### <sup>106</sup>Ag ε decay (8.28 d) 1973In08,1975Sc38,1977Ti01

	His	tory	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. De Frenne and A. Negret	NDS 109, 943 (2008)	1-May-2007

Parent: <sup>106</sup>Ag: E=89.66 7;  $J^{\pi}=6^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=8.28$  d 2;  $Q(\varepsilon)=2965$  3;  $\%\varepsilon+\%\beta^+$  decay=100.0

1973In08: activity from <sup>103</sup>Rh( $\alpha$ ,n) E=14 MeV, chem. Measured: E $\gamma$ , I $\gamma$ , ce deduced: <sup>106</sup>Pd levels,  $J^{\pi}$ , mult.

1975Sc38: activity from <sup>106</sup>Pd(d,2n) E=20 MeV, natural target; measured:  $I\gamma(\theta)$  polarized nuclei oriented at low T. Deduced: <sup>106</sup>Pd levels,  $J^{\pi}$ ,  $\delta$ .

1977Ti01: activity from <sup>107</sup>Ag( $\gamma$ ,n) E=30 MeV bremsstrahlung, natural target. Measured: I $\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ . Deduced:  $\delta$ .

Others: 1950Me86, 1955Al44, 1960Ro12, 1963Sm06, 1967Ra11, 1967St10, 1967Te03, 1967Ba33, 1967Vr06, 1968Mo02, 1968Ta01, 1968We16, 1971Az02, 1974HeYW, 1975Sh28, 1975Si13.

 $\gamma\gamma$  mainly from 1977Ti01 semi-semi spectra. Others: 1960Ro12, 1967Ba33, 1967Ra11, 1971Az02.  $Q(\varepsilon)=3053$  3 deduced from L/K capture ratios to 2952 and 2757 states (1978Ge01).

#### <sup>106</sup>Pd Levels

E(level)	$J^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	Comments
0.0	$0^{+}$	stable	
511.85 <i>3</i>	2+		
1128.04 4	2+		
1229.33 4	4+		
1557.71 4	3+		
1561.9 <i>3</i>	$2^{+}$		
1932.25 6	4+		
2076.50 5	$6^{+}$		
2076.98 5	$4^{+}$		
2084.06 5	3-		
2282.80 5	$4^{+}$		
2305.75 5	4-		
2350.69 5	$4^{+}$		
2365.84 5	5+		
2397.6 <i>3</i>	$(5)^{-}$		
2578.8?	(4 <sup>-</sup> )		
2756.85 5	5+	<3.6 ns	$T_{1/2}$ : <3.6 ns (K x ray)( $\gamma$ )(t) scin (1968We16).
2951.84 6	5+	<2.0 ns	$T_{1/2}$ : <2.0 ns (K x ray)( $\gamma$ )(t) scin (1968We16); other: 200 ns 50 (1967Ba33).

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels.

#### $\varepsilon, \beta^+$ radiations

 $\beta^+$  unobserved (<0.1%) 1953Be42 (scin).

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\varepsilon^{\dagger}$	Log ft	$\mathrm{I}(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^\dagger$	Comments
(103 3)	2951.84	8.1 6	5.08 5	8.1 6	$\varepsilon$ K= 0.802 3; $\varepsilon$ L= 0.1578 21; $\varepsilon$ M+= 0.0404 7 $\varepsilon$ L/ $\varepsilon$ K exp=0.203 3 (1978Ge01) via (1723 $\gamma$ )(x-ray) and I(x-ray) +
(298 3)	2756.85	92 <i>3</i>	5.087 18	92 6	I(Auger) measurements. $\varepsilon K = 0.8492$ ; $\varepsilon L = 0.12098$ 16; $\varepsilon M + = 0.02984$ 5 $\varepsilon L/\varepsilon K \exp[=0.1457 \ 10 \ (1978Ge01) \ via \ (1528\gamma)(x-ray) \ and \ I(x-ray) +$
(657 <i>3</i> ) (978 <i>3</i> )	2397.6 2076.50	0.10 <i>3</i> 1.3 7	8.77 <i>13</i> 8.02 <i>24</i>	0.10 <i>3</i> 1.3 <i>7</i>	I(Auger) measurements. $\varepsilon K = 0.8596; \ \varepsilon L = 0.1129; \ \varepsilon M + = 0.02754$ $\varepsilon K = 0.8623; \ \varepsilon L = 0.1108; \ \varepsilon M + = 0.02696$

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{106}\text{Pd})$ 

I $\gamma$  normalization: for  $\Sigma I(\gamma+ce)=100$  to g.s.; IT decay unobserved.

 $\mathbf{b}$ 

 $\alpha$ (K)exp=ce(K)/I $\gamma$  normalized to  $\alpha$ (K)(511.8 $\gamma$ )=0.00484 7 (E2 theory). I(ce(K)) data are primarily from 1973In08 (s), otherwise from 1964Sc15 (s); I(ce(L)) data are from 1987KrZQ. Others: 1955Al44, 1961Sm04, 1963Sm06, 1975Sh28, 1978Sh25.

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f  J_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	δ#	α <b>&amp;</b>	Comments
<sup>x</sup> 69.0 4	5.9 16							
<sup>x</sup> 70.3 3	10.4 16							
<sup>x</sup> 80.1 2	3.9 8							
<sup>x</sup> 83.2 6	0.9 5							
178.2 <sup><i>a</i></sup> 5	0.6 2	2756.85	5+	2578.8? (4 <sup>-</sup> )				
195.05 <i>16</i>	3.5 5	2951.84	5+	2756.85 5+	M1(+E2)	0.13 + 22 - 13	0.061	$\alpha(K) \exp = 0.061 \ 12$
								$\alpha(K)(M1)=0.053, \ \alpha(K)(E2)=0.108.$
221.701 15	75 <i>3</i>	2305.75	4-	2084.06 3-	M1+E2	-0.11 2	0.0441 2	$\alpha$ (K)=0.03813 <i>15</i> ; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00463 <i>3</i> ; $\alpha$ (M)=0.00087;
								$\alpha$ (N+)=0.00016
								$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.041 4
								L1:L2:L3=100:7.6 4:4.2 2 (1978Sh25)
								$\delta$ : weighted average of: $-0.13.2$ from $\gamma(\theta)$ oriented nuclei
								$(19/58c38)$ ; -0.08 8 from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (19//1101) and -0.08 2 from
228 (22 21	24.0.11	2205 75	4-	2076 08 4+	<b>E</b> 1		0.0145	NMR on oriented nuclei ( $1984Ed02$ ).
228.033 21	24.0 11	2305.75	4	2070.98 4	EI		0.0145	$\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.01275; \ \alpha(\mathbf{L}) = 0.00150; \ \alpha(\mathbf{M}) = 0.00028$
								$\alpha(K)\exp=0.0135 T3; \alpha(L1)\exp=0.0012 4; \alpha(L2)\exp=0.00008 2;$
								$\alpha(LS)\exp=0.00015.5$
279 162 22	1206	1557 71	2+	1220.22 4+	$E_2(+M_1)$		0.022	$L_1:L_2:L_3=100.7.1$ $I_3:11.0$ $I/(197831123)$
526.405 25	13.0 0	1557.71	3	1229.55 4	$E2(\pm W11)$		0.022	$\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.019, \ \alpha(\mathbf{L}) = 0.0020, \ \alpha(\mathbf{M}) = 0.0004$ $\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.0198, \ 18$
								$U(\mathbf{K}) = 0.0176 \ 10$ $I = 1.1 \ 2.1 \ 3 = 100.22 \ 13.8 \ 5 \ (1078 \ 5.25)$
								Mult : $\delta > 2.3$ from $\alpha(K)$ exp
374 46 13	304	1932.25	$4^{+}$	1557 71 3+	M1(+E2)	0.0 + 3 - 0	0.0126	$\alpha(K) = 0.00207; \alpha(L) = 0.00025$
571.1015	5.0 1	1752.25		1001111 0	MII(122)	0.0 10 0	0.0120	$\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.0089 \ 18$
391.035 26	42 2	2756.85	5+	2365.84 5+	E2+M1			$\alpha(K) = 0.01083; \alpha(L) = 0.00143; \alpha(M) = 0.00027$
								$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0109 9 and also 0.00104 9 (1990Ka35).
								$\delta \approx -16$ from $\gamma(\theta)$ (1975Sc38); $\approx -33$ or $-1.7$ 6 from NMR on
								oriented nuclei (1984Ed02).
406.182 20	153 4	2756.85	5+	2350.69 4+	M1+E2	-3.35 14	0.0110	$\alpha(K)=0.00950; \ \alpha(L)=0.00124; \ \alpha(M)=0.00023$
								$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0095 6
								L1:L2:L3=100:20 4:12 2 (1978Sh25)
								$\delta$ : weighted average: -3.2 2 from $\gamma(\theta)$ (1975Sc38) and -3.5 2
								from NMR on oriented nuclei (1984Ed02). Other: -7 4
								(1977Ti01).
418.55 23	3.8 7	2350.69	4+	1932.25 4+				
429.646 22	150 4	1557.71	3+	1128.04 2+	M1+E2	-7.9 8	0.00938	$\alpha(K)=0.00813; \ \alpha(L)=0.00106; \ \alpha(M)=0.00020$
								$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0085 6

				1	<sup>.06</sup> Ag	ε decay (8.28 d	d) <b>1973</b> I	n08,1975Sc	238,1977Ti01 (continued)
							$\gamma(^{106}\text{Pd})$	) (continued	<u>)</u>
${\rm E}_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	δ#	α <b>&amp;</b>	Comments
			_						L1:L2:L3=100:13 4:5.8 15 (1978Sh25) $\delta$ : weighted average: -7.4 7 from $\gamma(\theta)$ (1975Sc38) and -9 1 from NMR on oriented nuclei (1984Ed02).
433.9 5	1.0 4	2365.84	5+	1932.25	4+				
450.976 22	322 8	2756.85	5+	2305.75	4-	E1		0.00243	$\alpha(K)=0.00213; \ \alpha(L)=0.00025$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.00220 \ 15; \ \alpha(L1)\exp=0.00026 \ 4 \ (1987KaZQ);$ $\alpha(L2)\exp=0.000010 \ 5 \ (1987KaZQ)$
474.06 3	10.6 6	2756.85	5+	2282.80	4+	M1+E2			$\alpha(\text{L2})(x)=0.00602; \ \alpha(\text{L})=0.0076; \ \alpha(\text{M})=0.00014 \ \alpha(\text{K})=x)=0.0055 \ 19 \ \delta; \ -4.0 \ +9-6 \ \text{or} \ -0.10 \ +4-10 \ (1975\text{Sc}38).$
511.85 <i>3</i>	1000 30	511.85	2+	0.0	0+	E2			$E_{\gamma}$ : other: 511.8605 <i>31</i> (1976Sh25, <sup>106</sup> Ru source) semi, relative to $E(\gamma^{\pm})=511.0034$ <i>14.</i> $L_{\gamma}: \gamma^{\pm}$ is negligible: unobserved $\beta^{+}<0.1\%$ (1953Be42).
522.3 <i>3</i>	1.0 2	2084.06	3-	1561.9	$2^{+}$				
585.97 10	5.0 11	2951.84	5+	2365.84	5+	M1,E2			$\alpha(K) \exp = 0.0046 \ 14$
601.17 7	18.4 10	2951.84	5+	2350.69	4+	M1+E2	-3.0 7		$\alpha(K)(M1)=0.0034, \ \alpha(K)(E2)=0.0033.$ $\alpha(K)=0.00310; \ \alpha(L)=0.00038$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0025 \ 3 \ (1973In08); \ \alpha(L1)\exp=0.0007315 \ (1987KaZQ)$
616.17 <i>3</i>	246 7	1128.04	2+	511.85	2+	E0+M1+E2	-9.4 20		<ul> <li>α(L2)exp=0.00007 2 (1987KaZQ)</li> <li>δ: from 1975Sc38; in analogy with δ(406γ)=-3.2 (J=5<sup>+</sup> to 4<sup>+</sup>).</li> <li>α(K)=0.00290; α(L)=0.00036</li> <li>α(K)exp=0.00307 15</li> <li>L1:L2:L3=100:8.5 8:6.0 7 (1978Sh25)</li> <li>α(K)exp: Weighted average of 0.00306 14 (1973In08) and 0.00308 14 (1990Ka35).</li> <li>Mult.: from electron conversion data of 1990Ka35.</li> <li>δ: from 1977Ti01. Other: -10 +4-2 from γ(θ) (1975Sc38); -14 8 or -0.53 6 from NMR on oriented nuclei (1984Ed02); or 14 +17-4 from 1990Ka35.</li> </ul>
646.03 5	16.6 11	2951.84	5+	2305.75	4-	E1		0.00106	$ \rho(E0)=0.16 \ 8 \ (1990Ka35). $ $ \alpha(K)=0.00092; \ \alpha(L)=0.00011 $ $ \alpha(K)=0.00055 \ 18 $
679.64 2	7.3 4	2756.85	5+	2076.98	4+	[M1,E2]			$\alpha(\mathbf{K})\exp=0.00055$ 18 $\mathbf{E}_{\gamma}$ : doublet decomposed by 1978IdZZ. $\mathbf{I}_{\gamma}$ : from doublet $\mathbf{I}_{\gamma}=24.9$ 9 (1973In08) and $\mathbf{I}_{\gamma}(680.4\gamma)/\mathbf{I}_{\gamma}(679.6\gamma)=2.4$ 1 (1978IdZZ). Mult.: $\alpha(\mathbf{K})\exp=0.0017$ 3 for the 680 doublet consistent with mult(679.64\gamma)=E1 and mult(680.42\gamma)=M1,E2. Placement in the doarse advance Az-ma for both placements This requirement leads to
680.42 1	17.6 9	2756.85	5+	2076.50	6+	M1,E2			$\Sigma$ I(ce(K))=0.057 4, compared with ΣI(ce(K))exp=0.042 6. $\alpha$ (K)(M1)=0.0024; $\alpha$ (K)(E2)=0.0022. $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0017 3 doublet. I <sub>γ</sub> : from doublet I <sub>γ</sub> =24.9 9 (1973In08) and I <sub>γ</sub> (680.4γ)/I <sub>γ</sub> (679.6γ)=2.4 1 (1978IdZZ). Mult.: $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0017 3 for the 680 doublet consistent with

 $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ 

From ENSDF

<sup>106</sup>Pd<sub>60</sub>-3

					106	Ag $\varepsilon$ decay	(8.28 d)	1973In08,1975Sc38,1977Ti01 (continued)
							2	$v(^{106}\text{Pd})$ (continued)
${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	δ#	Comments
703.11 8	51 2	1932.25	4+	1229.33	4+	M1+E2	-2.30 2	mult(679.64 $\gamma$ )=E1 and mult(680.42 $\gamma$ )=M1,E2. Placement in the decay scheme $\Delta \pi$ =no for both placements. This requirement leads to $\Sigma$ I(ce(K))=0.057 4, compared with $\Sigma$ I(ce(K))exp=0.042 6. $\alpha$ (K)=0.00207; $\alpha$ (L)=0.00025 $\alpha$ (K)exp=0.0016 3 $\alpha$ (K)exp: other: 0.00202 24 (1990Ka35).
717.34 9	330 9	1229.33	4+	511.85	2+	E2		δ: from 1977Ti01; others: -1.1 4 (1975Sc38); -1.7 11 (1984Ed02). $ α(K)=0.00195; α(L)=0.00024α(K)exp=0.00197 13 $
748.36 11	235 7	2305.75	4-	1557.71	3+	E1		δ: ≤0.005 10 (19771i01) γγ(θ). α(K)=0.00067 α(K)exp=0.00060 11 α(K)exp=0.00060 11
793.17 10	67 <i>3</i>	2350.69	4+	1557.71	3+	M1+E2	-7.5 15	Mult.: $\delta = +0.03 \ 10 \ (19771i01) \text{ from } \gamma\gamma(\theta) \text{ overlaps zero.}$ $\alpha(K) = 0.00152; \ \alpha(L) = 0.00018$ $\alpha(K) \exp = 0.0017 \ 3$ $\delta$ : weighted average: $-7.0 \ 20 \ \text{from } \gamma(\theta) \ (1975Sc38); \ -4.3 \ 15 \ \text{from } \gamma\gamma(\theta) \ (1977Ti01)$
804.28 10	141 6	1932.25	4+	1128.04	2+	E2		and $-8.0\ 20$ from NMR on oriented nuclei (1984Ed02). $\alpha(K)=0.00146;\ \alpha(L)=0.00018$ $\alpha(K)\exp=0.00138\ 16$
808.36 11	46 5	2365.84	5+	1557.71	3+	M1+E2	+1.0 8	Mult.: E2 consistent with isotropic $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ A <sub>2</sub> =0.002 $\delta$ (19771101). $\alpha(K)=0.00152$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.00018$ $\alpha(K)\exp[=0.0013$ 3 $\beta_{1}(L)=0.0013$ (L) $\beta_{2}(L)=0.0018$
824.69 7	175 5	2756.85	5+	1932.25	4+	M1+E2	-6.5 6	α(K)=0.00138; α(L)=0.00016 α(K)=0.00150 15 α(K)=0.00150 15 α(K)=0.00150 15
847.03 4	32 7	2076.50	6+	1229.33	4+	E2		6: weighted average: $-5.7 + 75-8$ from $\gamma(6)$ 19735538 and $-0.8$ 6 from NMK of oriented nuclei (1984Ed02). Other: $-0.04 + 2-4$ (1975Sc38). $\alpha(K)=0.00129; \alpha(L)=0.00015$ $\alpha(K)\exp(doublet)=0.0014$ 4. E <sub>y</sub> : from 1978IdZZ.
847.27 2	18 6	2076.98	4+	1229.33	4+	(M1,E2)		<ul> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: doublet Iγ(848γ)=50.2 (19751008) minus Iγ=18.6 component via 4°, 2077.4-keV state.</li> <li>Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0014 4 for 847γ doublet is consistent with mult (847.03γ)=M1,E2 and with mult (841.27γ)=M1,E2 or possibly E1. The placement in the decay scheme requires mult=E2 and Δπ=no, respectively.</li> <li>E<sub>γ</sub>: from 1978IdZZ.</li> <li>I<sub>γ</sub>: γγ measurement (1977Ti01); other: Iγ(847.27γ)=Iγ(847.43γ) (1978IdZZ) suggests component Iγ=25.</li> <li>Mult.: α(K)exp=0.0014 4 for 847γ doublet is consistent with mult (847.03γ)=M1,E2 and with mult (841.27γ)=M1,E2 or possibly E1.the placement in the decay scheme requires mult=E2 and Δπ=no, respectively.</li> <li>From α(K)exp=0.0014 4 for 847γ doublet M1 or E2 most likely although E1 cannot be available</li> </ul>
874.81 18	3.8 5	2951.84	5+	2076.98	4+			εχειματα.

4

From ENSDF

 $^{106}_{46}\mathrm{Pd}_{60}$ -4

# <sup>106</sup>Ag ε decay (8.28 d) 1973In08,1975Sc38,1977Ti01 (continued)

# $\gamma$ (<sup>106</sup>Pd) (continued)

${\rm E_{\gamma}}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_f = \mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	δ <sup>#</sup>	Comments
949 52 25	224	2076 98	$4^{+}$	$1128.04$ $2^+$			
956.22 23	5.4 9	2084.06	3-	$1128.04 2^+$			
<sup>x</sup> 986.8 4	< 0.04						
1019.72 15	11.9 18	2951.84	5+	1932.25 4+	M1,E2		$\alpha(K) \exp = 0.0011 \ 3$
							$\alpha(K)(M1)=0.00095, \ \alpha(K)(E2)=0.00036.$
1045.83 8	337 11	1557.71	3+	511.85 2+	M1+E2	-3.8 4	$\alpha(K) = 0.00080$
							$\alpha(K) \exp = 0.00088 \ 6$
							L1:L2:L3=100:4.4 16:1.5 9 (1978Sh25)
							$\delta$ : weighted average of: -4.7 8, -5.5 15, -2.4 8 from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1977Ti01) and -3.8 3
							from NMR on oriented nuclei (1984Ed02).
1050.6 5	3.0 15	1561.9	2+	511.85 2+	(M1+E2)	+0.24 1	Mult.: $\Delta \pi$ =no from decay scheme.
							$\delta$ : from 1977Ok03. Others: +0.20 2 (1968We16), +0.19 2 (1968Ha35), +0.21 I
1050 55 01	11.0.16		4	1220 22 11			(1953K151), +0.307 (1975Hs02).
1053.77 21	11.0 16	2282.80	4'	1229.33 4			
10/7.2.5	0.62	2305.75	4	1229.33 4			$I_{\gamma}$ : other: 0.77 (19771101).
1121.39 18	0.5 /	2350.09	4 · 2+	1229.33 4	E2		~(K)-0.00067
1128.02 /	154 0	1128.04	Z	0.0 0	E2		$\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.00007$ $\alpha(\mathbf{K}) = 0.00072$ 12
1136 85 70	263	2365.84	5+	1220 33 /+			$u(\mathbf{K})\exp(-0.00072/12)$
1168 25 25	113	2305.04	$(5)^{-}$	1229.33 4+	F1+M2	-0.04.2	L: other: 1.1.2 (1977Ti01)
1100.25 25	1.1 5	2397.0	(5)	1227.55	E11012	0.012	Mult : D+O from $2\alpha(\theta)$ E1+M2 from linear pol in $\frac{96}{7}r(^{13}C_{3}n_{2})$
							$\delta$ : from 1976Gr12
1178.07 21	1.3.3	2305.75	4-	1128.04 2+			$L_{\rm c}$ : other: 2.2.3 (1977Ti01).
1199.39 10	128 6	2756.85	5+	1557.71 3+	E2		$\alpha(K) = 0.00059$
							$\alpha(K) \exp = 0.00064 \ 12$
1222.88 12	80 4	2350.69	4+	1128.04 2+	E2		$\alpha(K) = 0.00057$
							$\alpha(K) \exp = 0.00061 \ 13$
							Mult.: Q from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (1977Ti01); E2 from $\alpha$ (K)exp and $\delta$ (M3/E2)=+0.2 3
							overlaps zero.
1349.5 <sup>a</sup> 6	1.4 5	2578.8?	(4-)	1229.33 4+	[E1]		$\alpha(K) = 0.00022$
1394.35 14	17 2	2951.84	5+	1557.71 3+	[E2]		$\alpha(K)=0.00043$
							$\alpha$ (K)exp=0.00049 6
1419.4 8	0.4 2	1932.25	4+ -+	511.85 2+		<b>2</b> 4 6 0	$I_{\gamma}$ : other: 0.12 8 (1977Ti01).
1527.65 19	186 15	2756.85	5-	1229.33 4+	M1+E2	-2.46 9	$\alpha(K) \exp[-0.00039.6]$
							Mult.: D+Q from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ . M1+E2 from linear pol in ${}^{90}Zr({}^{15}C,3n\gamma)$ .
							δ: weighted average: $-2.3.2$ from $\gamma(\theta)$ (19/58c38); $-2.5.1$ from NMR on oriented
1565 1 2	555	2076 00	4+	511.05 0+			nuclei (1984Ed02) and $-2.3 \delta$ from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ (19771101).
1303.4 3	5.5 J 75 K	2070.98	4 · 2-	511.85 2' 511.95 2+	F1		$\alpha(K) = 0.00020.5$
1312.33 13	150	2004.00	3	511.65 2	EI		$\alpha(\mathbf{x}) = 0.00020 J$ $\delta = 0.00 \pm 5 \pm 1.(1077 T_1 01) 20(\theta)$
<sup>x</sup> 1690.2.4	0.41.7						$U = 0.00 \pm 3 = 1 (19771101) \text{ y}(0).$ $U = 0.00 \pm 3 = 6 (19771101)$
1722.76.18	16.2	2951.84	5+	1229.33 4+	(M1 + E2)	-2.5.14	$\alpha(K) \exp[=0.00027 \ 10$
			2		(		$\delta$ : from 1977TiO1; by analogy to $\delta(1528\gamma) = -2.3$ (J=5 <sup>+</sup> to 4 <sup>+</sup> ) M1+E2 is suggested.

S

#### <sup>106</sup>Ag $\varepsilon$ decay (8.28 d) 1973In08,1975Sc38,1977Ti01 (continued)

# $\gamma(^{106}\text{Pd})$ (continued)

$E_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	$\mathbf{E}_{f}$	$\mathbf{J}_f^{\pi}$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
1771.1 3	0.46 8	2282.80	4+	511.85	$2^{+}$		$I_{\gamma}$ : other: 0.51 7 (1977Ti01).
1794.0 <i>3</i>	0.43 17	2305.75	4-	511.85	2+		$I_{\gamma}$ : other: 0.37 9 (1977Ti01).
1839.05 10	23 3	2350.69	$4^{+}$	511.85	$2^{+}$	E2	$\dot{\alpha}(K) \exp = 0.00030$ 7
<sup>x</sup> 1909.1 6	0.15 5						$I_{\gamma}$ : other: 0.17 5 (1977Ti01).
<sup>x</sup> 2077.3 8	0.025 15						$I_{y}$ : authors report 0.02 +2-1. Other: <0.05 (1977Ti01).
2084.0 4	0.26 5	2084.06	3-	0.0	$0^{+}$	[E3]	$I_{\gamma}$ : from 1977Ti01; others: 0.34 11 (1978IdZZ), 0.19 15 (1973In08), 0.20 6 (1968Mo02), 0.31 (1967Ra11).

 $^{\dagger}$  Taken from 1973In08, except where noted otherwise.

<sup>±</sup> From  $\alpha$ (K)exp, K:L1:L2:L3,  $\gamma(\theta)$  or  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  data. <sup>#</sup> From  $\gamma(\theta)$  or  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$  data.

<sup>@</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0877 5.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>*a*</sup> Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.



L

