²⁵²Cf SF decay 2009Lu18,2009Zh24,2013Sn01

	History							
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date					
Full Evaluation	S. Lalkovski, F. G. Kondev	NDS 124, 157 (2015)	1-Aug-2014					

Parent: ²⁵²Cf: E=0.0; $J^{\pi}=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=2.645$ y 8; %SF decay=3.092 8

2009Lu18,2009Zh24: Facility: LBNL; Source: 62 μCi ²⁵²Cf placed between two Fe foils of 10 mg/cm² thickness; Detectors: GAMMASPHERE; Measured: γ-γ-γ coin., γγ(θ), Eγ, Iγ; Deduced: level scheme; Also, from the same group: 2010Ha16, 2009Lu01, 2009Zh50, 2007Go21, 2007ChZZ, 2006Ch07, 2004Ha19, 2002Ha46, 1997Ha64, 1995Lu10.
2013Sn01: Facility: ANL; Source: 230 μCi ²⁵²Cf, covered with 240 μg/cm² of Au, on a Pt backing of thickness of 440 mg/cm²;

2013Sn01: Facility: ANL; Source: 230 μ Ci ²⁵²Cf, covered with 240 μ g/cm² of Au, on a Pt backing of thickness of 440 mg/cm²; Detectors: GAMMASPHERE and HERCULES array of 64 fast-plastic detectors; Measured: γ - γ - γ coin., E γ , I γ and T_{1/2} (using DSAM).

Others: 2004Sm04, 2005Sm08, 1974JaZN, 1974JaYY, 1970Ch11.

¹¹²Ru Levels

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	0^{+}		
236.8 ^{&} 4	2+	0.32 ns <i>3</i>	 T_{1/2}: from recoil-distance Doppler-shift method (1974JaZN,1974JaYY). Other: 0.16 ns 4 (1970Ch11). μ: +0.88 18, deduced from g=+0.44 9 (2004Sm04, 2005Sm08), using the time-integral correlation technique.
523.6 ^a 4	2^{+}		I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I
645.0 <mark>&</mark> 5	4+		
747.6 ^b 5	3+		
980.8 ^a 5	4+		
1189.8 25	6+		
1235.4 ^b 5	5+		
1413.7 [#] 5	(4 ⁺)		
1570.2 ^{<i>a</i>} 5	6+		
1649.6 ^{@} 5	(5 ⁺)		
1839.7 ^{&} 6	8+	1.7 ps +13-5	$T_{1/2}$: using DSAM for 650.0 γ in 2013Sn01.
1841.1 ^b 5	7+	2.2 ps +7-14	$T_{1/2}$: using DSAM for 605.7 γ in 2013Sn01, but the branching intensities for the 270.8 γ and 605.7 γ were not taken into account.
1955.8 [#] 5	(6+)		
1995.2 5	(4 ⁻)		
2003.4 ^e 5	(5^{-})	<1 ns	$T_{1/2}$: From 2009Lu01.
2148.0 5	(5)		
2230.3 ^J 5	(6 ⁻)		
2231.4 6	(7^{+})		
$2203.5^{\circ}0$	8	41	T
$2334.3^{\circ\circ}$ 3	(0)	<1 ns	$1_{1/2}$: From 2009Lu01.
2489.3^{e} 5	7-		
2534.7 <mark>b</mark> 7	(9^{+})	1.3 ps + 7 - 6	$T_{1/2}$: using DSAM for 693.6 γ in 2013Sn01.
2562.7 <mark>&</mark> 7	10+	1.4 ps 3	$T_{1/2}$: using DSAM for 723.0 γ in 2013Sn01.
2574.3 ^c 5	7-	I	1/2 0 7
2574.7 [#] 8	(8^{+})		
2771.8 ^f 6	(8 ⁻)		
2829.3 ^d 6	(8 ⁻)		
2899.9 <mark>8</mark> 7	. ,		
2909.3 [@] 8	(9+)		

²⁵²Cf SF decay 2009Lu18,2009Zh24,2013Sn01 (continued)

¹¹²Ru Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	Jπ‡	T _{1/2}	Comments
3033.6 ^{<i>a</i>} 8 3076.6 ^{<i>e</i>} 6 3094.2 ^{<i>c</i>} 6	(10^+) (9 ⁻) (9 ⁻)		
3291.0 ^b 9	(11^{+})	0.9 ps 5	$T_{1/2}$: using DSAM for 756.0y in 2013Sn01.
3325.9 <mark>&</mark> 9	12+	1.12 ps + 15 - 14	$T_{1/2}$: using DSAM for 763.4 γ in 2013Sn01.
3379.9 ^d 7	(10^{-})	1	.,
3420.9 ^{<i>f</i>} 6 3519.8 ^{<i>g</i>} 8	(10 ⁻)		
3711.6 [°] 7	(11^{-})		
3768.7 ^e 7 3870.9 ^a 10	(11^{-}) (12^{+})		
4032.6 ^d 8	(12 ⁻)		
4095.9 ^b 10	(13 ⁺)		
4118.1 ^{&} 10	14+	1.6 ps 3	$T_{1/2}$: using DSAM for 791.9 γ in 2013Sn01.
4198.8 ^{<i>f</i>} 7 4213.4 ^{<i>g</i>} 10	(12 ⁻)		
4428.4 [°] 8	(13 ⁻)		
4561.8 ^e 9	(13^{-})		
4764.2 ^{<i>a</i>} 11	(14^{+})		
4/69./ ^a 6	(14)		
4951.2° 12	(15^{+})	1.22 . 24 . 10	
$4954.5 \approx 11$	10^{-1}	1.32 ps +24-19	$\Gamma_{1/2}$: using DSAM for 850.07 in 2015Sn01.
$5072.9^{\circ} 9$ $5227.9^{\circ} 10$	(14) (15^{-})		
5700.8 ^{<i>a</i>} 7	(16^+)		
5829.7 <mark>&</mark> 12	18+		
5854.0 ^b 13	(17^{+})		
6725.2 ^{&} 13	20+		

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma's$.

[‡] From 2009Lu18 and 2009Zh24 based on $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ for selected cascades and the observed band structures.

- [#] Band(A): Possible two-phonon γ -vibrational band, α =0.
- [@] Band(a): Possible two-phonon γ -vibrational band, α =1.
- [&] Band(B): $K^{\pi} = 0^+$, g.s. band.
- ^{*a*} Band(C): $K^{\pi}=2^+, \gamma$ -vibrational band, $\alpha=0$.
- ^{*b*} Band(c): $K^{\pi}=2^+, \gamma$ -vibrational band, $\alpha=1$.
- ^{*c*} Band(D): likely $K^{\pi}=6^{-}$ band ($\alpha=1$). The assignment is tentative.
- ^d Band(d): likely $K^{\pi}=6^{-}$ band ($\alpha=0$). The assignment is tentative.
- ^{*e*} Band(E): $K^{\pi} = 4^{-}, v1/2[411] \otimes v7/2[523]$ band, $\alpha = 1$.
- ^{*f*} Band(e): $K^{\pi} = 4^{-}, v1/2[411] \otimes v7/2[523]$ band, α=0.
- ^g Band(F): γ -ray cascade built on the top of the 2392 keV level.

			252	Cf SF deca	ny <mark>200</mark>	09Lu	18,2009Zh24,2013Sn01 (co	ontinued)	
							$\gamma(^{112}\text{Ru})$		
E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	I_{γ}^{\dagger}	E_f	J_f^{π} M	lult.		Comments	
236.8	2^{+}	236.8 5	100	0.0 0)+				
523.6	2^{+}	286.8 5	100	236.8 2	2+				
		523.6 5	91.8 <i>14</i>	0.0 0)+				
645.0	4+	408.2 5	100	236.8 2	2+				
747.6	3+	224.0 5	35.1 6	523.6 2	2+				
		510.8 5	100	236.8 2	2+				
980.8	4+	233.2 5	5.6 6	747.6 3	3+				
		335.6 5	22.9 10	645.0 4	1 ⁺				
		457.2 5	100	523.6 2	2+				
	<i>c</i> 1	744.1 5	3.6 3	236.8 2	2+				
1189.8	6^+	544.7 5	100	645.0 4	1+ (+				
1235.4	5-	254.7 5	5.7 2	980.8 4	1 ⁺				
		487.8 5	100	747.6 3	5 ⁺				
1410 7	(4+)	590.5 5	6.9 4	645.0 4	+ ' >+				
1413.7	(4.)	000.3 J	15.4 /	141.0 3	5 ⁺				
1570.2	6+	890.0 J	263	1225.0 2	2 5+				
1370.2	0	380 3 5	2.03	1255.4 5	5 5+				
		580.3 5	1.2 2	080.8 /) 1 ⁺				
1649 6	(5^{+})	235.9.5	100	1413.7 (- (4+)				
1017.0	(5)	668 9 5	564	980.8 4	1+				
		902.1.5	22.2.11	747.6 3	3+				
1839.7	8+	650.0 5	100	1189.8 6	, 5 ⁺ IF	E21	L _v : 100 in 2013Sn01.		
1841.1	7+	270.8 5	4.1 5	1570.2 6	5 ⁺	1			
		605.7 5	100	1235.4 5	5 ⁺ [E	E2]	I_{ν} : 44.5 in 2013Sn01.		
		651.2 5		1189.8 6	5+	-	,		
1955.8	(6^{+})	542.0 5	100	1413.7 (*	(4+)				
		720.5 5	12.5 7	1235.4 5	5+				
		975.0 5	63 <i>3</i>	980.8 4	1 ⁺				
1995.2	(4 ⁻)	1014.4 5	33.3 24	980.8 4	1 ⁺				
		1247.5 5	100	747.6 3	3+				
		1350.2 5	16.7 21	645.0 4	1 ⁺				
2003.4	(5^{-})	589.7 5	<38.7	1413.7 (4	(4 ⁺)				
		768.0 5	100	1235.4 5) ⁺				
		1022.5 5	100	980.8 4	∔' 1+				
2149.0	(5-)	1338.3 3	33 / 20 5	045.0 4	+ [.] 1+				
2140.0	(\mathbf{J})	1502.9.5	100	645 0 4	+ 1+				
2230.3	(6^{-})	226.9.5	67.17	2003.4 (·5-)				
2230.3	(0)	235 1 5	9217	1995.2 ((4^{-})				
		660.1.5	13.5.23	1570.2 6	5+				
		994.9.5	42.6	1235.4 5	5+				
		1040.6 5	100	1189.8 6	5+				
2231.4	(7^{+})	581.9 5	100	1649.6 ((5+)				
		995.8 <i>5</i>	68 4	1235.4 5	5+				
2263.5	8+	693.3 5	100	1570.2 6	5^{+}				
2334.3	(6 ⁻)	331.0 5	12.1	2003.4 ((5 ⁻)				
		764.1 5	34 5	1570.2 6	5+				
		1098.8 5	100	1235.4 5	5+				
		1144.6 5	40 10	1189.8 6	5 ⁺				
2392.0		1156.6 5	100	1235.4 5	5+				
2489.3	7-	259.0 5	12.3 12	2230.3 (6 ⁻)				
		341.4 5	12.7 20	2148.0 (5-)				
		486.0 5	4.8 12	2003.4 (5)				
		919.1 5	173	1570.2 6) ר				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

²⁵²Cf SF decay 2009Lu18,2009Zh24,2013Sn01 (continued)

$\gamma(^{112}\text{Ru})$ (continued)

E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	I_{γ}^{\dagger}	$E_f J_f^{\pi}$	Mult.	Comments
2489.3	7-	1299.6 5	100	1189.8 6+	D	Mult.: from $(1299.6\gamma)(544.7\gamma)(\theta)$: A ₂ =-0.090 35, A ₄ =-0.02 6. The predicted values for dipole-quadrupole cascade are: A ₂ =-0.071, A ₄ =0; and for quadrupole-quadrupole cascade are: A ₂ =-0.102 and A ₄ =-0.051
2534.7 2562.7	(9 ⁺) 10 ⁺	693.6 <i>5</i> 723.0 <i>5</i>	100 100	1841.1 7 ⁺ 1839.7 8 ⁺	[E2] [E2]	I_{γ} : 26.5 in 2013Sn01. I_{γ} : 55.9 in 2013Sn01.
2574.3	7-	240.0 [‡] 5 426.3 5 733.1 5 1004.1 5	10 <i>4</i> 4.2 <i>2</i> 11.8 <i>15</i>	2334.3 (6 ⁻) 2148.0 (5 ⁻) 1841.1 7 ⁺ 1570.2 6 ⁺		\dot{E}_{γ} : from Figure 3 of 2009Lu18.
		1384.6 5	100	1189.8 6+	D	Mult.: from $(1384.6\gamma)(544.7\gamma)(\theta)$: A ₂ =-0.07 6, A ₄ =-0.05 9. The predicted values for dipole-quadrupole cascade are: A ₂ =-0.071, A ₄ =0; and for quadrupole-quadrupole cascade are: A ₂ =-0.102 and A ₄ =-0.051.
2574.7 2771.8	(8 ⁺) (8 ⁻)	618.9 5 282.5 5 541.5 5 930.7 5 932.0 5	100 24 5 100 7.0 18 3.5 8	1955.8 (6 ⁺) 2489.3 7 ⁻ 2230.3 (6 ⁻) 1841.1 7 ⁺ 1839.7 8 ⁺		
2829.3	(8 ⁻)	255.1 5 $340.0^{\ddagger} 5$	100.2 <i>24</i> 4.5	2574.3 7 ⁻ 2489.3 7 ⁻		I _{γ} : 100.22.4 in table 3 of 2009Lu18 seems a misprint.
2899.9		495.1* 5 507.9 5 1058.8 5	100	2334.3 (6) 2392.0 1841.1 7 ⁺		
2909.3	(9+)	677.9 5	100	2231.4 (7 ⁺)		
3033.6	(10^{+})	770.1 5	100	2263.5 8+		
3076.6	(9-)	304.8 5 587.3 5 1237.0 5	11.0 <i>23</i> 100 40 <i>4</i>	2771.8 (8 ⁻) 2489.3 7 ⁻ 1839.7 8 ⁺		
3094.2	(9 ⁻)	264.8 5 519.8 5 830.7 5 1254.5 5	9.3 7 100 23 8 35 6	2829.3 (8 ⁻) 2574.3 7 ⁻ 2263.5 8 ⁺ 1839.7 8 ⁺		
3291.0	(11^{+})	756.3 5	100	2534.7 (9 ⁺)	[E2]	I_{γ} : 10.2 in 2013Sn01.
3325.9	12^{+}	763.2 5	100	2562.7 10+	[E2]	I_{γ} : 31.3 in 2013Sn01.
3379.9	(10-)	285.6 5 550.6 5	17.4 22 100	3094.2 (9 ⁻) 2829.3 (8 ⁻)		
3420.9	(10)	344.3 5 649.0 5 619.9 5	14 3 100 100	3076.6 (9) 2771.8 (8 ⁻) 2899.9		
3711.6	(11 ⁻)	331.7 5 617.4 5 1148.8 5	14.8 <i>13</i> 100 26 <i>3</i>	$\begin{array}{c} 3379.9 & (10^{-}) \\ 3094.2 & (9^{-}) \\ 2562.7 & 10^{+} \end{array}$		
3768.7	(11 ⁻)	347.8 <i>5</i> 692.0 <i>5</i>	17 5 100	3420.9 (10 ⁻) 3076.6 (9 ⁻)		
3870.9	(12^{+})	837.3 5	100	3033.6 (10+)		
4032.6	(12-)	321.0 [‡] 5 652.7 5	100	3711.6 (11 ⁻) 3379.9 (10 ⁻)		E_{γ} : Reported only in 2010Ha16.
4095.9	(13^{+})	804.9 5	100	3291.0 (11+)		
4118.1	14^{+}	792.2 5	100	3325.9 12+	[E2]	I_{γ} : 12.3 in 2013Sn01.
4198.8	(12 ⁻)	430.1 <i>5</i> 778.0 <i>5</i>	20 6 100	3768.7 (11 ⁻) 3420.9 (10 ⁻)		
4213.4	(12-)	093.0 3	100	3319.8 2711 6 (11-)		
4420.4 4561 9	(13) (12^{-})	/10.8 J 703 1 5	100	3/11.0 (11) 3768.7 (11 ⁻)		
-1JUI.0	(13)	175.1 5	100	5700.7 (11)		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

				²⁵² Cf SI	F decay	2009	Lu18,2009Zh24,2013Sn01 (continued)
						$\gamma(^1$	¹² Ru) (continued)
E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	I_{γ}^{\dagger}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_{f}^{π}	Mult.	Comments
4764.2	(14^{+})	893.3 5	100	3870.9	(12^{+})		
4769.7	(14 ⁻)	737.1 [‡] 5	100	4032.6	(12^{-})		
4951.2	(15^{+})	855.3 5	100	4095.9	(13^{+})		
4954.3	16+	836.2 5	100	4118.1	14^{+}	[E2]	I_{γ} : 7.1 in 2013Sn01.
5072.9	(14^{-})	874.1 5	100	4198.8	(12^{-})		·
5227.9	(15^{-})	799.5 <i>5</i>	100	4428.4	(13 ⁻)		
5700.8	(16^{+})	936.6 [‡] 5	100	4764.2	(14^{+})		
5829.7	18+	875.4 5	100	4954.3	16+		
5854.0	(17^{+})	902.8 5	100	4951.2	(15^{+})		
6725.2	20+	895.4 5	100	5829.7	18+		

[†] From 2009Lu18 and 2009Zh24, unless otherwise noted. $\Delta E \gamma' s$ were assigned by the evaluators. The I γ values quoted from 2013Sn01 have uncertainties of 3 % for the strong transitions up to 40 % for the weak ones. \ddagger Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

5



 $^{112}_{44}$ Ru₆₈

²⁵²Cf SF decay 2009Lu18,2009Zh24,2013Sn01

Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level

--- γ Decay (Uncertain)

Legend



 $^{112}_{44}$ Ru₆₈

7

²⁵²Cf SF decay 2009Lu18,2009Zh24,2013Sn01

Level Scheme (continued)

Intensities: Relative photon branching from each level













 $^{112}_{44}{
m Ru}_{68}$