

$^{108}\text{Pd(d,p)} \quad \text{1967Co24}$

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. Kumar(a), J. Chen(b) and F. G. Kondev		NDS 137, 1 (2016)	31-May-2016

Target ^{108}Pd $J^\pi(\text{g.s.})=0^+$.

1967Co24: E=12 MeV deuteron beam was produced from the University of Pittsburgh cyclotron. Target is $40 \mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ 98% enriched ^{108}Pd . Reaction products were momentum analyzed by a Enge split-pole spectrograph (FWHM=7 keV) and detected in photographic plates. Measured $\sigma(E_p, \theta)$. Deduced levels, L, spectroscopic factors from DWBA analysis. Also see **1968Co32** with E=17 MeV beam and **1963Cu02** with E=15 MeV beam and spectrometer FWHM=30 keV.

 $^{109}\text{Pd Levels}$

Additional information 1.

E(level) [†]	L [‡]	(2J+1)×S [‡]	Comments
0.0	2	1.06	
112 4	0	0.60	
188 4	5	3.36	
245 4	4	3.52 [#]	
262 4	0	0.11	
291 4	2	1.32	
324 4	2,0	0.18	(2J+1)×S: 0.018 for L=0.
370 4	(1)	0.0084	
382 4	0,2	0.0064	(2J+1)×S: 0.035 for L=2.
404 4	0	0.008	
427 4	4	1.6 [#]	
489 4	2	0.416	
539 4	2	0.162	
623 4	0	0.106	
644 4	4	0.96 [#]	
671 4	1	0.038	
719 4	2	0.068	
742 4	0	0.0124	
788 4	2	0.212	
808 4	2	0.132	
844 4	2	0.248	
908 4	2	0.90	
940 4	1	0.056	
954 4	0	0.021	
981 4			
1006 4			
1051 4	2	0.10	
1093 4	2	0.64	
1145 4	2	0.26	
1176 4	3	0.053	
1231 4	0	0.018	
1241 4	3	0.052	
1263 4	2	0.035	
1308 4			
1329 4	(1)	0.12	
1344 4	0	0.042	
1474 4	0	0.022	
1484 4	2,(1)	0.064	(2J+1)×S: 0.019 for L=1.
1499 4			
1541 4	(3,2)	0.064	(2J+1)×S: 0.044 for L=2.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{108}\text{Pd}(\text{d},\text{p})$ **1967Co24** (continued) ^{109}Pd Levels (continued)

E(level) [†]	L [‡]	(2J+1)×S [‡]	Comments
1561 4			
1644 4			
1664 4	2,(1)	0.084	(2J+1)×S: 0.029 for L=1.
1682 4	0	0.068	
1737 4	2,(1)	0.068	(2J+1)×S: 0.22 for L=1.
1773 4	3	0.037	
1789 4	(1,2)	0.021	(2J+1)×S: 0.072 for L=2.
1800 4	0	0.018	
1819 4			
1836 4	3	0.058	
1846 4	(1,2)	0.03	(2J+1)×S: 0.11 for L=2.
1863 4	(3)	0.050	
1877 4	1	0.030	
1915 4	1	0.035	
1923 4	1	0.088	
1941 4	3	0.144	
1954 4			
1972 4	3	0.24	
2021 4	1	0.0056	
2053 4			
2091 4	2	0.12	
2117 4	1	0.072	
2135 4	(1)	0.018	
2160 4	1	0.064	
2209 4	3	0.144	
2245 4	1	0.044	
2259 4	1	0.038	
2280 4	2	0.084	
2301 4	(1)	0.0039	
2346 4	(3)	0.112	
2357 4	(1)	0.038	
2371 4	3	0.168	
2391 4	3	0.088	
2415 4	1	0.030	
2465 4	3	0.224	
2473 4	1	0.072	
2493 4	(3)	0.176	
2522 4	2	0.088	
2541 4	(3,0)	0.096	(2J+1)×S: 0.028 for L=0.

[†] From **1967Co24**. Authors do not give uncertainty. Evaluators estimate $\Delta E=4$ keV from the proton spectrum.

[‡] From DWBA analysis in **1967Co24**. Spectroscopic factor S is deduced using $d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{exp})=N\times(2J+1)\times S\times d\sigma/d\Omega(\text{DWBA})$, where $N=1.5$ for (d,p) transfer reaction.

[#] **1968Co32** obtain $(2J+1)\times S=1.76$, 1.76 and 0.72 for $E=245$, 427 and 644 keV $J^\pi=7/2^+$ levels, respectively, with beam energy=17 MeV. They claim that the strong variations of $S(E=12\text{ MeV})/S(E=17\text{ MeV})$ could arise from a breakdown of the basic stripping theory.