

$^{107}\text{Ag}(n,\gamma)$ E=thermal: primary 1985Ma54

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	ENSDF	1-Jul-2008

 $J(^{107}\text{Ag})=1/2^-$. ^{108}Ag Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	Comments
0	1 ⁺	
79.3 4	2 ⁻	
193.17 14	1 ⁺	
206.67 16	2 ⁺	
294.62 14	2 ⁺	
338.51 18	3 ⁻	
379.27 15	1 ⁻	
465.9 3	0 ⁻	
508.6 3	2 ⁻	
563.80 14	2 ⁺	
578.98 21	0 ⁻ , 2 ⁻	
606.5 3	1 ⁻	
617.7 6	2 ⁻	
678.9 3	1 ⁻	
705.90 19	1 ⁻ , 2 ⁻	
711.4? 9		
719.365 6	1 ⁻ , 2 ⁻	E(level): determined from the secondary γ 's.
804.0 4	2 ⁻	
819.0 4	2 ⁻	
880.5 4	2 ⁺	
899.97 23	1 ⁻	
943.1 10	3 ⁻	
960.1 9	2 ⁻	
974.5 3	2 ⁻	
1002.4 3	1 ⁺ , 2 ⁻	
1012.9 4		E(level): probable multiplet consisting of 1012.55, 1012.74, 1013.21 levels.
1051.49 21		E(level): probable doublet consisting of 1051.566 and 1051.844 levels.
1079.6 6	2 ⁻ , 3 ⁻ , 4 ⁻	E(level): probable doublet consisting of 1079.203 and 1079.817 levels.
1106.676 21	2 ⁺	E(level): determined from the secondary γ 's.
1112.03 14	1 ⁺	
1144.0 5	1 ⁺	
(7269.60 10)	0 ⁻ , 1 ⁻	

[†] From S(n)-(E γ +E(recoil)) with S(n)= 7269.6 6.[‡] From Adopted Levels. $\gamma(^{108}\text{Ag})$ I γ normalization: from I γ (633 γ)= 1.76% 10 in decay of ^{108}Ag g.s.Energy and efficiency calibrations determined using γ 's from ^{60}Co and from (n, γ) on natural zinc, ^{56}Fe , ^{12}C , and ^{207}Pb .

E γ	I γ ^{†‡}	E $_i$ (level)
^x 5301.9 10	0.189 11	
^x 5309.3 4	0.221 16	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{107}\text{Ag}(n,\gamma)$ E=thermal: primary **1985Ma54** (continued) $\gamma(^{108}\text{Ag})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\dagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
$^{*5317.1\ 5}$	0.093 15				
$^{*5323.7\ 8}$	0.081 11				
$^{*5329.0\ 5}$	0.122 15				
$^{*5377.6\ 7}$	0.128 7				
$^{*5442.69\ 20}$	0.319 10				
$^{*5461.3\ 5}$	0.080 8				
$^{*5476.9\ 10}$	0.050 8				
$^{*5482.8\ 3}$	0.108 8				
$^{*5499.04\ 23}$	0.81 3				
$^{*5512.9\ 5}$	0.079 24				
$^{*5548.3\ 3}$	1.00 6				
$^{*5558.2\ 5}$	0.082 11				
$^{*5567.7\ 6}$	0.126 10				
$^{*5591.2\ 10}$	0.020 6				
$^{*5606.22\ 20}$	0.143 7				
$^{*5614.78\ 23}$	0.132 8				
$^{*5633.94\ 23}$	0.224 9				
$^{*5639.92\ 20}$	0.413 13				
$^{*5669.5\ 5}$	0.190 14				
$^{*5676.1\ 3}$	0.35 3				
$^{*5685.81\ 20}$	0.233 24				
$^{*5700.9\ 5}$	0.233 24				
$^{*5720.2\ 4}$	0.186 10				
$^{*5728.2\ 3}$	0.237 10				
$^{*5751.8\ 5}$	0.048 8				
$^{*5772.1\ 5}$	0.187 8				
$^{*5778.3\ 15}$	0.068 7				
$^{*5786.78\ 20}$	0.64 4				
$^{*5796.20\ 23}$	0.700 7				
$^{*5806.0\ 3}$	0.176 18				
$^{*5830.4\ 3}$	0.27 3				
$^{*5846.3\ 3}$	0.081 16				
$^{*5853.1\ 10}$	0.048 15				
$^{*5863.4\ 4}$	0.124 6				
$^{*5870.7\ 10}$	0.030 9				
$^{*5913.1\ 5}$	0.269 11				
$^{*5926.4\ 6}$	0.064 7				
$^{*5943.5\ 5}$	0.144 8				
$^{*5958.0\ 5}$	0.136 6				
$^{*5987.4\ 5}$	0.036 5				
$^{*5996.67\ 22}$	0.069 6				
$^{*6026.6\ 6}$	0.229 9				
$^{*6037.8\ 5}$	0.083 6				
$^{*6054.7\ 5}$	0.190 8				
$^{*6068.5\ 4}$	0.046 5				
6125.4 5	0.029 5	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	1144.0	1^+
$^{*6133.3\ 4}$	0.051 5				
6157.38 9	0.800 16	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	1112.03	1^+
6163.66 18	0.187 8	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	1106.676	2^+
6189.8 6	0.018 6	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	1079.6	$2^-,3^-,4^-$
6217.92 18	0.279 9	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	1051.49	
6256.5 3	0.185 8	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	1012.9	
6267.0 3	0.395 8	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	1002.4	$1^+,2^-$
6294.9 3	0.090 6	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	974.5	2^-
6309.3 9	0.020 5	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	960.1	2^-
6326.3 10	0.011 5	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	943.1	3^-
6369.43 20	0.042 4	(7269.60)	$0^-,1^-$	899.97	1^-

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{107}\text{Ag}(n,\gamma)$ E=thermal: primary **1985Ma54** (continued) $\gamma(^{108}\text{Ag})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\ddagger}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
6388.9 3	0.076 5	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	880.5	2^+	6705.58 10	0.530 11	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	563.80	2^+
6450.4 4	0.043 4	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	819.0	2^-	6760.8 3	0.073 5	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	508.6	2^-
6465.4 3	0.020 4	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	804.0	2^-	6803.5 3	0.073 7	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	465.9	0^-
6551.2 6	0.029 3	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	719.365	$1^-, 2^-$	6890.09 11	0.361 7	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	379.27	1^-
6558.0 9	0.027 4	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	711.4?		6930.85 15	0.045 4	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	338.51	3^-
6563.48 16	0.148 5	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	705.90	$1^-, 2^-$	6974.74 10	0.790 16	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	294.62	2^+
6590.5 3	0.016 4	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	678.9	1^-	7062.68 12	0.670 20	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	206.67	2^+
6651.7 6	0.018 4	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	617.7	2^-	7076.18 10	1.60 3	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	193.17	1^+
6662.92 24	0.021 4	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	606.5	1^-	7190.0 3	0.027 3	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	79.3	2^-
6690.40 18	0.062 6	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	578.98	$0^-, 2^-$	7269.36 8	1.80	(7269.60)	$0^-, 1^-$	0	1^+

† Photons per 100 neutron captures obtained from $I_\gamma(7269)$ relative to $I_\gamma(633\gamma)$ in g.s. decay)=1.76% 10 and the assumption that 1% of the neutron captures lead to population of the isomer at 109.5. The uncertainty of 10% in the calibration is not included in the listed intensities but is included in the normalization factor.

‡ For intensity per 100 neutron captures, multiply by 1.00 10.

x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{107}\text{Ag}(n,\gamma)$ E=thermal: primary 1985Ma54