

$^{109}\text{Tc } \beta^- \text{ decay }$ 1992PeZX,1989Gr23

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. Kumar(a), J. Chen(b) and F. G. Kondev		NDS 137, 1 (2016)	31-May-2016

Parent: ^{109}Tc : E=0.0; $J^\pi=(5/2^+)$; $T_{1/2}=0.91$ s 3; $Q(\beta^-)=6456$ 13; % β^- decay=100.0

1992PeZX: Activity from $^{238}\text{U}(p,f)$ $E_p=25$ MeV, IGISOL. Detectors: planar Ge detectors for x ray, large coaxial HPGe detectors for γ rays, plastic scintillators for β particles. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $E(x\text{-ray})$, $I(x\text{-ray})$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma(x\text{-ray})\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma(t)$, $E(\text{ce})$, $I(\text{ce})$. Deduced levels, J^π , $T_{1/2}$, γ -ray branching ratios, conversion coefficients, γ -ray multipolarities.

1989Gr23: Activity from $^{239}\text{Pu}(n,f)$ on-line mass separator LOHENGRIN at ILL, Grenoble. Detectors: plastic scintillator telescope, Ge(I). Measured: $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\beta\gamma$ coin., $\alpha(K)\exp$, $\gamma\gamma$ coin, $\beta\gamma(t)$.

Others: **1995Sc24:** activity from $^{249}\text{Cf}(n,f)$, radiochemical separation, online SISAK Method. Measured: $\beta\gamma(t)$; Detectors: thin plastic scintillator, two Ge(Li). **1999Ge01:** activity from $^{241}\text{Pu}(n,f)$ on-line ms LOHENGRIN. Measured γ , $\gamma(t)$ **1990Al43:** activity from $^{249}\text{Cf}(n,f)$, radiochemical separation. Detectors: Planar HPGe (2 cm^3) and co-axial Ge(Li) (159 cm^3) and HPGe (75 cm^3). Measured: $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$.

 ^{109}Ru Levels

E(level) [†]	J^π [‡]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	34.4 s 2	$T_{1/2}$: from Adopted Levels.
68.76 12	(1/2 ⁺)	0.50 μs 20	$T_{1/2}$: From 1992PeZX (using 68.8 $\gamma(t)$).
96.09 15	(5/2 ⁻)	0.68 μs 3	$T_{1/2}$: From $\gamma(t)$ in 1999Ge01 . Other: 0.75 μs 15 (1976ChZD), 0.54 μs 17 (1992PeZX , using 96.1 $\gamma(t)$).
131.80 16	(7/2 ⁻)		
137.84 12	(3/2 ⁻)	1.44 ns 11	$T_{1/2}$: Using 137.9 $\gamma(t)$ (1995Sc24).
190.9 3	(3/2 ⁻)		
194.89 17	(3/2,5/2,7/2) ⁺	0.20 ns 6	$T_{1/2}$: Using 195 $\gamma(t)$ (1995Sc24).
197.41 14	(3/2 ⁻)		
230.0 3	(9/2 ⁻)		
255.57 14	(3/2 ⁺)		
332.05 20	(7/2 ⁺)		E(level): Also from coincidence of 138 γ and 195 γ (1990Al43,1989Gr23).
405.42 19	(3/2,5/2,7/2 ⁻)		
514.57 17	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)	0.16 ns 13	$T_{1/2}$: Using 445.8 $\gamma(t)$ (1995Sc24).
627.97 16	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)		
995.0 3	(3/2,5/2,7/2 ⁻)		
1159.29 19	(3/2,5/2,7/2)		
1268.2 3	(3/2,5/2,7/2)		
1502.6 3	(3/2,5/2,7/2)		
1964.49 24	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2)		

[†] From a least-square fit to $E\gamma$.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

The decay scheme is incomplete (pandemonium). Thus, $I\beta$ and $\log ft$ values are tentative and given as approximate.

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	$\log ft$	Comments
(5188 13)	1268.2	≈ 2.77	≈ 5.8	av $E\beta=2298.5$ 63
(5297 13)	1159.29	≈ 4.50	≈ 5.6	av $E\beta=2350.6$ 63
(5461 13)	995.0	≈ 2.31	≈ 6.0	av $E\beta=2429.2$ 63
(5828 13)	627.97	≈ 3.11	≈ 6.0	av $E\beta=2604.9$ 63
(5941 13)	514.57	≈ 8.49	≈ 5.6	av $E\beta=2659.2$ 63

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{109}\text{Tc } \beta^-$ decay 1992PeZX,1989Gr23 (continued)

β^- radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log f_t	Comments
(6051 13)	405.42	≈ 2.05	≈ 6.2	av $E\beta=2711.5$ 63
(6124 13)	332.05	≈ 3.58	≈ 6.0	av $E\beta=2746.6$ 63
(6200 13)	255.57	≈ 4.48	≈ 5.9	av $E\beta=2783.2$ 63
(6226 13)	230.0	≈ 0.71	$\approx 8.7^{1u}$	av $E\beta=2782.8$ 63
(6259 13)	197.41	≈ 3.81	≈ 6.0	av $E\beta=2811.1$ 63
(6261 13)	194.89	≈ 13.4	≈ 5.5	av $E\beta=2812.3$ 63
(6265 13)	190.9	≈ 1.52	≈ 6.4	av $E\beta=2814.2$ 63
(6318 13)	137.84	≈ 2.1	≈ 6.3	av $E\beta=2839.6$ 63
(6324 13)	131.80	≈ 4.0	≈ 6.0	av $E\beta=2842.5$ 63
(6360 13)	96.09	≈ 1.9	≈ 6.4	av $E\beta=2859.6$ 63
(6387 13)	68.76	≈ 6.2	≈ 5.9	av $E\beta=2872.7$ 63
(6456 13)	0.0	35 6	≈ 5.1	av $E\beta=2905.6$ 63
$I\beta^-$: From 2012Ku28.				

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

¹⁰⁹Tc β^- decay 1992PeZX, 1989Gr23 (continued) $\gamma(^{109}\text{Ru})$

I γ normalization: From $\Sigma(I\gamma + ce)[\text{g.s.} (^{109}\text{Ru})] = 65\%$ 6, using $I\beta_0=35\%$ 6, reported for the g.s. to g.s. decay in 2012Ku28. Other: 0.19 3, deduced from % γ /fission of 0.167% 10 (128.5 γ) from 1969WiZX and the cumulative fission yield of 0.190% 25 for ¹⁰⁹Tc from JEFF3.1.1 data library. Since the decay scheme is incomplete (pandemonium), NR and %I γ are tentative and given as approximate.

E γ ^a	I γ ^b	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult.	@&	a ^b	Comments
35.7 2	4.6 3	131.80	(7/2 $^-$)	96.09	(5/2 $^-$)	M1		6.02 13	$\alpha(K)=5.24$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.644$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.118$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.0191$ 5; $\alpha(O)=0.000972$ 22 %I $\gamma \approx 0.57$, using the calculated normalization. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=5.2$ 14 (1992PeZX).
58.2 2	3.3 4	255.57	(3/2 $^+$)	197.41	(3/2 $^-$)	E1		0.657 12	$\alpha(K)=0.572$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.0703$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.01278$ 22 $\alpha(N)=0.00200$ 4; $\alpha(O)=8.45\times 10^{-5}$ 15 %I $\gamma \approx 0.41$, using the calculated normalization. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.6$ 3 (1992PeZX).
68.8 2	33.5 14	68.76	(1/2 $^+$)	0.0	(5/2 $^+$)	E2		4.97 9	$\alpha(K)=3.61$ 7; $\alpha(L)=1.116$ 22; $\alpha(M)=0.211$ 4 $\alpha(N)=0.0310$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.000496$ 9 %I $\gamma \approx 4.1$, using the calculated normalization. E γ : Other: 68.7 3 (1990AI43).
69.1 2	30.6 15	137.84	(3/2 $^-$)	68.76	(1/2 $^+$)	[E1]		0.403 7	I γ : Other: 54 5, includes also I $\gamma(69.1\gamma)$ (1990AI43). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=3.5$ 9 and K/L=3.0 2 (1992PeZX). $\alpha(K)=0.352$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.0426$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.00774$ 13 $\alpha(N)=0.001216$ 20; $\alpha(O)=5.31\times 10^{-5}$ 9 %I $\gamma \approx 3.8$, using the calculated normalization.
96.2 2	44.3 9	96.09	(5/2 $^-$)	0.0	(5/2 $^+$)	E1		0.1557	I γ : Other: 54 5, includes also I $\gamma(68.8\gamma)$ (1990AI43). $\alpha(K)=0.1362$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.01614$ 25; $\alpha(M)=0.00294$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000465$ 7; $\alpha(O)=2.14\times 10^{-5}$ 4 %I $\gamma \approx 5.5$, using the calculated normalization. E γ : Other: 95.9 3 in 1990AI43. I γ : Other: 64 6 in 1990AI43.
98.2 2	4.0 3	230.0	(9/2 $^-$)	131.80	(7/2 $^-$)	(M1)		0.326	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.13$ 2 (1992PeZX). $\alpha(K)=0.284$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0344$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.00632$ 10 $\alpha(N)=0.001021$ 16; $\alpha(O)=5.27\times 10^{-5}$ 8 %I $\gamma \approx 0.50$, using the calculated normalization.
98.9 2	2.6 4	194.89	(3/2,5/2,7/2) $^+$	96.09	(5/2 $^-$)	(E1)		0.1438	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.26$ 5 for both 98.2 γ and 98.9 γ (1992PeZX). $\alpha(K)=0.1257$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.01488$ 23; $\alpha(M)=0.00271$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.000429$ 7; $\alpha(O)=1.98\times 10^{-5}$ 3 %I $\gamma \approx 0.32$, using the calculated normalization.
117.7 2	8.3 8	255.57	(3/2 $^+$)	137.84	(3/2 $^-$)	E1		0.0870	Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.26$ 5 for both 98.2 γ and 98.9 γ (1992PeZX). $\alpha(K)=0.0761$ 12; $\alpha(L)=0.00894$ 14; $\alpha(M)=0.001629$ 25 $\alpha(N)=0.000259$ 4; $\alpha(O)=1.220\times 10^{-5}$ 18 %I $\gamma \approx 1.03$, using the calculated normalization. Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.09$ 5 (1992PeZX).

¹⁰⁹Tc β^- decay 1992PeZX, 1989Gr23 (continued)

¹⁰⁹Tc β^- decay 1992PeZX, 1989Gr23 (continued)

$\gamma(^{109}\text{Ru})$ (continued)								
E_γ^\dagger	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger d}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	a^b	Comments
						[M1]		
255.6 3	7.2 5	255.57	(3/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)		0.0247	$\alpha(K)=0.0216$ 3; $\alpha(L)=0.00254$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.000467$ 7 $\alpha(N)=7.55\times 10^{-5}$ 11; $\alpha(O)=3.97\times 10^{-6}$ 6 %I γ ≈0.89, using the calculated normalization.
267.7 3	3.6 6	405.42	(3/2,5/2,7/2 ⁻)	137.84	(3/2 ⁻)			%I γ ≈0.45, using the calculated normalization.
x278.8 3	3.7 6							%I γ ≈0.46, using the calculated normalization.
x289.5 3	9.3 7							%I γ ≈1.15, using the calculated normalization.
295.7 [‡] 3		627.97	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)	332.05	(7/2 ⁺)			
x300.4 4	3.5 5							%I γ ≈0.43, using the calculated normalization.
324.0 5	≤2.6	514.57	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)	190.9	(3/2 ⁻)			%I γ ≈0.16, using the calculated normalization. I γ : ≤1.8 7 in 1992PeZX.
332.0 [#] 3	28.5 9	332.05	(7/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)	[M1]	0.01268	$\alpha(K)=0.01111$ 16; $\alpha(L)=0.001298$ 19; $\alpha(M)=0.000238$ 4 $\alpha(N)=3.86\times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(O)=2.04\times 10^{-6}$ 3 %I γ ≈3.5, using the calculated normalization. E γ : Other: 331.9 3 (1989Gr23).
x336.9 5	1.2 6							%I γ ≈0.15, using the calculated normalization.
376.7 3	19.2 8	514.57	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)	137.84	(3/2 ⁻)			%I γ ≈2.38, using the calculated normalization.
445.8 2	22.7 9	514.57	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)	68.76	(1/2 ⁺)			%I γ ≈2.8, using the calculated normalization.
490.2 2	19.1 10	627.97	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)	137.84	(3/2 ⁻)			%I γ ≈2.4, using the calculated normalization.
514.5 3	25.3 13	514.57	(3/2,5/2 ⁺)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)			%I γ ≈3.1, using the calculated normalization.
531.2 [‡] 3		1159.29	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	627.97	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)			
589.7 3	7.1 7	995.0	(3/2,5/2,7/2 ⁻)	405.42	(3/2,5/2,7/2 ⁻)			%I γ ≈0.88, using the calculated normalization.
627.9 3	6.0 7	627.97	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2 ⁻)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)			%I γ ≈0.74, using the calculated normalization. E γ : Other: 628.2 3 (1989Gr23).
x739.4 5	6.6 8							%I γ ≈0.82, using the calculated normalization.
804.0 3	6.9 9	995.0	(3/2,5/2,7/2 ⁻)	190.9	(3/2 ⁻)			%I γ ≈0.85, using the calculated normalization.
x848.1 5	11.4 10							%I γ ≈1.41, using the calculated normalization.
964.6 ^{‡e} 3		1159.29	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	194.89	(3/2,5/2,7/2) ⁺			
995.0 5	4.6 8	995.0	(3/2,5/2,7/2 ⁻)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)			%I γ ≈0.57, using the calculated normalization.
1073.5 ^{‡e} 3		1268.2	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	194.89	(3/2,5/2,7/2) ⁺			
1158.7 [#] 5	36.3 16	1159.29	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)			%I γ ≈4.5, using the calculated normalization. E γ : Other: 1159.2 3 (1989Gr23).
1267.8 [#] 5	22.3 14	1268.2	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)			%I γ ≈2.8, using the calculated normalization. E γ : Other: 1268.2 3 (1989Gr23).
1502.6 [‡] 3		1502.6	(3/2,5/2,7/2)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)			
1632.6 [‡] 3		1964.49	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2)	332.05	(7/2 ⁺)			
1964.3 [‡] 3		1964.49	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2,7/2)	0.0	(5/2 ⁺)			

[†] From 1992PeZX, unless otherwise noted.[‡] From 1989Gr23. Not seen in 1992PeZX.

^{109}Tc β^- decay 1992PeZX,1989Gr23 (continued) $\gamma(^{109}\text{Ru})$ (continued)

Placement by 1989Gr23. Unplaced in 1992PeZX.

@ From Adopted Gammas.

& Additional information 2.

a Additional information 3.

b Additional information 4.

c If No value given it was assumed $\delta=1.00$ for E2/M1, $\delta=1.00$ for E3/M2 and $\delta=0.10$ for the other multipolarities.

d For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by ≈ 0.124 .

e Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{109}\text{Tc } \beta^- \text{ decay} \quad 1992\text{PeZX,1989Gr23}$ 