		History	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 110, 1239 (2009)	1-Feb-2008

Parent: ¹¹¹Pd: E=0.0; $J^{\pi}=5/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=23.4$ min 2; $Q(\beta^-)=2217$ 11; $\%\beta^-$ decay=100.0 1987Ze04 propose two levels at 568.5 and 568.8 instead of 568.8 based on coincidence. Measured: γ , $\gamma\gamma(1977Kr14, 1969Be11, 1969Sc12)$.

Ag	Levels
D	201010

E(level)	J^{π}	T _{1/2}	Comments
0.0	1/2-	7.45 d <i>1</i>	$\%\beta^{-}=100$ T = 1 from 7.45 d L (1060Pa40) 7.450 d L7 (1074Pa18)
59.87 7	7/2+	64.8 s 8	$\pi_{1/2}$: from $1/45$ d $1'$ (1900B449), 7.450 d $1'$ (1974K018). %IT=99.3; $\beta\beta^{-}=0.7$ 2 E(level): from $\ln(245\alpha)^{111}$ Cd)/ $\ln(580\alpha)=0.58$ 4 (1977Kr14)
130.29 <i>9</i> 289.76 <i>10</i>	9/2 ⁺ 3/2 ⁻	1.22 ns 2	$T_{1/2}$: from $\beta(ce(K) 70\gamma)(t)$: 1976Sv04.
376.66 [†] 7	3/2+	16 ns 1	T _{1/2} : from 1977Gl06 (377 γ)(t); branching: I γ (377 γ)/I γ (317 γ)/I γ (87 γ)=100/4.2/3.33 (1977Gl06).
391.20 10	5/2-		
404.77 [†] <i>16</i>	$1/2^{+}$		
545.84 [†] <i>14</i>	7/2+		
568.5 2	5/2+		
568.8 1	5/2+		
606.93 9	5/2+		
641./ <i>3 1</i> 9	$\frac{3}{2}$		E(level): weakly populated in decay; enhanced in pickup and stripping reactions.
710 28 10	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$		
809.04 21	(3/2 ,//2) 5/2 ⁻		
876.1 5	- 1		
1062.20 16	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$		
1085.6 4	$(7/2^+)$		
1086.4/20	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$		
117036	$(3/2^+)$ $(3/2^+)$		
1180.29 15	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$		
1210.44 17	3/2+		
1506.1? 7			
1518.80 14	$5/2^+, 7/2^+$		$\Gamma(1 - 1) = 1$ (1074D 15)
1602.5 4	$\frac{5}{2}$		E(level): based on $\gamma\gamma$ -anticoincidence spectra (19/4Bul5).
1021.9 ð 1674 3 <i>4</i>	$\frac{3}{2}$		E(level): (The, a) excitation with $L=2$ probably corresponds.
1704.8 4	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$		

[†] Band(A): (K=1/2(431)) band In analogy with similar configurations populated in ¹⁰⁷In-¹¹⁹In. α =18.7, a=-1.5 calc from 1/2,3/2,7/2 E(levels). Other interpretation given by 1977Gl06.

β^{-} radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger}$	Log ft			Comments
(512 11)	1704.8	≈0.06	≈6.7	av E β =	153 <i>19</i>	
(543 11)	1674.3	≈0.021	≈7.2	av Eβ=	164 19	
(595 11)	1621.9	≈0.013	≈7.6	av Eβ=	183 19	
(615 11)	1602.5	≈0.029	≈7.3	av Eβ=	190 19	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^{-\dagger}$	Log ft		Comments
(698 11)	1518.80	1.4 2	5.8 1	av E β =	222 20
(1007 11)	1210.44	0.10	7.5	av $E\beta =$	345 21
(1037 11)	1180.29	0.17	7.4	av $E\beta =$	358 21
(1047 11)	1170.3	≈0.034	≈8.1	av $E\beta =$	362 21
(1098 11)	1119.3	0.10	7.7	av $E\beta =$	383 21
(1131 11)	1086.47	0.16	7.5	av $E\beta =$	397 22
(1131 11)	1085.6	≈0.07	≈7.9	av E $\beta =$	397 22
(1155 11)	1062.20	0.16	7.6	av E β =	407 22
(1341 11)	876.1	≈0.022	≈8.7	av E $\beta =$	487 22
(1408 11)	809.04	≈0.018	≈ 8.8	av E β =	516 22
(1507 11)	710.28	1.36 14	7.1 <i>1</i>	av Eβ=	559 22
(1610 11)	606.93	0.39	7.7	av Eβ=	605 23
(1648 11)	568.8	0.10	8.2	av E β =	622 23
(1649 11)	568.5	0.05	8.2	av E β =	622 23
(1671 11)	545.84	≈0.08	≈8.5	av E β =	632 23
(1826 11)	391.20	≈0.027	≈9.1	av E β =	701 23
(1840 11)	376.66	0.18	8.3	av E β =	708 23
(2157 11)	59.87	95.3 <i>4</i>	5.85 5	av E β =	852 23
				E(decay): (1960Pt	: 2130 50 (1957Kn38) s. Others: 2150 100 (1952Mc34), 2100 100 Pr07).
				$I\beta^-$: using $I\gamma(59.8)$	If $I_{\gamma}(59.8\gamma) = 63 \ 5(1969\text{Be}11)$ gives $I_{\beta} = 95.3 \ 4$; other By $I_{\gamma} = 43 \ 5(1977\text{Kr}14)$ would give $I_{\beta} = 95.1$.

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

$\gamma(^{111}\text{Ag})$

Iy normalization: if no direct β^- feeding to ¹¹¹Ag g.s. and if $\%\beta^-=0.7$ for 59.8 level (¹¹¹Ag).

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}\&$	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	δ	α b	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{a}$	Comments
59.82 8	63 5	59.87	7/2+	0.0	1/2-	E3		181		$\alpha(K)=$ 42.9; $\alpha(L)=$ 114.6; $\alpha(M)=$ 23.56; $\alpha(N+)=$ 4.23
										I_{γ} : from 1969Be11. Others: 43 5 (1977Kr14), 79
										21 (1969SC12). Mult.: from L1/L2/L3=7.0 15/100/130 2.
										K/L=0.64 20 (1978Sh08) HF(E3,59.8γ)=21 W.u.
70.43 8	90 <i>3</i>	130.29	9/2+	59.87	7/2+	M1+(E2)	≤0.12	1.18	197 5	α (K)= 1.030; α (L)= 0.1410; α (M)= 0.0268; α (N+)=0.00531
										I _γ : from I(γ+ce) and α. Others: 80 5 (1969Be11), 106 21 (1969Sc12), 50 8 (1977Kr14). δ≤0.12 deduced by 1976Sv04 from L-subshell ratios.
										$I_{(\gamma+ce)}$: from an intensity balance at the 130 level.
87.0	1.8	376.66	3/2+	289.76	3/2-	[E1]		0.22		$\alpha(K) = 0.2078; \ \alpha(L) = 0.0255; \ \alpha(M) = 0.00479;$
										$a_{(N+)=0.00091}$ E _y ,I _y : from 1977Gl06.
101.4 7	0.6 3	391.20	5/2-	289.76	3/2-					
141.8 [‡] 5	$0.05^{\ddagger} 2$	710.28	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$	568.8	5/2+					
166 <i>1</i>	1.5 10	876.1		710.28	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$					
169.4 2	4.0 17	545.84	7/2+	376.66	3/2+					
202.2 4	1.2 3	606.93	5/2+	404.77	1/2+					
230.3 2	2.8 3	606.93	5/2+	376.66	3/2+					
279.0 2	1.2 4	568.5	5/2+	289.76	3/2-					E_{γ} : the placement of this γ and the two levels (568.5,568.8) are based on coincidence work
200.0.1	12.2.0	200.76	2/2-	0.0	1/0-	0.01		0.024		of $198/Ze04$ in (³ He,pn γ).
289.8 1	12.29	289.70	$\frac{3}{2}$	0.0	$\frac{1}{2}$			0.024		$\alpha(\mathbf{K})=0.02076; \ \alpha(\mathbf{L})=0.00250; \ \alpha(\mathbf{M})=0.00047$
306.4 2	1.1 I 2 A 3	376.66	3/2, 1/2 $3/2^+$	1210.44	3/2 7/2+	[E2]		0.026		$\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.02216; \alpha(\mathbf{L}) = 0.00312; \alpha(\mathbf{M}) = 0.00060;$
510.8 2	2.4 5	570.00	5/2	39.07	1/2	[122]		0.020		$\alpha(N)=0.02210, \alpha(L)=0.00312, \alpha(M)=0.00000, \alpha(N+)=0.00012$
										I_{γ} : av of 2.2 (1977Gl06), 3.2 4 (1977Kr14), 1.8 2 (1969Be11), 2.6 4 (1969Sc12).
352.2 6	0.7 3	641.73	3/2-	289.76	3/2-					
376.68 8	53 3	376.66	3/2+	0.0	1/2-	[E1]		0.004		$\alpha(K)=0.00354; \ \alpha(L)=0.00041$
391.2 1	3.1 1	391.20	5/2-	0.0	1/2-					
$404.8\ 2$	10.0 6	404.77	$1/2^{+}$	0.0	$1/2^{-}$					
415.5 [#] 3	8.8 <i>3</i>	545.84	7/2+	130.29	9/2+					I _γ : av of: 10 2 (1969Be11), 7 2 (1969Sc12), <15 (1977Kr14).

ω

$\gamma(^{111}\text{Ag})$ (continued)

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	I_{γ}^{\dagger} &	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	$\mathbf{E}_f \qquad \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Comments
418 [‡] /	$0.5^{\ddagger}.3$	809.04	5/2-	391.20 5/2-	
438.5 /	5.8 6	568.8	$5/2^+$	$130.29 \ 9/2^+$	
476.7 1	6.9 6	606.93	5/2+	130.29 9/2+	
478.9 6	2.3 13	1085.6	$(7/2^+)$	606.93 5/2+	
485.8 2	3.1 6	545.84	7/2+	59.87 7/2+	
494.1 <i>4</i>	1.0 5	1062.20	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	568.5 5/2+	
509.1 [°] 6	25 ^{c@} 3	568.5	5/2+	59.87 7/2+	E_{γ} : see the 279 γ .
509.1 [°] 6	25 ^{c@} 3	568.8	5/2+	59.87 7/2+	
516.4 6	3.1 7	1085.6	$(7/2^+)$	568.8 5/2+	I_{γ} : assigned also to 1062.2 level; however, branching in (³ He,pn γ) suggests that all the intensity belongs with the 1085.7 level.
519.3 [#] 2	1.2 6	809.04	$5/2^{-}$	289.76 3/2-	
540.7 6	3.1 6	1086.47	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	545.84 7/2+	
547.00 8	44 <i>3</i>	606.93	5/2+	59.87 7/2+	
552.6 2	1.9 3	683.04	7/2+,9/2+	130.29 9/2+	
580.00 8	100	710.28	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$	130.29 9/2+	2
603.1 5	2.7 13	1210.44	3/2+	606.93 5/2+	I_{γ} : I_{γ} : $I_{\gamma}(834\gamma)$: $I_{\gamma}(921\gamma)$ =1.09 24: 1.0 7: 2.90 12 in (³ He,pn\gamma) suggests that only part of the 603 γ should be assigned as deexciting the 1210 level.
611.3 4	2.1 5	1180.29	3/2+,5/2+	568.8 5/2+	
623.2 1	33 2	683.04	7/2+,9/2+	59.87 7/2+	
624+ 1	$2.0^{+}5$	1170.3	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	545.84 7/2+	I_{γ} : from $I_{\gamma}/I_{\gamma}(794\gamma)$ in (³ He,pn γ) one expects $I_{\gamma}=0.4$ 7.
635 1	2.5 20	1180.29	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$	545.84 7/2+	
641.7 <mark>a</mark> 2	2.0^{a} 9	641.73	3/2-	$0.0 1/2^{-}$	I_{γ} : from $I_{\gamma}/I_{\gamma}(352.2\gamma)=2.80\ 21$ in (³ He,pn γ).
641.7 ^d 2	5.0 ^d 10	1210.44	3/2+	568.8 5/2+	E_{γ} : placement from 1974Bu15; deexcites 1705 and/or 1519 levels (1969Be11,1969Sc12), placement in (³ He,pn γ) is from 1210 and 642 levels.
					I_{γ} : from I_{γ} =7.0 5 for the doubly placed 641.9 γ and I_{γ} deduced for placement from the 642 level.
650.4 <i>1</i>	66 <i>3</i>	710.28	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$	59.87 7/2+	
657.3 6	2.8 3	1062.20	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	$404.77 1/2^+$	
085.4 Z	0.0 /	1062.20	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	$3/0.00 3/2^{+}$	
709.8 2	13.0 13	1080.47	(3/2, 3/2)	376.66 3/2+	
74576	177	876.1	(3/2)	$130.29 \ 9/2^+$	
13:10	0.5 2	1062.20	$(3/2^+ 5/2^+)$	280.76 3/2-	
77553	505	1180 29	(3/2, 3/2) $3/2^+ 5/2^+$	404 77 1/2+	
793.8.6	2.1.4	1170.3	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	376.66 3/2+	
803.8 3	4.1 7	1180.29	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$	376.66 3/2+	
808.5 ^d	0.14^{d} 9	809.04	5/2-	$0.0 1/2^{-1}$	Ly: from $I_{\gamma}(519\gamma)/I_{\gamma}(519\gamma+809\gamma)=0.08$ 4 in (³ He nny).
808.5 ^d	$3.1^{d} 4$	1518.80	5/2+,7/2+	710.28 (5/2+,7/2	⁺) I_{γ} : from I_{γ} = 3.2 4 for the doubly placed 809 γ (weighted average of 3.4 5 (1977Kr14), 3.0 I_{γ} : from I_{γ} = 3.2 4 for the doubly placed 809 γ (weighted average of 3.4 5 (1977Kr14), 3.0
816.5 [‡] <i>10</i>	0.9 [‡] 5	876.1		59.87 7/2+	13 (1909 $Be11$), 2.0 8 (1909 $Se12$) and 1 γ deduced for placement from the 809 level.

4

 $^{111}_{47}\mathrm{Ag}_{64}$ -4

			1	¹¹ Pd β^-	decay (23.4	min) 1977Kr14,1969Be11,1969Sc12 (continued)
						γ ⁽¹¹¹ Ag) (continued)
${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}\&$	E_i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	J_f^π	Comments
833 [‡] 1	1.0 [‡] 5	1210.44	3/2+	376.66	3/2+	
835.7 2	32 2	1518.80	5/2+,7/2+	683.04	7/2+,9/2+	
890 [‡] 1	$0.5^{\ddagger} 2$	1180.29	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$	289.76	3/2-	
921.4 6	2.9 6	1210.44	3/2+	289.76	$3/2^{-}$	
937.3 [‡] 10	$0.8^{\ddagger} 4$	1506.1?		568.8	5/2+	
950.0 [‡] 10	$1.0^{\ddagger} 4$	1518.80	$5/2^+, 7/2^+$	568.8	$5/2^{+}$	
955.5 6	4.5 4	1085.6	$(7/2^+)$	130.29	9/2+	
1002.3 3	7.3 13	1062.20	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	59.87	7/2+	
1015 [‡] <i>1</i>	1.0 [‡] 5	1621.9	3/2+	606.93	5/2+	
1022 [‡] 1	$0.8^{\ddagger} 4$	1704.8	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$	683.04	7/2+,9/2+	
1026.6 [‡] 10	$1.0^{\ddagger} 5$	1086.47	$(3/2^+, 5/2^+)$	59.87	$7/2^{+}$	
1053 [‡] 1	0.5 [‡] 3	1621.9	$3/2^{+}$	568.8	$5/2^{+}$	
1059.8 [‡] 10	1.5 [‡] 5	1119.3	$(3/2^+)$	59.87	$7/2^+$	
1067.1 [‡] .5	1.5 [‡] 5	1674.3	3/2-	606.93	$5/2^+$	
1098 7	$0.8^{\ddagger}4$	1704.8	$(5/2^+ 7/2^+)$	606.93	5/2+	
1120.4 2	16.0 15	1180.29	$3/2^+, 5/2^+$	59.87	$\frac{3}{2}^{+}$	I_{α} : assigned also to 1120 level by 1969Be11 but branching in (³ He.pny) is consistent with
			-/- ,-/-		•,=	placement entirely from 1190 level.
^x 1246 [‡] 1	$0.4^{\ddagger} 2$					
1269.7 [‡] .5	1.0^{\ddagger} 3	1674.3	$3/2^{-}$	404.77	$1/2^{+}$	
$13112^{\ddagger}10$	$0.9^{\ddagger}5$	1602.5	5/2+	289.76	3/2-	
x1348 2	21	1002.5	5/2	207.70	5/2	
1388.5 2	64 5	1518.80	5/2+,7/2+	130.29	9/2+	
^x 1395 [‡] 1	0.5 [‡] 3					
1459.0 <i>3</i>	67 5	1518.80	5/2+,7/2+	59.87	7/2+	
1506 [‡] 1	0.1 [‡] 1	1506.1?		0.0	$1/2^{-}$	
1542.9 4	2.6 3	1602.5	5/2+	59.87	$7/2^{+}$	
^x 1549 [‡] 1	0.6 [‡] 3					
1574.5 5	3.1 5	1704.8	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$	130.29	9/2+	
1644.3 [‡] 10	2.3 [‡] 5	1704.8	$(5/2^+, 7/2^+)$	59.87	7/2+	
^x 1863.2 [‡] 10	0.3 [‡] 2					

S

[†] Eγ, Iγ are from 1977Kr14, unless otherwise indicated.
[‡] From 1969Be11.
[#] From 1977Kr14 (5.5-h ¹¹¹Pd decay).
[@] From branching in (³He,pnγ), one expects Iγ(509γ from 568.5)=24 13, and Iγ(509γ from 568.8)=23 7, nearly twice the observed intensity.

¹¹¹Pd β^- decay (23.4 min) 1977Kr14,1969Be11,1969Sc12 (continued)

$\gamma(^{111}\text{Ag})$ (continued)

[&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0087 7.

^{*a*} Absolute intensity per 100 decays. ^{*b*} Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^c Multiply placed with undivided intensity.

^d Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

 $x \gamma$ ray not placed in level scheme.

6

 $^{111}_{47}\mathrm{Ag}_{64}$ -6



Decay Scheme (continued)





 $^{111}_{\ 47} Ag_{64}$