

$^{108}\text{Ag } \varepsilon \text{ decay (2.382 min)} \quad 1973\text{Si02}$ 

Type	Author	Citation	History Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	ENSDF	1-Jul-2008

Parent:  $^{108}\text{Ag}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=1^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=2.382$  min *11*;  $Q(\varepsilon)=1922$  5;  $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$  decay=2.85 20

$\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+=2.85$  20.

See also  $^{108}\text{Ag } \beta^-$  decay ( 2.382 min).

The decay scheme is that proposed by [1973Si02](#) on the basis of energy fits and extensive  $\gamma\gamma$  coin studies.

 $^{108}\text{Pd Levels}$ 

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ : 1)  $(619\gamma)(434\gamma)(\theta)$  ([1971Ok01](#),[1973Si02](#)), 2)  $(880\gamma)(434\gamma)(\theta)$  ([1973Si02](#)), 3)  $(1007\gamma)(434\gamma)(\theta)$  ([1971Ok01](#),[1973Si02](#)).

Data from cascade 1) are consistent with the spin sequence  $J(d,Q)2(Q)0$  only for  $J(1053$  level)=0. Data from cascade 2) are consistent with the spin sequence  $J(d,Q)2(Q)0$  only for  $J(1314$  level)=0. Data from cascade 3) are consistent with the spin sequence  $J(d,Q)2(Q)0$  only for  $J(1441$  level)=2. Data of [1973Si02](#) yield  $\delta(1007\gamma)=+7+9-3$ , whereas data of [1971Ok01](#) yield  $\delta=-0.27$ . [1973Si02](#) suggest that the discrepancy may be due to random summing of  $\gamma^\pm$  radiation contributing to the 1007 peak in the work of [1971Ok01](#) since these authors used large NaI detectors. We adopt the value from [1973Si02](#).

E(level)	$J^\pi$	$T_{1/2}$
0.0	$0^+$	stable
433.938 5	$2^+$	
931.07 12	$2^+$	
1052.80 5	$0^+$	
1314.20 10	$0^+$	
1441.16 5	$2^+$	
1539.95 7	$(1^+,2^+)$	

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \ddagger$	$I\varepsilon^\ddagger$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+) \ddagger \ddagger$	Comments
(382 5)	1539.95		0.0027 3	6.12 6	0.0027 3	$\varepsilon K=0.8532$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.11781$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=0.02894$ 7
(481 5)	1441.16		0.020 3	5.46 6	0.020 3	$\varepsilon K=0.8564$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.11536$ 14; $\varepsilon M+=0.02825$ 4
(608 5)	1314.20		0.0041 5	6.37 6	0.0041 5	$\varepsilon K=0.8588$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.11345$ ; $\varepsilon M+=0.02771$
(869 5)	1052.80		0.259 23	4.89 4	0.259 23	$\varepsilon K=0.8615$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.11135$ ; $\varepsilon M+=0.02711$
(1488 5)	433.938	0.0026 3	0.21 2	5.46 4	0.216 20	av $E\beta+=209$ 3; $\varepsilon K=0.8537$ ; $\varepsilon L=0.10809$ ; $\varepsilon M+=0.02624$
						$\varepsilon K(\text{exp})/\beta^+=5.6$ 10 ( <a href="#">1965Fr01</a> ), $\varepsilon K(\text{exp})/\beta^+=6.19$ (theory).
(1922 5)	0.0	0.28 2	2.07 16	4.70 3	2.35 16	av $E\beta+=398$ 3; $\varepsilon K=0.7610$ 25; $\varepsilon L=0.0957$ 4; $\varepsilon M+=0.02322$ 8

$\dagger$  From  $I(\gamma+ce)$ -imbalance at each level.

$\ddagger$  Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{108}\text{Pd})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: branching from  $I(\beta^-$  to g.s.)+ $I(633\gamma)+I(\beta^+$  to g.s.)( $1+\varepsilon/\beta^+$ )+  $I(434+931+1441+1540\gamma'$ s)=100 and  $I(633\gamma)/\beta^-=0.0181$  10 ([1962Fr07](#)),  $I(\beta^+)/I(633\gamma)=0.160$  7 ([1962Fr07](#)) and  $\varepsilon/\beta^+(g.s.)=7.33$  22 (theory). The data quoted from [1962Fr07](#) are not given explicitly by the authors although they are the quantities determined experimentally. The values were deduced by the evaluator from the  $\beta^-$ ,  $\beta^+$  and  $\varepsilon$  branchings given by the authors.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{108}\text{Ag } \varepsilon$  decay (2.382 min)    1973Si02 (continued) $\gamma(^{108}\text{Pd})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.	$\delta$	Comments
383.2 10	0.18 6	1314.20	0 <sup>+</sup>	931.07	2 <sup>+</sup>			
388.6 4	0.37 12	1441.16	2 <sup>+</sup>	1052.80	0 <sup>+</sup>			
433.96 5	100	433.938	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			
497.1 2	0.45 11	931.07	2 <sup>+</sup>	433.938	2 <sup>+</sup>			
510.1 2	$\leq 0.7$	1441.16	2 <sup>+</sup>	931.07	2 <sup>+</sup>			
618.86 5	52.4 26	1052.80	0 <sup>+</sup>	433.938	2 <sup>+</sup>			
880.26 10	0.64 5	1314.20	0 <sup>+</sup>	433.938	2 <sup>+</sup>			
931.12 20	0.11 1	931.07	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			
1007.22 6	2.79 14	1441.16	2 <sup>+</sup>	433.938	2 <sup>+</sup>	(M1+E2)	+7 +9-3	Mult.: from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ and $\Delta\pi=\text{no}$ from decay scheme.
1106.00 7	0.33 3	1539.95	(1 <sup>+,2<sup>+</sup>)</sup>	433.938	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1441.14 10	0.61 4	1441.16	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			
1540.0 2	0.21 2	1539.95	(1 <sup>+,2<sup>+</sup>)</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>			

<sup>†</sup> From 1973Si02, except for the 434 $\gamma$ . Others: 1971Jo07, 1971Ok01, 1971Si07.

<sup>‡</sup> From 1973Si02. Others: 1971Jo07, 1971Ok01, 1971Si07.

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0050 4.

$^{108}\text{Ag } \varepsilon$  decay (2.382 min) 1973Si02Decay Scheme

## Legend

Intensities:  $I_\gamma$  per 100 parent decays