

^{109}Cd ε decay

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	S. Kumar(a), J. Chen(b) and F. G. Kondev		NDS 137, 1 (2016)	31-May-2016

Parent: ^{109}Cd : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=5/2^+$; $T_{1/2}=461.9$ d 4; $Q(\varepsilon)=215.5$ 18; $\% \varepsilon$ decay=100.0

 ^{109}Ag Levels

E(level)	J^π	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0.0	$1/2^-$	stable	
88.0341 11	$7/2^+$	39.79 s 21	$T_{1/2}$: from Adopted Levels of ^{109}Ag .

 ε radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I_ε^\dagger	Log ft	Comments
(127.5 18)	88.0341	100	5.987 15	$\varepsilon\text{K}=0.8131$ 10; $\varepsilon\text{L}=0.1484$ 8; $\varepsilon\text{M}+=0.03845$ 23

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{109}\text{Ag})$

There have been many precise measurements of the decay of ^{109}Cd to the 88.032 level of ^{109}Ag . Tabulated below are the measured values for E_γ , $I_\gamma(88\gamma)$, α_T , K x ray/ $\gamma(88)$, $\varepsilon\text{L}/\varepsilon\text{K}$, $\varepsilon\text{L}+/\varepsilon\text{K}$ and $\varepsilon\text{M}+/\varepsilon\text{L}$.

Other E_γ measurements: [1947Br05](#), [1950Co60](#), [1954Mo38](#), [1954Wa15](#), [1966En05](#), and [1968Ea01](#).

Other ce measurement: [1947Br05](#).

E_γ	Reference
88.008 42	1966Fr12
88.035 6	1967DiZZ
88.05 5	1967Li10
88.033 42	1967Pi05
88.041 87	1967Sc22
88.09 3	1968Fo03
88.21 3	1968Fu05
88.036 8	1969HeZY
88.036 8	1970Gr13
88.035 6	1970Ra37
88.023 8	1976Dr07
88.0341 11	1978He21
88.035 4	1978Mo22
88.0336 10	2000He14

$I_\gamma(88\gamma)$	α_T	Reference
3.57 31	27.0 24	1953Br73
3.89 7	24.7 5	1965Le03
3.97 22	24.2 14	1965Se08
3.29 23	29.4 21	a 1968Fo03
3.65 5	26.4 4	1973Le29
3.79 7	25.4 5	1976Dr07
3.65 4	26.4 3	1979P104
3.62 2	26.82 14	UVVVR &
3.65 3	26.40 23	IER &

3.59 11	26.9 9	IMM &
3.70 6	26.0 5	KSRI &
3.60 2	26.78 8	LMRI &
3.57 10	27.0 8	NPL &
3.65 8	26.4 6	OMH &
3.675 18	26.21 15	1988Ba60 &
3.66 5	26.3 4	1989Hi01 &
3.68 4	26.2 5	1992ScZZ &
3.663 33	26.30 25	2006Ko27

 & from intra-lab comparisons in 1994Ra37
 a from $\alpha_K=12.7$ 9 and $K/(L+M+N)=0.76$ 2

K x ray/ γ (88)

23.8 7	1957Wa05
26.2 6	1965Le06
29.0 10	1966En05
22.2 6	1966Ja01
29.1 10	1966Fr12
30 4	1968Fo03
25.6 9	1972Ca16
27.0 3	1976Dr07
27.3 6	1979P104
27.3 3	1982HoZF

$\varepsilon_L/\varepsilon_K$	$\varepsilon_{L+}/\varepsilon_K$	$\varepsilon_{M+}/\varepsilon_L$	Reference
0.28 3			1953De26
0.32 4			1954Be41
	0.24 4		1957Wa05
0.195 5	0.228 3	0.17 5	1965Le06
0.232 15		0.205 20	1965Mo06
	0.26 4		1966Du01
0.193 3	0.226 3	0.17 4	1970Go39

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\ddagger	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^\dagger$	Comments
88.0336 10	3.644 16	88.0341	7/2 ⁺	0.0	1/2 ⁻	E3	26.3 4	100	ce(K)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.418 7; ce(L)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.441 7; ce(M)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0903 17 ce(N)/($\gamma+ce$)=0.0141 3; ce(O)/($\gamma+ce$)=5.11×10 ⁻⁵ 10 $\alpha(K)=11.41$ 16; $\alpha(L)=12.06$ 17; $\alpha(M)=2.47$ 4; $\alpha(N)=0.386$ 6; $\alpha(O)=0.001398$ 20 E_γ : from relative wavelength measurement in 2000He14. Other values are given in the table above. I_γ : From $I(\gamma+ce)=100$ and $\alpha=26.3$ 4. Other values are given in the table above. Mult.: from ce data listed in the table above. Others: L1:L2:L3=0.148 7:0.86 2:1 (1980Da23); $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=11.4$ 3 (1979P104); L1:L2:L3=0.159 13:0.860 20:1 and K/L/M/N=0.98 5:1:0.20 1:0.050 5 (1978Sh08); $\alpha(K)_{\text{exp}}=11.4$ 3, $\alpha(L1)_{\text{exp}}=0.63$ 13, $\alpha(L2)_{\text{exp}}=5.48$ 18, $\alpha(L3)_{\text{exp}}=6.11$ 20, $\alpha(M)_{\text{exp}}=2.40$ 8 (1976Dr07); L1:L2=0.994 12, L1:L3=1.020 14 and L2:L3=0.99 2 (1975Ma32);

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{109}Cd ε decay (continued) $\gamma(^{109}\text{Ag})$ (continued)

<u>E_γ</u>	<u>$E_i(\text{level})$</u>	<u>Comments</u>
		L1:L2:L3=0.132 8:0.830 20:1 (1972Br02); $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=10.6$ 5 (1970Ba37); $\text{K}/(\text{L}+\text{M}+\text{N})=0.76$ 2 (1969Pl08); $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=12.7$ 9, and $\text{K}/(\text{L}+\text{M})=0.76$ 2 (1968Fo03); $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=11.3$ 4 $\text{K}/(\text{L}+\text{M})=0.866$ 27 (1965Se08); $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=11.0$ 3, $\alpha(\text{L})_{\text{exp}}=11.7$ 8 and $(\alpha(\text{M})_{\text{exp}} + \alpha(\text{N})_{\text{exp}})=2.0$ 11 (1965Le06); $\text{K}/\text{L}=0.95$ 3 (1964Bo12); $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=10.3$ 5 (1957Wa05); $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=9.5$ 1 and $\text{K}/(\text{L}+\text{M}+\text{N})=0.80$ 4 (1954Wa15); $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=8.6$ 1 (1953Av25); $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=12.4$ 10 and $\text{K}/(\text{L}+\text{M}+\text{N})=0.85$ 2 (1953Br73). Double K-shell vacancy per K-shell internal conversion: 2.8×10^{-5} 7 (1977Va05), 1.02×10^{-5} 36 (1977Va05) and 1.53×10^{-4} 24 (1975Na01). Double photon decay: $I(\gamma\gamma)/I(\gamma) < 6 \times 10^{-7}$ (1988II01).

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

‡ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multiplicities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^{109}Cd ϵ decayDecay SchemeIntensities: $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ per 100 parent decays