

$^{106}\text{Ag } \varepsilon \text{ decay (23.96 min)}$    [1976SaYX,1967Ra11,1974HeYW](#)

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. De Frenne and A. Negret		NDS 109, 943 (2008)	1-May-2007

Parent:  $^{106}\text{Ag}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=1^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=23.96$  min 4;  $Q(\varepsilon)=2965$  3; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{106}\text{Ag}-\% \varepsilon+\% \beta^+$  decay: % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ >99; % $\beta^-<1$  ([1953Be42](#)).

**1976SaYX**: measured:  $E_\gamma$ ,  $I_\gamma$ . Deduced:  $^{106}\text{Pd}$  levels, log  $ft$ .

Others: [1953Be42](#), [1961Sa07](#), [1967St10](#), [1971Bb07](#).

 $^{106}\text{Pd}$  Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi$ <sup>†</sup>						
0.0	$0^+$	1562.26 7	$2^+$	2277.86 8	$0^+$	2705.21 10	$(1)^+$
511.85 5	$2^+$	1706.39 9	$0^+$	2308.86 8	$2^+$	2828.5 3	$0^+$
1128.03 5	$2^+$	1909.47 10	$2^+$	2439.22 19	$2^+$	2877.8 4	$0^+$
1133.77 6	$0^+$	2001.49 9	$0^+$	2500.5 6	$2^-$		
1228.95 12	$4^+$	2242.53 8	$2^+$	2626.73 20	$(2,3)^+$		

<sup>†</sup> From Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ <sup>†</sup>	$I\varepsilon$ <sup>†</sup>	Log $ft$	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+)$ <sup>†</sup>	Comments
(87 3)	2877.8	0.00034	6.6	0.00034	$\varepsilon K= 0.785$ 5; $\varepsilon L= 0.171$ 4; $\varepsilon M+= 0.0443$ 10	
(137 3)	2828.5	0.00025	7.2	0.00025	$\varepsilon K= 0.8221$ 13; $\varepsilon L= 0.1420$ 10; $\varepsilon M+= 0.0359$ 3	
(260 3)	2705.21	0.0042	6.6	0.0042	$\varepsilon K= 0.8462$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.12331$ 22; $\varepsilon M+= 0.03051$ 7	
(338 3)	2626.73	0.0011	7.4	0.0011	$\varepsilon K= 0.8516$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.11913$ 12; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02932$ 4	
(465 3)	2500.5	0.0003	8.3	0.0003	$\varepsilon K= 0.8562$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.11554$ ; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02830$	
(526 3)	2439.22	0.0013	7.8	0.0013	$\varepsilon K= 0.8575$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.11446$ ; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02799$	
(656 3)	2308.86	0.017	6.8	0.017	$\varepsilon K= 0.8596$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.11286$ ; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02754$	
(687 3)	2277.86	0.0033	7.6	0.0033	$\varepsilon K= 0.8600$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.11258$ ; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02746$	
(722 3)	2242.53	0.018	6.9	0.018	$\varepsilon K= 0.8603$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.11228$ ; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02738$	
(964 3)	2001.49	0.20	6.1	0.20	$\varepsilon K= 0.8622$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.11086$ ; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02697$	
(1056 3)	1909.47	0.0041	7.9	0.0041	$\varepsilon K= 0.8626$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.11049$ ; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02687$	
(1259 3)	1706.39	0.046	7.0	0.046	$\varepsilon K= 0.8627$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.10978$ ; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02667$	
(1403 3)	1562.26	0.18	6.5	0.18	av $E\beta= 175.5$ 13; $\varepsilon K= 0.8588$ ; $\varepsilon L= 0.10891$ ; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02645$	
(1831 3)	1133.77	0.30	6.5	0.30	av $E\beta= 361.0$ 13; $\varepsilon K= 0.7883$ 9; $\varepsilon L= 0.09926$ 12; $\varepsilon M+= 0.02408$ 3	
(2453 3)	511.85	6.5 7	9.8 14	5.24	16.3 16	av $E\beta= 636.1$ 14; $\varepsilon K= 0.5218$ 14; $\varepsilon L= 0.06533$ 18; $\varepsilon M+= 0.01584$ 5 $E(\beta^+)=1450$ ( <a href="#">1953Be42</a> ) s; other: 1500 100 ( <a href="#">1951Be69</a> ) s. K-capture/ $I\gamma(512\gamma+\gamma^\pm)=0.28$ 8 scin ( <a href="#">1953Be42</a> ) corresponds with value derived from normalized intensity data and $\varepsilon K/\varepsilon$ theory.
(2965 3)	0.0	52.6 13	30.3 8	4.92	82.9 15	av $E\beta= 868.0$ 14; $\varepsilon K= 0.3162$ 10; $\varepsilon L= 0.03947$ 13; $\varepsilon M+= 0.00956$ 3 $E(\beta^+)=1960$ 20 ( <a href="#">1953Be42</a> ) s; other: 1945 15 ( <a href="#">1951Be69</a> ) s. $I(\beta^+)(g.s.)=52.6$ 13 is deduced from $I(\beta^+)(g.s.)=I(\beta^+)/(I(\beta^+)+I(\beta))$ using theoretical $\varepsilon/\beta^+$ .

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

**$^{106}\text{Ag } \varepsilon$  decay (23.96 min)    1976SaYX, 1967Ra11, 1974HeYW (continued)** **$\gamma(^{106}\text{Pd})$** I $\gamma$  normalization: for I( $\gamma$ +ce)=17.1 15 + I( $\varepsilon$ + $\beta^+$ )=82.9 15 to g.s. $\gamma\gamma$  from 1967Ra11 semi-scint spectra.

E $\gamma$ <sup>†</sup>	I $\gamma$ <sup>†@</sup>	E $_i$ (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E $_f$	J $^\pi_f$	Comments
428.6 1	0.31 3	1562.26	2 <sup>+</sup>	1133.77	0 <sup>+</sup>	
434.28 <sup>‡</sup>	0.090 9	1562.26	2 <sup>+</sup>	1128.03	2 <sup>+</sup>	I $\gamma$ : from I $\gamma$ (434.2)/I $\gamma$ (428.6)=0.29 1 (1982Ka10, $^{106}\text{Rh}$ $\beta^-$ decay); T $_{1/2}$ =30.07 s.
439.23 <sup>‡</sup>	0.23 3	2001.49	0 <sup>+</sup>	1562.26	2 <sup>+</sup>	I $\gamma$ : from I $\gamma$ (439.5)/I $\gamma$ (873.46)=0.029 5 (1982Ka10, $^{106}\text{Rh}$ decay); T $_{1/2}$ =30.07 s.
511.9 1	684 15	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	I $\gamma$ : deduced from (K x ray)(512 $\gamma$ )/(K x ray)(616+622 $\gamma$ ) (1967Ra11) and theoretical $\varepsilon/\beta^+$ , $\varepsilon L/\varepsilon K$ , yielding I $\gamma$ (616+622 $\gamma$ )/I $\gamma$ (512 $\gamma$ )=0.0269. Other: 809 (1967Ra11) normalized to I $\gamma$ (622 $\gamma$ )=12.7. Doublet I $\gamma$ (511.9 $\gamma$ + $\gamma^\pm$ )=1000 (1976SaYX).
578.4 1	0.26 3	1706.39	0 <sup>+</sup>	1128.03	2 <sup>+</sup>	
616.19 <sup>#</sup> 5	5.7 2	1128.03	2 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	E $\gamma$ : other: 616.2 1 (1976SaYX). I $\gamma$ : others: 6.0 4 (1974HeYW), 9.1 8 (1967Ra11).
621.95 <sup>#</sup> 4	12.7 3	1133.77	0 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	E $\gamma$ : other: 622.0 1 (1976SaYX).
680.2 1	0.13 2	2242.53	2 <sup>+</sup>	1562.26	2 <sup>+</sup>	
715.60 <sup>‡</sup>	0.029 3	2277.86	0 <sup>+</sup>	1562.26	2 <sup>+</sup>	I $\gamma$ : from I $\gamma$ (716 $\gamma$ )/I $\gamma$ (1766 $\gamma$ )=0.29 1 (1982Ka10, $^{106}\text{Rh}$ decay (T $_{1/2}$ =30.07 s.).
717.1 1	0.047 6	1228.95	4 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
873.46 <sup>#</sup> 7	8.0 2	2001.49	0 <sup>+</sup>	1128.03	2 <sup>+</sup>	E $\gamma$ : other: 873.4 1 (1976SaYX). I $\gamma$ : others: 7.8 5 (1974HeYW), 8.2 5 (1967Ra11).
1050.31 <sup>#</sup> 10	6.7 2	1562.26	2 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	E $\gamma$ : other: 1050.3 1 (1976SaYX). I $\gamma$ : others: 6.0 4 (1974HeYW), 7.8 5 (1967Ra11).
1109.0 2	0.17 2	2242.53	2 <sup>+</sup>	1133.77	0 <sup>+</sup>	
1114.5 1	0.25 2	2242.53	2 <sup>+</sup>	1128.03	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1127.98 <sup>#</sup> 7	2.9 1	1128.03	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E $\gamma$ : other: 1127.9 1 (1976SaYX). I $\gamma$ : others: 2.9 3 (1974HeYW), 4.8 3 (1967Ra11).
(1133.7)		1133.77	0 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
1180.7 1	0.22 2	2308.86	2 <sup>+</sup>	1128.03	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1194.5 1	1.6 1	1706.39	0 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	E $\gamma$ : other: 1194.4 2 (1974HeYW). I $\gamma$ : others: 1.4 1 (1974HeYW), 1.1 1 (1967Ra11).
1397.6 1	0.12 1	1909.47	2 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1489.6 2	0.06 1	2001.49	0 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1498.8 2	0.028 6	2626.73	(2,3) <sup>+</sup>	1128.03	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1562.2 1	0.69 2	1562.26	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
(1572.3)	0.0038 10	2705.21	(1) <sup>+</sup>	1133.77	0 <sup>+</sup>	I $\gamma$ : from I $\gamma$ (1572.3)/I $\gamma$ (2193.4)=0.038 5 (from 1982Ka10, $^{106}\text{Rh}$ decay (T $_{1/2}$ =30.07 s.).
1730.0 3	0.050 8	2242.53	2 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1766.0 1	0.10 1	2277.86	0 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1797.0 1	0.33 2	2308.86	2 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1909.5 2	0.048 6	1909.47	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
1927.5 2	0.034 4	2439.22	2 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
1988.6 6	0.012 4	2500.5	2 <sup>-</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
2113.8 6	0.018 8	2626.73	(2,3) <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
2193.4 1	0.10 2	2705.21	(1) <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
2242.7 2	0.032 4	2242.53	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
2309.3 2	0.028 4	2308.86	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	
2316.6 3	0.010 3	2828.5	0 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
2365.9 4	0.014 4	2877.8	0 <sup>+</sup>	511.85	2 <sup>+</sup>	
2438.6 4	0.019 5	2439.22	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 $^{106}\text{Ag } \varepsilon \text{ decay (23.96 min)}$     **[1976SaYX](#),[1967Ra11](#),[1974HeYW](#) (continued)** $\gamma(^{106}\text{Pd})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^\dagger @$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$
(2626.9)	0.034 4	2626.73	(2,3) <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>
2704.9 2		2705.21	(1) <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>

<sup>†</sup> From semi  $\gamma$ -singles analysis ([1976SaYX](#)), unless otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> From level energy differences.

<sup>#</sup> From [1974HeYW](#) (semi).

<sup>@</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.0249 21.

$^{106}\text{Ag } \varepsilon \text{ decay (23.96 min)} \quad 1976\text{SaYX,1967Ra11,1974HeYW}$ 

## Legend

## Decay Scheme

- $I_{\gamma} < 2\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} < 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- $I_{\gamma} > 10\% \times I_{\gamma}^{\max}$
- - - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Intensities:  $I_{\gamma}$  per 100 parent decays