

¹⁰⁸In ε decay (39.6 min) 1975FI01,1984Ro10

Type	History		Literature Cutoff Date
	Author	Citation	
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	ENSDF	1-Jul-2008

Parent: ¹⁰⁸In: E=29.75 5; J^π=2⁺; T_{1/2}=39.6 min 7; Q(ε)=5137 9; %ε+%β⁺ decay=100.0

¹⁰⁸In-Q(ε): Q(g.s.)= 5149 14 (1986Bo28).

The decay scheme, E_γ, I_γ, and γγ-coincidence relations are those measured by 1975FI01. Other measurements: 1962Ka23, 1963Ka18, 1970Di13 and 1984Ro10.

Many unplaced transitions reported by 1984Ro10 are given in the 58.0-min ¹⁰⁸In decay. Some could be for this decay also. 1992Ku01 have found a strong 1088 γ from a level at 1721 to the 657 level. They give γ branching but they do not say to what isomer the gammas belong. Authors report α(K)exp data from decay and (p,p'γ). These data are given in (p,p'γ).

¹⁰⁸Cd Levels

E(level)	J ^π	T _{1/2}	E(level)	J ^π	E(level)	J ^π
0	0 ⁺	stable	2162.6 4	2 ⁺	3046.7 3	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺
632.99 15	2 ⁺		2202.3 4	3 ⁻	3452.5 3	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺
1508.4 5	4 ⁺		2365.3 3	2 ⁺	3811.5 3	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺
1601.18 20	2 ⁺		2486	2 ⁺	3825.4 6	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺
1720.5	0 ⁺		2619.0 4	2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺		
1913.03 24	0 ⁺		2681.5 3	2 ⁺		

ε,β⁺ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ ‡	Iε ‡	Log ft	I(ε+β ⁺) †‡	Comments
(1341 9)	3825.4	0.0085 12	3.7 3	5.43 4	3.7 3	av Eβ=149.9 40; εK=0.8588 3; εL=0.11111 6; εM+=0.02779 2
(1355 9)	3811.5	0.017 2	6.3 4	5.21 3	6.3 4	av Eβ=156.0 40; εK=0.8585 3; εL=0.11103 6; εM+=0.02777 2
(1714 9)	3452.5	0.41 3	9.1 6	5.25 3	9.5 6	av Eβ=311.6 40; εK=0.8243 17; εL=0.10585 24; εM+=0.02645 6
(2120 9)	3046.7	0.76 6	3.3 2	5.87 4	4.1 3	av Eβ=489.4 40; εK=0.703 4; εL=0.0898 5; εM+=0.02243 12
(2485 9)	2681.5	1.3 1	2.2 3	6.19 5	3.5 4	av Eβ=652.1 41; εK=0.545 4; εL=0.0695 6; εM+=0.01733 13
(2548 9)	2619.0	5.0 3	7.5 4	5.68 3	12.5 7	av Eβ=680.1 41; εK=0.518 4; εL=0.0659 5; εM+=0.01645 13
(2681 9)	2486	1.5 1	1.8 1	6.36 3	3.3 2	av Eβ=740.1 41; εK=0.462 4; εL=0.0587 5; εM+=0.01465 12
(2801 9)	2365.3	2.3 2	2.1 1	6.32 4	4.4 3	av Eβ=794.8 41; εK=0.414 4; εL=0.0526 5; εM+=0.01312 11
(2964 9)	2202.3	0.58 6	0.40 4	7.09 5	0.98 10	av Eβ=869.0 42; εK=0.355 3; εL=0.0451 4; εM+=0.01125 10
(3004 9)	2162.6	4.4 2	2.9 2	6.24 3	7.3 4	av Eβ=887.1 42; εK=0.342 3; εL=0.0434 4; εM+=0.01083 10
(3254 9)	1913.03					av Eβ= 990 40; εK= 0.274 22; εL= 0.035 3; εM+= 0.0087 7 Iε: ΔJ ^π =2 implies negligible feeding. The intensity imbalance may be due to unplaced transitions.
(3566 9)	1601.18	3.7 3	1.1 1	6.81 4	4.8 4	av Eβ=1145.6 42; εK=0.2016 17; εL=0.02553 22; εM+=0.00637 6
(3658 9)	1508.4					av Eβ= 1180 40; εK= 0.188 15; εL= 0.0238 19; εM+= 0.0059 5 Iε: ΔJ ^π =2 implies negligible feeding. The intensity imbalance may be due to unplaced transitions.
(4534 9)	632.99	30.4 10	3.50 12	6.520 17	33.9 11	av Eβ=1599.2 43; εK=0.0893 7; εL=0.01127 8;

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{108}In ε decay (39.6 min) **1975FI01,1984Ro10** (continued) ε, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	Comments
	$\varepsilon M^+=0.002810\ 20$	
	E(decay): E= 3494 10 (1986Bo28).	

† From I(γ +ce) imbalance at each level.

‡ Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{108}\text{Cd})$

I γ normalization: normalization of the decay scheme per 100 decays of the parent was made by assuming the sum of γ 's to the g.s.=100%. **1963Ka18** established the absence of g.s. feeding using $\beta\gamma$ -coincidence measurements. β^+ , ε feeding to the levels was deduced by requiring an intensity balance at each level. Approximately 10% of the total intensity observed by **1975FI01** was not placed in the decay scheme by the authors.

E_γ ‡	I_γ ‡@	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. †	Comments
^x 156.2 2	0.21 3						
^x 171.4 2	1.03 7						
311.6 3	1.32 9	1913.03	0 ⁺	1601.18	2 ⁺		
^x 391.3 1	0.47 7						
^x 536.1 1	1.06 9						
632.9 2	100	632.99	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	E2	Mult.: E2 from adopted γ 's.
770.9 2	0.42 7	3452.5	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	2681.5	2 ⁺		E_γ : a 770.5 γ reported by 1984Ro10 is placed by them from a 3561 level.
875.4 4	3.20 20	1508.4	4 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺	E2	Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}$ allows M1,E2. $\Delta J=2$ from $\gamma(\theta)$ in (p,2n γ).
884.1 [#] 3	0.37 8	2486	2 ⁺	1601.18	2 ⁺		
^x 936.0 3	0.25 8						
968.5 5	5.7 3	1601.18	2 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺	M1,E2	
1017.7 4	0.20 6	2619.0	2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺	1601.18	2 ⁺		
1087.5 5	2.00 14	1720.5	0 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺		
1280.1 4	0.65 9	1913.03	0 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺		
^x 1293.7 3	0.94 11						
^x 1408.5 3	0.19 10						
1445.6 4	0.32 8	3046.7	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	1601.18	2 ⁺		
^x 1475.0 3	0.77 13						
^x 1513.1 2	1.19 13						
1529.4 5	9.6 5	2162.6	2 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺		Mult.: 1984Ro10 determine $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.00019$ which indicates E1. This mult is not confirmed by new measurement of 1990Ku01 or by data in (n,n' γ).
1569.3 3	1.28 13	2202.3	3 ⁻	632.99	2 ⁺		
1601.2 3	5.3 3	1601.18	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	E2	
1732.1 4	5.0 3	2365.3	2 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺	M1,E2	
1851.9 [#] 5	4.0 3	2486	2 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺		
^x 1864.0 4	0.70 20						
1913.4 4		1913.03	0 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	E0	I γ : pure E0 gives I γ =0, so I γ =0.13 3 from 1975FI01 probably is an error. I γ <0.1 (1984Ro10). Mult.: $\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}>0.012$ (1984Ro10), >0.025 (1992Ku01).
1986.3 5	16.2 9	2619.0	2 ⁺ ,3 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺	M1,E2	
2048.3 4	4.0 4	2681.5	2 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺		
^x 2112.4 3	0.33 4						
2211.1 5	0.70 10	3811.5	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	1601.18	2 ⁺		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{108}In ε decay (39.6 min) 1975FI01,1984Ro10 (continued) $\gamma(^{108}\text{Cd})$ (continued)

E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{‡@}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	E_γ [‡]	I_γ ^{‡@}	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
2224.2 5	1.80 20	3825.4	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	1601.18	2 ⁺	3178.4 4	2.1 3	3811.5	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺
^x 2278.3 5	0.82 12					3452.2 5	12.0 7	3452.5	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺
^x 2316.9 6	0.40 10					^x 3689.4 22	1.40 20				
2365.1 5	0.70 10	2365.3	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	3811.8 5	5.5 4	3811.5	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺
2413.2 6	1.90 10	3046.7	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	632.99	2 ⁺	3825.5 20	3.1 3	3825.4	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺
2681.3 4	1.00 10	2681.5	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	^x 4052.0 25	0.8 3				
^x 2816.0 10	0.91 15					^x 4342.8 23	1.0 3				
3046.8 4	3.2 3	3046.7	1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺						

[†] Based on $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}$ from relative $I(\text{ce}(\text{K}))$ and I_γ of 1984Ro10 normalized so that $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}(633\gamma)=0.00301$ (E2 theory).

[‡] From 1975FI01.

[#] Placed by 1975FI01 from the 3452 level; however, agreement with energy and branching ratio in (n,n' γ) and in-beam data suggest population of the 2486 level.

[@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.764 6.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

^{108}In ϵ decay (39.6 min) 1975FI01,1984Ro10

Decay Scheme

Legend

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\text{max}}$
- Coincidence

$^{108}_{49}\text{In}_{59}$ 2^+ 29.75 39.6 min 7
 $Q_\epsilon = 5137.9$
 $\% \epsilon + \% \beta^+ = 100$

