

$^{94}\text{Mo}(^{19}\text{F},\text{p}2\text{n}\gamma)$ **1987Vi06**

Type	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	G. Gürdal and F. G. Kondev	NDS 113, 1315 (2012)	1-Aug-2011

Beam: $E(^{19}\text{F})=83$ MeV. Target: 3 mg/cm² layer of molybdenum enriched to 91.59% ^{94}Mo with a 6 mg/cm² lead backing. γ -rays were detected using an array of 5 HPGe detectors and 7 NaI(Tl) detectors arranged as a multiplicity filter. Measured: $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma(\theta)$. Deduced: ^{110}Sn levels, mult, J^π .

 ^{110}Sn Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	
1211.6 5	2 ⁺	
2196.2 7	4 ⁺	
2477.0 9	6 ⁺	
2752.9 10	6 ⁺	
3686.1 10	7 ⁻	
3764.5 10	8 ⁻	
3810.4 10	(8 ⁺)	
3932.3 11	9 ⁻	
4625.6?		E(level): No γ rays were observed to depopulate this level.
4778.3 11	(9 ⁻)	
4894.1 12		
5107.9 12		
5226.5 11	(10 ⁺)	configuration: Possible $\nu(h_{11/2}^2)$.
6035.5 15	(12 ⁺)	
6776.9 16	(14 ⁺)	
7585.7 17	(16 ⁺)	
8490.1 17	(18 ⁺)	
9494.5 18	(20 ⁺)	

[†] From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$'s.

[‡] From 1987Vi06, based on the deduced γ -ray multipolarities using $\gamma(\theta)$ and the observed band structures.

 $\gamma(^{110}\text{Sn})$

E_γ [†]	I_γ [†]	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	Comments
78.2 5	44 5	3764.5	8 ⁻	3686.1	7 ⁻		
167.6 5	53 6	3932.3	9 ⁻	3764.5	8 ⁻		
275.8 5	18 2	2752.9	6 ⁺	2477.0	6 ⁺		
280.8 5	85 9	2477.0	6 ⁺	2196.2	4 ⁺		
448.3 5	8 1	5226.5	(10 ⁺)	4778.3	(9 ⁻)	D	Mult.: $A_2=-0.58$ 5, $A_4=0.37$ 7, but 448.3 γ was not well separated from a contaminant 449.2 γ .
600.8 5	6 1	5226.5	(10 ⁺)	4625.6?			
741.4 5	21 2	6776.9	(14 ⁺)	6035.5	(12 ⁺)	E2	Mult.: $A_2=0.34$ 3, $A_4=-0.13$ 4.
808.8 [#] 5	15 [#] 2	7585.7	(16 ⁺)	6776.9	(14 ⁺)	E2	Mult.: $A_2=0.36$ 3, $A_4=-0.18$ 3.
809 [#] 1	24 [#] 3	6035.5	(12 ⁺)	5226.5	(10 ⁺)	E2	Mult.: $A_2=0.36$ 3, $A_4=-0.18$ 3.
904.4 5	10 1	8490.1	(18 ⁺)	7585.7	(16 ⁺)	E2	Mult.: $A_2=0.34$ 8, $A_4=-0.12$ 10.
933.0 5	22 2	3686.1	7 ⁻	2752.9	6 ⁺		
966.2@	1.6 2	4778.3	(9 ⁻)	3810.4	(8 ⁺)		
984.6 5	95 10	2196.2	4 ⁺	1211.6	2 ⁺		
1004.4 5	5.0 5	9494.5	(20 ⁺)	8490.1	(18 ⁺)	E2	Mult.: $A_2=0.19$ 10, $A_4=-0.08$ 10.
1011.6 5	13 2	3764.5	8 ⁻	2752.9	6 ⁺		
1092.4 5	4.5 5	4778.3	(9 ⁻)	3686.1	7 ⁻	(E2)	Mult.: $A_2=0.40$ 12, $A_4=-0.25$ 14.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{94}\text{Mo}(^{19}\text{F},\text{p2n}\gamma)$ 1987Vi06 (continued) **$\gamma(^{110}\text{Sn})$ (continued)**

E_γ^{\dagger}	I_γ^{\ddagger}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	Comments
1129.6 5	10 1	4894.1		3764.5	8 ⁻		
1175.6 5	23 3	5107.9		3932.3	9 ⁻		
1209.2 5	47 5	3686.1	7 ⁻	2477.0	6 ⁺	(E1)	Mult.: A ₂ =-0.29 3, A ₄ =-0.01 3.
1211.6 5	100 10	1211.6	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2	Mult.: A ₂ =0.31 2, A ₄ =-0.09 2.
1294.0 5	6.5 7	5226.5	(10 ⁺)	3932.3	9 ⁻	(E1)	Mult.: A ₂ =-0.29 10, A ₄ =0.05 15.
1333.4 5	34 4	3810.4	(8 ⁺)	2477.0	6 ⁺		

[†] From 1987Vi06. Authors stated that $\Delta E\gamma \approx 0.5$ keV. $I\gamma$ from $\gamma\gamma$ coincidences ($I\gamma(1211.6)=100$) and $\Delta I\gamma \approx 10\%$ by the authors.

[‡] From $\gamma(\theta)$ in 1987Vi06.

Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

@ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

