### $^{108}$ Pd(n, $\gamma$ ) E=2.96 eV 1980Ca02

History							
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date				
Full Evaluation	S. Kumar(a), J. Chen(b) and F. G. Kondev	NDS 137, 1 (2016)	31-May-2016				

<sup>109</sup>Pd Levels

## S(n)=6153.59 15 from 2012Wa38.

1980Ca02: E=2.96 eV resonance neutrons were produced by the Brookhaven National Laboratory High Flux Beam Reactor (HFBR) via Bragg diffraction from a large Be crystal. Target is 5.52 g <sup>108</sup>Pd enriched to 98.11%.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors (FWHM=7 keV at E $\gamma$ =6 MeV). Measured E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(\theta)$  at 90° and 135°. Deduced levels,  $J^{\pi}$ .

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	J <sup>π‡</sup>	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$
0		426.2 8		847 4		1328.4 16	(3/2)
113.4 6	1/2	433.6 6	3/2,(5/2)	911.3 8	5/2	1359.1 9	
188.9 <i>13</i>		491.6 7		941.0 9		1371.1 <i>16</i>	5/2
245.0 8		540.8 7		945.0 8	3/2	1399.2 16	
266.4 7		604.4 8		954.3 9	1/2,5/2	1477.6 <i>16</i>	
276.3 8		623.5 7	3/2,5/2	981.8 8	5/2	1540.3 16	3/2
287.2 14		646.0 <i>16</i>		1053.8 10	5/2,(3/2)	1623.9 16	
291.4 7	3/2	673.4 7		1091.0 16	5/2	1647.9 <i>16</i>	
325.3 6		722.1 7		1134.6 <i>13</i>		6154.2 6	
326.9 6	3/2,5/2 <sup>#</sup>	791.4 7	5/2	1232.8 12			
339.3 8		810.6 10		1269.8 16			

<sup>†</sup> From a least-squares fit to γ-ray energies.
<sup>‡</sup> From 1980Ca02 based on γ(θ).
<sup>#</sup> 1980Ca02 suggests that the 326 level is populated, but the 325 level is not ruled out.

$\gamma(10^{9}\text{Pd})$
---------------------------

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathrm{J}_f^\pi$
94.5 15	15.4 15	339.3		245.0	
98.3 <sup>@</sup> 15	21.8 22	287.2		188.9	
106.7 15	0.8 3	433.6	3/2,(5/2)	326.9	3/2,5/2
108.3 <sup>@</sup> 15	2.1 3	433.6	3/2,(5/2)	325.3	
113.4 15	187 <i>19</i>	113.4	1/2	0	
149.9 <i>15</i>	1.8 2	426.2		276.3	
152.9 <i>15</i>	42 4	266.4		113.4	1/2
166.3 <i>15</i>	1.9 4	491.6		325.3	
<sup>x</sup> 170.6 15	2.9 6				
178.0 15	61 6	291.4	3/2	113.4	1/2
189.0 15	19.2 <i>19</i>	188.9		0	
189.9 <i>15</i>	2.6 4	623.5	3/2,5/2	433.6	3/2,(5/2)
197.3 <i>15</i>	0.6 3	623.5	3/2,5/2	426.2	
200.2 15	9.8 10	491.6		291.4	3/2
<sup>x</sup> 203.0 15	0.7 2				
<sup>x</sup> 207.7 15	0.7 2				
211.9 15	17.7 <i>18</i>	325.3		113.4	1/2
213.8 15	1.4 2	540.8		326.9	3/2,5/2
215.4 15	5.96	540.8		325.3	
<sup>x</sup> 216.5 15	0.3 2				
222.9 15	0.8 <i>3</i>	945.0	3/2	722.1	
<sup>x</sup> 228.2 15	1.7 2				
230.5 15	1.4 2	722.1		491.6	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

# $^{108}$ Pd(n, $\gamma$ ) E=2.96 eV 1980Ca02 (continued)

#### $\gamma(^{109}\text{Pd})$ (continued) $I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$ $E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$ E<sub>i</sub>(level) $\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$ $\mathbf{E}_{f}$ $J_f^{\pi}$ 245.1 15 83 8 245.0 0 249.2 15 17.8 18 540.8 291.4 3/2 x263.4 15 0.6 4 264.4 15 0.9 8 540.8 276.3 265.0 15 5.66604.4 339.3 266.3 15 12.9 13 266.4 0 274.3 15 0.7 2 540.8 266.4 276.3 15 70 7 276.3 0 288.5 15 1.3 3 722.1 433.6 3/2,(5/2) 291.4 15 50 5 291.4 3/20 295.6 15 0.8~2540.8 245.0 298.2 15 10.6 11 623.5 3/2,5/2 325.3 317.3<sup>@</sup> 15 1.1 3 604.4 287.2 320.2 15 113.4 1/2 17.2 17 433.6 3/2,(5/2) 325.3 15 717 325.3 0 326.9 15 41 4 326.9 3/2,5/2 0 3.2 4 291.4 3/2 332.1 15 623.5 3/2,5/2 334.0 15 25 3 673.4 339.3 336.6 15 $6.0 \ 6$ 941.0 604.4 x337.8 15 0.9 5 50 5 339.5 15 339.3 0 x343.9 15 1.5 3 346.6 15 1.0 2 673.4 326.9 3/2,5/2 347.2 15 1.0 2 623.5 3/2,5/2 276.3 359.4 15 32 3 604.4 245.0 $0.8\ 2$ 791.4 5/2365.3 15 426.2 <sup>x</sup>371.1 15 1.4 3 433.6 3/2,(5/2) 377.0 15 0.63 810.6 378.2 15 17.7 18 491.6 113.4 1/2 395.2 15 1.8 3 722.1 326.9 3/2,5/2 5.1 5 722.1 396.8 15 325.3 x407.1 15 1.6 3 x414.3 15 4.0 4 x416.7 15 1.4 3 x418.3 15 3.5 4 x421.0 15 3.2 3 426.1 15 27 3 426.2 0 428.4 15 7.1 7 673.4 245.0 433.6 15 32 3 433.6 3/2,(5/2) 0 x441.8 15 3.2 5 455.7 15 4.2 4 722.1 266.4 461.2 15 4.0 4 1134.6 673.4 464.5 15 $2.5 \ 4$ 791.4 5/2326.9 3/2,5/2 <sup>x</sup>466.5<sup>#</sup>15 3.2<sup>#</sup> 3 x467.3<sup>#</sup> 15 3.2<sup>#</sup> 4 485.3 15 3.0 4 810.6 325.3 491.6 15 491.6 7.0 7 0 515.1 15 7.1 7 791.4 5/2 276.3 6.7 7 520.6 15 954.3 1/2,5/2 433.6 3/2,(5/2) 525.1 15 2.6 6 791.4 5/2266.4 <sup>x</sup>526.4 15 1.7 6 530.2 15 1134.6 604.4 0.9 6 <sup>x</sup>539.4 15 1.7 15 540.7 15 2.6 14 540.8 0 <sup>x</sup>554.6<sup>#</sup> 15 4.6<sup>#</sup> 5

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{108}$ Pd(n, $\gamma$ ) E=2.96 eV	1980Ca02	(continued)
-------------------------------------	----------	-------------

### $\gamma(^{109}\text{Pd})$ (continued) $I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$ $E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$ E<sub>i</sub>(level) $J_i^{\pi}$ $\mathbf{E}_{f}$ $J_f^{\pi}$ 4.6<sup>#</sup> 5 555.6<sup>#</sup> 15 426.2 981.8 5/2 <sup>x</sup>579.9 15 1.3 3 584.5<sup>#</sup> 15 2.7<sup>#</sup> 4 911.3 5/2326.9 3/2,5/2 2.7<sup>#</sup> 4 585.9<sup>#</sup> 15 911.3 5/2325.3 601.6 15 3.9 4 339.3 941.0 604.5 15 2.6 4 604.4 0 <sup>x</sup>608.7 15 1.7 3 x612.0 15 1.2 3 619.9<sup>#@</sup> 15 8.9<sup>#</sup> 9 911.3 5/2 291.4 3/2 <sup>x</sup>620.4<sup>#</sup> 15 8.9<sup>#</sup> 9 623.5 15 5.0 5 3/2,5/2 0 623.5 628.9 15 954.3 1/2,5/2 $3.0\,4$ 325.3 x632.4 15 1.1 3 <sup>x</sup>634.9 15 8.28 646.0 15 646.0 0 1.4 4 653.5 15 5.5 6 945.0 3/2 291.4 3/2 5.5<sup>#</sup> 6 654.9<sup>#</sup> 15 981.8 5/2326.9 3/2,5/2 <sup>x</sup>655.5<sup>#</sup> 15 5.5<sup>#</sup> 6 6.4<sup>#</sup> 6 <sup>x</sup>657.6<sup>#</sup>15 673.6<sup>#@</sup> 15 1.3<sup>#</sup> 3 673.4 0 1.3<sup>#</sup> 3 674.7<sup>**#**</sup> 15 941.0 266.4 678.0<sup>#</sup> 15 4.1<sup>#</sup> 4 791.4 5/2 113.4 1/2 678.7<sup>#</sup> 15 4.1<sup>#</sup> 4 945.0 3/2266.4 x681.0 15 2.0 3 685.9 15 673.4 3.4 *3* 1359.1 690.3 15 15.3 15 981.8 5/2 291.4 3/2 696.0 15 0.8 3 941.0 245.0 $2.5 \ 4$ 705.4 15 981.8 5/2276.3 <sup>x</sup>713.4 15 4.1 4 722.0 15 6.8 7 722.1 0 726.7 15 1053.8 5/2,(3/2) 326.9 3/2,5/2 5.16 754.9 15 3.2 4 1359.1 604.4 x772.1 15 1.3 3 787.3 15 1.5 3 1053.8 5/2,(3/2) 266.4 791.4 15 4.8 5 791.4 5/2 0 <sup>x</sup>793.6 15 1.8 3 799.3<sup>@</sup> 15 4.1 4 1232.8 433.6 3/2,(5/2) 810.5<sup>@</sup> 15 7.4 7 810.6 0 <sup>x</sup>815.2 15 2.8 3 x820.2 15 1.8 3 831.6 15 18.2 18 945.0 3/2 113.4 1/2 1/2,5/2 840.8 15 5.76 954.3 113.4 1/2 x846.3 15 15.8 16 911.3 15 14.4 14 911.3 5/20 966.4<sup>@</sup> 15 1.9 4 1232.8 266.4 1019.9 15 1.7 3 1359.1 339.3 4506.2 15 6.3 10 6154.2 1647.9 4530.1 15 9.1 10 6154.2 1623.9 4613.7 15 12.1 10 6154.2 1540.3 3/2 4676.4 15 5.1 5 6154.2 1477.6 4754.8 15 0.6 2 6154.2 1399.2 4782.9 15 7.26 6154.2 1371.1 5/2 4.4 13 4795.5 15 6154.2 1359.1

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

				108	<sup>3</sup> Pd(n, $\gamma$ ) E	=2.96 eV	1980Ca02 (	(continued)		
			$\gamma$ <sup>(109</sup> Pd) (continued)							
$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\ddagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	${ m J}_f^\pi$	$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}$ ‡	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	${ m J}_f^\pi$
4825.6 15	4.4 5	6154.2		1328.4	(3/2)	5508.0 15	1.0 4	6154.2	646.0	
4884.2 15	5.1 6	6154.2		1269.8		5530 <i>3</i>	12.8 13	6154.2	623.5	3/2,5/2
5063.0 15	15.7 13	6154.2		1091.0	5/2	5612.6 15	1.7 4	6154.2	540.8	
5100.0 15	9.1 <i>13</i>	6154.2		1053.8	5/2,(3/2)	5662.3 15	4.4 5	6154.2	491.6	
5172.2 15	30 <i>3</i>	6154.2		981.8	5/2	5720.3 15	4.7 5	6154.2	433.6	3/2,(5/2)
5199.7 <i>15</i>	17.8 18	6154.2		954.3	1/2,5/2	5815.0 <i>15</i>	2.1 2	6154.2	339.3	
5209.1 15	16.1 16	6154.2		945.0	3/2	5827.2 15	6.5 7	6154.2	326.9	3/2,5/2
5242.7 15	28.2 23	6154.2		911.3	5/2	5862.7 15	7.5 8	6154.2	291.4	3/2
5307 4	1.3 6	6154.2		847		6040.5 15	33.6	6154.2	113.4	1/2
5362.6 15	13.1 13	6154.2		791.4	5/2	6154 <i>4</i>	0.6 2	6154.2	0	
5480.6 15	4.7 6	6154.2		673.4						

<sup>†</sup> 1980Ca02 only lists values for the primary  $\gamma$  rays for the measurement of E=2.96 eV resonance capture and assign  $\Delta$ E=1.5 keV for most of them. For those low energy secondary  $\gamma$  rays also observed in this measurement, the evaluators have taken rounded-off values from the thermal capture measurement in 1980Ca02 and assign  $\Delta$ E=1.5 keV.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1980Ca02, normalized to I(6040.5 $\gamma$ )=33.6 per 1000 neutron captures (accurate to 24%), which is deduced by 1980Ca02 from the adopted absolute intensities I $\gamma$ =0.049, 0.32 and 0.244 per 1000 <sup>109</sup>Pd decays for 309.1, 311.4 and 647.3 keV  $\gamma$  rays, respectively.

<sup>#</sup> Multiplet lines not resolved in this resonance capture but resolved in the thermal capture measurement (1980Ca02). Values of  $E\gamma$  are taken as the average of the multiplet and values of  $I\gamma$  are the sum of the multiplet.

<sup>@</sup> Tentative placement by 1980Ca02.

 $^{x} \gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



 $^{109}_{46}\mathrm{Pd}_{63}$ 



6

 $^{109}_{46}\mathrm{Pd}_{63}$ -6

 $^{109}_{46}\mathrm{Pd}_{63}\text{-}6$ 

From ENSDF

From ENSDF



 $^{109}_{46}{
m Pd}_{63}$ 

7

 $^{109}_{46}\mathrm{Pd}_{63}$ -7