

$^{110}\text{Rh}$   $\beta^-$  decay (28.0 s) 1999Lh01,1988Ay02

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	G. Gürdal and F. G. Kondev		NDS 113, 1315 (2012)	1-Aug-2011

Parent:  $^{110}\text{Rh}$ :  $E=0.0+y$ ;  $J^\pi=(6^+)$ ;  $T_{1/2}=28.0$  s 13;  $Q(\beta^-)=5505$  18;  $\% \beta^-$  decay=100.0

1999Lh01: Source:  $^{238}\text{U}(p,F)$ ,  $E(p) = 25$  MeV. The parent  $^{110}\text{Rh}$  was mass separated on-line at the IGISOL facility. The ion beams of the separated activities were implanted on a moving tape. The  $\gamma$ -rays were detected by four Ge detectors of the EUROGAM phase-1 type. They were positioned in a plane around the collection spot at a distance of 10cm and at angles of  $80^\circ$ ,  $110^\circ$  and  $155^\circ$  with respect to each other. The beta-rays were detected by two 0.9mm thick plastic scintillator detectors positioned at one on each side of the source. Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\beta\gamma$ . Deduced:  $^{110}\text{Pd}$  levels,  $J^\pi$ .

1988Ay02: Source:  $^{238}\text{U}(p,F)$ ,  $E(p) = 20$  MeV. IGISOL on-line mass separator facility was used to separate the parent  $^{110}\text{Rh}$  nucleus. The  $^{110}\text{Rh}$  production rate was  $\approx 2 \times 10^3$  ions/ $\mu\text{C}$ . The ion beams of the separated activities were implanted on a 6 mm wide moving tape. The  $\gamma$ -rays were detected by 25% and 23% coaxial Ge detectors. A 1.4 cm<sup>3</sup> planar Ge detector was used to detect the low-energy  $\gamma$ -rays. The conversion electrons were detected by a 3 mm thick, LN-cooled Si(Li) detector.  $\beta$ -rays were detected with a 1 mm thick NE102 plastic  $\Delta E$  detector in a combination with a 6.0 cm long and 7.5 cm in diameter NEE102 plastic scintillator. Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ ,  $\beta\gamma$ , ce. Deduced: Levels, Mult.,  $J^\pi$ .

Others: 2000Wa14, 1973FrYO, 1970Pi01 and 1970Wa28.

 $^{110}\text{Pd}$  Levels

<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u><math>J^\pi</math><sup>‡</sup></u>	<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u><math>J^\pi</math><sup>‡</sup></u>	<u>E(level)<sup>†</sup></u>	<u><math>J^\pi</math><sup>‡</sup></u>
0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	1212.10 21	(3 <sup>+</sup> )	1987.21 24	(6 <sup>+</sup> )
373.80 20	2 <sup>+</sup>	1398.33 22	4 <sup>+</sup>	2260.6 3	(5 <sup>+</sup> )
813.60 18	2 <sup>+</sup>	1574.0 3	6 <sup>+</sup>	2447.8 4	(2 <sup>+</sup> )
920.66 21	4 <sup>+</sup>	1900.07 22	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	2790.64 24	(6 <sup>+</sup> )
				2804.95 23	(6 <sup>+</sup> )

<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$ .

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

<u>E(decay)</u>	<u>E(level)</u>	<u><math>I\beta^-</math><sup>†#</sup></u>	<u>Log <math>ft</math><sup>†‡</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
(2700 18)	2804.95	45 4	4.88 5	av $E\beta=1112.8$ 84
(2714 18)	2790.64	22.9 20	5.19 5	av $E\beta=1119.5$ 85
(3244 18)	2260.6	3.4 19	6.34 25	av $E\beta=1368.4$ 85
(3518 18)	1987.21	0.9 7	7.1 4	av $E\beta=1497.6$ 86
(3605 18)	1900.07			$I\beta^-$ : a significant feeding is calculated to this level from intensity balance considerations, which is inconsistent with the corresponding transition being second forbidden. This is most likely due to pandemonium effect.
(3931 18)	1574.0	7.2 21	6.38 13	av $E\beta=1693.5$ 86

<sup>†</sup> From intensity balances and the level scheme by the evaluators.

<sup>‡</sup> Since the energy of the isomeric level is not known and the decay scheme is incomplete, the values are approximate.

# Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>110</sup>Rh β<sup>-</sup> decay (28.0 s) **1999Lh01,1988Ay02 (continued)**

γ(<sup>110</sup>Pd)

I<sub>γ</sub> normalization: From Σ Ti(g.s.)=100. <sup>110</sup>Rh (J<sup>π</sup>=(6<sup>+</sup>)) to <sup>110</sup>Pd (J<sup>π</sup>=0<sup>+</sup>) g.s. β<sup>-</sup> feeding is assumed to be negligible.

<u>E<sub>γ</sub><sup>‡</sup></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>‡a</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.<sup>@</sup></u>	<u>δ&amp;</u>	<u>α<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
291.6 <sup>#</sup> 2	2.0 4	1212.10	(3 <sup>+</sup> )	920.66	4 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]		0.0213	α(K)=0.0186 3; α(L)=0.00222 4; α(M)=0.000417 6; α(N+..)=7.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10 α(N)=7.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> 10
373.8 2	100 4	373.80	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.01448	α(K)=0.01245 18; α(L)=0.001661 24; α(M)=0.000314 5; α(N+..)=5.17×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8 α(N)=5.17×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8 Mult.: From adopted gammas. I <sub>γ</sub> : The authors give 100 38 (1999Lh01), but the quoted uncertainty may be a typo.
398.6 2	22.2 12	1212.10	(3 <sup>+</sup> )	813.60	2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]		0.00969	α(K)=0.00847 12; α(L)=0.001002 14; α(M)=0.000188 3; α(N+..)=3.17×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5 α(N)=3.17×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5
439.8 2	32.9 21	813.60	2 <sup>+</sup>	373.80	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2+M1	-4.6 +19-12	0.00870 15	α(K)=0.00751 13; α(L)=0.000970 20; α(M)=0.000183 4; α(N+..)=3.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6 α(N)=3.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> 6 δ: From adopted gammas.
477.8 2	8.1 6	1398.33	4 <sup>+</sup>	920.66	4 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]		0.00622	α(K)=0.00544 8; α(L)=0.000640 9; α(M)=0.0001202 17; α(N+..)=2.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3 α(N)=2.03×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3
501.9 <sup>#</sup> 2	3.6 10	1900.07	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	1398.33	4 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]		0.00552	α(K)=0.00483 7; α(L)=0.000568 8; α(M)=0.0001066 15; α(N+..)=1.80×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3 α(N)=1.80×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3
544.4 <sup>#</sup> 2	6.8 12	2804.95	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	2260.6	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	[M1]		0.00455	α(K)=0.00398 6; α(L)=0.000466 7; α(M)=8.75×10 <sup>-5</sup> 13; α(N+..)=1.477×10 <sup>-5</sup> 21 α(N)=1.477×10 <sup>-5</sup> 21
546.9 2	43 3	920.66	4 <sup>+</sup>	373.80	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		0.00462	α(K)=0.00401 6; α(L)=0.000503 7; α(M)=9.46×10 <sup>-5</sup> 14; α(N+..)=1.576×10 <sup>-5</sup> 23 α(N)=1.576×10 <sup>-5</sup> 23
584.6 2	15.2 12	1398.33	4 <sup>+</sup>	813.60	2 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]		0.00384	α(K)=0.00333 5; α(L)=0.000415 6; α(M)=7.80×10 <sup>-5</sup> 11; α(N+..)=1.300×10 <sup>-5</sup> 19 α(N)=1.300×10 <sup>-5</sup> 19
588.8 <sup>#</sup> 2	4.1 4	1987.21	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1398.33	4 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]		0.00376	α(K)=0.00327 5; α(L)=0.000406 6; α(M)=7.64×10 <sup>-5</sup> 11; α(N+..)=1.274×10 <sup>-5</sup> 18 α(N)=1.274×10 <sup>-5</sup> 18
653.3 2	18.3 16	1574.0	6 <sup>+</sup>	920.66	4 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]		0.00285	α(K)=0.00247 4; α(L)=0.000304 5; α(M)=5.71×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8; α(N+..)=9.53×10 <sup>-6</sup> 14 α(N)=9.53×10 <sup>-6</sup> 14

<sup>110</sup>Rh β<sup>-</sup> decay (28.0 s) [1999Lh01,1988Ay02](#) (continued)

γ(<sup>110</sup>Pd) (continued)

$E_\gamma$ ‡	$I_\gamma$ ‡ <sup>a</sup>	$E_i$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. @	$\alpha^\dagger$	Comments
687.7 2	32.6 24	1900.07	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	1212.10	(3 <sup>+</sup> )	[M1]	0.00263	$\alpha(K)=0.00230$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000268$ 4; $\alpha(M)=5.02\times 10^{-5}$ 7; $\alpha(N+..)=8.48\times 10^{-6}$ 12 $\alpha(N)=8.48\times 10^{-6}$ 12
803.5# 2	1.1 3	2790.64	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1987.21	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	[M1]	0.00184	$\alpha(K)=0.001612$ 23; $\alpha(L)=0.000187$ 3; $\alpha(M)=3.50\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=5.91\times 10^{-6}$ 9 $\alpha(N)=5.91\times 10^{-6}$ 9
813.6 2	11.5 14	813.60	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	$1.63\times 10^{-3}$	$\alpha(K)=0.001423$ 20; $\alpha(L)=0.0001707$ 24; $\alpha(M)=3.20\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=5.37\times 10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=5.37\times 10^{-6}$ 8
817.6# 2	2.0 6	2804.95	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1987.21	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	[M1]	$1.77\times 10^{-3}$	$\alpha(K)=0.001549$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.000180$ 3; $\alpha(M)=3.37\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=5.68\times 10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=5.68\times 10^{-6}$ 8
838.2# 3	23.9 19	1212.10	(3 <sup>+</sup> )	373.80	2 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]	$1.67\times 10^{-3}$	$\alpha(K)=0.001465$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.0001697$ 24; $\alpha(M)=3.18\times 10^{-5}$ 5; $\alpha(N+..)=5.37\times 10^{-6}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=5.37\times 10^{-6}$ 8
890.5 3	10.0 12	2790.64	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1900.07	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	[E2]	$1.31\times 10^{-3}$	$\alpha(K)=0.001148$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.0001366$ 20; $\alpha(M)=2.56\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=4.30\times 10^{-6}$ 6 $\alpha(N)=4.30\times 10^{-6}$ 6
904.5 3	19.5 20	2804.95	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1900.07	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	[E2]	$1.27\times 10^{-3}$	$\alpha(K)=0.001107$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.0001315$ 19; $\alpha(M)=2.47\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=4.14\times 10^{-6}$ 6 $\alpha(N)=4.14\times 10^{-6}$ 6
979.2 3	3.2 6	1900.07	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	920.66	4 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]	$1.18\times 10^{-3}$	$\alpha(K)=0.001036$ 15; $\alpha(L)=0.0001195$ 17; $\alpha(M)=2.24\times 10^{-5}$ 4; $\alpha(N+..)=3.78\times 10^{-6}$ 6 $\alpha(N)=3.78\times 10^{-6}$ 6
1048.5# 3	7.8 16	2260.6	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	1212.10	(3 <sup>+</sup> )	[E2]	$9.05\times 10^{-4}$	$\alpha(K)=0.000792$ 11; $\alpha(L)=9.31\times 10^{-5}$ 13; $\alpha(M)=1.744\times 10^{-5}$ 25; $\alpha(N+..)=2.93\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $\alpha(N)=2.93\times 10^{-6}$ 5 $E_\gamma$ : 1048.3 keV in <a href="#">1988Ay02</a> .
1049.5 3	1.6 6	2447.8	(2 <sup>+</sup> )	1398.33	4 <sup>+</sup>			
1086.5# 3	7.0 24	1900.07	(4 <sup>+</sup> )	813.60	2 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	$8.37\times 10^{-4}$	$\alpha(K)=0.000732$ 11; $\alpha(L)=8.59\times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(M)=1.609\times 10^{-5}$ 23; $\alpha(N+..)=2.71\times 10^{-6}$ 4 $\alpha(N)=2.71\times 10^{-6}$ 4
1216.5 3	2.2 6	2790.64	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1574.0	6 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]	$7.45\times 10^{-4}$	$\alpha(K)=0.000647$ 9; $\alpha(L)=7.42\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(M)=1.390\times 10^{-5}$ 20; $\alpha(N+..)=1.038\times 10^{-5}$ 15 $\alpha(N)=2.35\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(IPF)=8.03\times 10^{-6}$ 12
1230.9 3	8.1 15	2804.95	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1574.0	6 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]	$7.29\times 10^{-4}$	$\alpha(K)=0.000631$ 9; $\alpha(L)=7.24\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(M)=1.355\times 10^{-5}$ 19; $\alpha(N+..)=1.220\times 10^{-5}$ 18 $\alpha(N)=2.29\times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(IPF)=9.91\times 10^{-6}$ 15
1340.0# 3	2.8 6	2260.6	(5 <sup>+</sup> )	920.66	4 <sup>+</sup>	[M1]	$6.29\times 10^{-4}$	$\alpha(K)=0.000527$ 8; $\alpha(L)=6.03\times 10^{-5}$ 9; $\alpha(M)=1.129\times 10^{-5}$ 16; $\alpha(N+..)=3.08\times 10^{-5}$ 5 $\alpha(N)=1.91\times 10^{-6}$ 3; $\alpha(IPF)=2.89\times 10^{-5}$ 4

<sup>110</sup>Rh β<sup>-</sup> decay (28.0 s) [1999Lh01,1988Ay02](#) (continued)

γ(<sup>110</sup>Pd) (continued)

<u>E<sub>γ</sub><sup>‡</sup></u>	<u>I<sub>γ</sub><sup>‡a</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>i</sub>(level)</u>	<u>J<sub>i</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>E<sub>f</sub></u>	<u>J<sub>f</sub><sup>π</sup></u>	<u>Mult.<sup>@</sup></u>	<u>α<sup>†</sup></u>	<u>Comments</u>
1392.1 <sup>#</sup> 3	9.3 10	2790.64	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1398.33	4 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	5.45×10 <sup>-4</sup>	α(K)=0.000436 7; α(L)=5.04×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7; α(M)=9.43×10 <sup>-6</sup> 14; α(N+..)=4.90×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7 α(N)=1.588×10 <sup>-6</sup> 23; α(IPF)=4.74×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7
1406.6 3	4.7 7	2804.95	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1398.33	4 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	5.38×10 <sup>-4</sup>	α(K)=0.000427 6; α(L)=4.93×10 <sup>-5</sup> 7; α(M)=9.23×10 <sup>-6</sup> 13; α(N+..)=5.32×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8 α(N)=1.555×10 <sup>-6</sup> 22; α(IPF)=5.16×10 <sup>-5</sup> 8
1579.2 4	1.1 5	2790.64	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1212.10	(3 <sup>+</sup> )	[M3]	1.61×10 <sup>-3</sup>	α(K)=0.001393 20; α(L)=0.0001670 24; α(M)=3.14×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; α(N+..)=2.30×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4 α(N)=5.30×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8; α(IPF)=1.77×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3 E <sub>γ</sub> : 1577 keV in <a href="#">1988Ay02</a> .
1593.6 <sup>#</sup> 3	4.0 9	2804.95	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	1212.10	(3 <sup>+</sup> )	[M3]	1.58×10 <sup>-3</sup>	α(K)=0.001361 19; α(L)=0.0001629 23; α(M)=3.06×10 <sup>-5</sup> 5; α(N+..)=2.43×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4 α(N)=5.17×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8; α(IPF)=1.91×10 <sup>-5</sup> 3
1869.5 <sup>#</sup> 5	2.0 4	2790.64	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	920.66	4 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	5.21×10 <sup>-4</sup>	α(K)=0.000246 4; α(L)=2.81×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4; α(M)=5.26×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8; α(N+..)=0.000242 4 α(N)=8.88×10 <sup>-7</sup> 13; α(IPF)=0.000241 4
1884.1 <sup>#</sup> 4	5.1 9	2804.95	(6 <sup>+</sup> )	920.66	4 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]	5.24×10 <sup>-4</sup>	α(K)=0.000243 4; α(L)=2.77×10 <sup>-5</sup> 4; α(M)=5.18×10 <sup>-6</sup> 8; α(N+..)=0.000248 4 α(N)=8.75×10 <sup>-7</sup> 13; α(IPF)=0.000248 4

<sup>†</sup> Additional information 1.

<sup>‡</sup> From [1999Lh01](#).

<sup>#</sup> Observed in [1999Lh01](#), but not in [1988Ay02](#).

<sup>@</sup> From adopted gammas.

<sup>&</sup> If No value given it was assumed δ=0.00 for E2/M1, δ=1.00 for E3/M2 and δ=0.10 for the other multiplicities.

<sup>a</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.89 4.

<sup>110</sup>Rh β<sup>-</sup> decay (28.0 s) 1999Lh01,1988Ay02

Decay Scheme

Intensities: Relative I<sub>γ</sub>

Legend

- I<sub>γ</sub> < 2% × I<sub>max</sub>
- I<sub>γ</sub> < 10% × I<sub>max</sub>
- I<sub>γ</sub> > 10% × I<sub>max</sub>

