upper limit.

E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{‡#}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α [†]	Comments
152.7 2	6 3	1179.3		1026.6				
224.0 2	8 3	747.6	3 ⁺	523.56	2 ⁺	[M1+E2]	0.054 20	$\alpha(K)=0.047$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.006$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0012$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00019$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.00018$ 8; $\alpha(O)=7.9\times 10^{-6}$ 23 E_γ : From adopted gammas. $E_\gamma=223.5$ keV 5 in 1990Ay02.
236.8 2	100	236.64	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	0.0602	$\alpha(K)=0.0513$ 8; $\alpha(L)=0.00728$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.001346$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=0.000219$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.000211$ 3; $\alpha(O)=8.41\times 10^{-6}$ 12 Mult.: From ce measurements in 1990Ay02.
287.0 2	33 4	523.56	2 ⁺	236.64	2 ⁺	M1+E2	0.025 7	$\alpha(K)=0.021$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.0028$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.00051$ 17; $\alpha(N+..)=8.E-5$ 3 $\alpha(N)=8.1\times 10^{-5}$ 25; $\alpha(O)=3.7\times 10^{-6}$ 8 Mult.: From ce measurements in 1990Ay02.
381.7 5	9 4	1026.6		644.9	4 ⁺			
408.2 2	15 5	644.9	4 ⁺	236.64	2 ⁺	[E2]	0.00988	$\alpha(K)=0.00856$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.001086$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.000200$ 3; $\alpha(N+..)=3.32\times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(N)=3.18\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(O)=1.472\times 10^{-6}$ 21
432.0 10		1179.3		747.6	3 ⁺			
510.8 2	21 9	747.6	3 ⁺	236.64	2 ⁺	[M1+E2]	0.0047 3	$\alpha(K)=0.00411$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.00049$ 5; $\alpha(M)=9.0\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(N+..)=1.52\times 10^{-5}$ 13

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{112}Tc β^- decay [1990Ay02](#) (continued) $\gamma(^{112}\text{Ru})$ (continued)

<u>E_γ</u> [‡]	<u>I_γ</u> ^{‡#}	<u>E_i(level)</u>	<u>J_i^π</u>	<u>E_f</u>	<u>J_f^π</u>	<u>Mult.</u>	<u>α</u> [†]	<u>Comments</u>
523.4 2	24 5	523.56	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	[E2]	0.00467	$\alpha(\text{N})=1.45\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.3\times 10^{-7}$ 3 E_γ, I_γ : From adopted gammas. $E_\gamma=511.5$ keV 5 and $I_\gamma=7$ 7 in 1990Ay02 . $\alpha(\text{K})=0.00407$ 6; $\alpha(\text{L})=0.000499$ 7; $\alpha(\text{M})=9.16\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(\text{N+..})=1.536\times 10^{-5}$ 22 $\alpha(\text{N})=1.465\times 10^{-5}$ 21; $\alpha(\text{O})=7.10\times 10^{-7}$ 10

[†] [Additional information 1](#).

[‡] From [1990Ay02](#), unless otherwise stated. ΔE_γ estimated by the evaluators ([1996De55](#)) after discussion with the authors.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≤ 0.799 .

^{112}Tc β^- decay 1990Ay02

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I_γ

Legend

