

$^{106}\text{Rh} \beta^-$  decay (131 min)    1971Ta09, 1966De11

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. De Frenne and A. Negret		NDS 109, 943 (2008)	1-May-2007

Parent:  $^{106}\text{Rh}$ : E=137 13;  $J^\pi=(6)^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=131$  min 2;  $Q(\beta^-)=3541$  6; % $\beta^-$  decay=100.0

$^{106}\text{Rh}$ -E: Adopted Value from  $Q(\beta^-)$ - $Q(\beta^-)$ (g.s.).

**1971Ta09:**  $^{106}\text{Rh}$  activity from  $^{106}\text{Pd}(n,p)$  and  $^{109}\text{Ag}(n,\alpha)$ . Measured:  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $E\beta$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ . Deduced:  $^{106}\text{Pd}$  levels,  $J^\pi$ , log  $ft$  and  $Q(\beta^-)$ .

**1966De11:**  $^{106}\text{Rh}$  activity from  $^{106}\text{Pd}(d,2p)$  and  $^{108}\text{Pd}(d,\alpha)$ . Measured:  $E\beta$ ,  $I\beta$ ,  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $Ice$ ,  $\beta\gamma$ ,  $\alpha$ ,  $Q(\beta^-)$ . Deduced:  $^{106}\text{Pd}$  levels,  $J^\pi$ , log  $ft$ .

Others: [1955Ne03](#), [1958Ma39](#), [1960Se07](#), [1969An12](#).

$\gamma\gamma$  (see drawings) [1971Ta09](#) semi-scint spectra.

$Q(\beta^-)=3677$  10 deduced from  $E\beta=920$  10 to 2757 excitation; see [1966De11](#).

 $^{106}\text{Pd}$  Levels

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>						
0.0	$0^+$	1557.80 9	$3^+$	2085.07 13	$3^-$	2757.94 10	$5^+$
511.52 8	$2^+$	1932.69 12	$4^+$	2306.78 11	$4^-$	2953.18 12	$5^+$
1127.89 8	$2^+$	2076.79 23	$6^+$	2351.98 10	$4^+$		
1228.78 10	$4^+$	2077.18 16	$(4)^+$	2366.50 19	$(4)^+$		

<sup>†</sup> From a least squares fit to the given gamma energies.

<sup>‡</sup> From Adopted Levels, gammas.

 $\beta^-$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ <sup>†</sup>	Log $ft$	Comments
700 50	2953.18	14.5 18	5.61 6	av $E\beta=$ 240 4
				E(decay): 700 50 ( <a href="#">1966De11</a> ) s, 790 40 ( <a href="#">1960Se07</a> ) scin.
920 10	2757.94	85 10	5.21 6	av $E\beta=$ 318 4
				E(decay): 920 10 ( <a href="#">1966De11</a> ) s, 950 30 ( <a href="#">1960Se07</a> ) scin.
(1312 14)	2366.50	<4.4	>7.1	av $E\beta=$ 483 4
(1326 14)	2351.98	<3.7	>7.2	av $E\beta=$ 489 4
(1371 14)	2306.78	<3.4	>7.3	av $E\beta=$ 509 4
(1593 14)	2085.07	<1.2	>8.0	av $E\beta=$ 607 4
(1601 14)	2076.79	1.65 19	7.84 6	av $E\beta=$ 610 4
				$E(\beta^-)$ max=1700 50 ( <a href="#">1966De11</a> ) s, 1750 50 ( <a href="#">1971Ta09</a> ) scin, 1620 20 ( <a href="#">1960Se07</a> ) scin.
(1745 14)	1932.69	<2.9	>7.7	$I\beta^-$ : ≈0 from level intensity balance. av $E\beta=$ 675 4

<sup>†</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{106}\text{Pd})$ 

$I\gamma$  normalization: for  $I(\gamma+ce)=100$  to g.s.; IT decay unobserved.

$\Delta I\gamma$ : Only statistical uncertainty given. Evaluators added quadratically an uncertainty of 5% due to the systematic deviation of the gamma data compared to other similar experiments.

$\alpha(K)\exp=ce(K)/I\gamma$  normalized to  $\alpha(K)(511.8\gamma)=0.00484$  7 (E2 theory)  $I(ce(K))$  data are from [1966De11](#).

For gamma branching-ratio data, see also 8.46-d  $^{106}\text{Ag}$  decay.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 **$^{106}\text{Rh} \beta^-$  decay (131 min)    1971Ta09, 1966De11 (continued)**


---

 $\gamma(^{106}\text{Pd})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult.#	$\alpha^@$	Comments
195.1 4	0.7 1	2953.18	5 <sup>+</sup>	2757.94	5 <sup>+</sup>	M1(+E2)	0.061	
221.8 1	7.5 4	2306.78	4 <sup>-</sup>	2085.07	3 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.0444 1	$\alpha(K)=0.03809$ 18; $\alpha(L)=0.00462$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.00087$ ; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00015$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.034$ 5
228.6 3	2.4 16	2306.78	4 <sup>-</sup>	2077.18	(4) <sup>+</sup>	E1	0.015	
<sup>x</sup> 319.6 4	1.0 2							
328.3 4	1.4 2	1557.80	3 <sup>+</sup>	1228.78	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2(+M1)	0.022	$I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(374\gamma)/I_\gamma(804\gamma)=0.021$ 3 (1973In08, $^{106}\text{Ag}$ decay).
374.5	0.32 3	1932.69	4 <sup>+</sup>	1557.80	3 <sup>+</sup>			$\alpha(K)=0.01083$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.00143$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.00027$
390.8 4	4.1 3	2757.94	5 <sup>+</sup>	2366.50	(4) <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	0.0126	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.015$ 6
406.0 1	13.6 8	2757.94	5 <sup>+</sup>	2351.98	4 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	0.0110 1	$\alpha(K)=0.00950$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.00124$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.00023$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.010$ 5
419.2 4	0.7 2	2351.98	4 <sup>+</sup>	1932.69	4 <sup>+</sup>			
429.4 1	15.5 24	1557.80	3 <sup>+</sup>	1127.89	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	0.00930 1	$\alpha(K)=0.00813$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.00106$ ; $\alpha(M)=0.00020$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.009$ 5
(433.9)	0.2 CA	2366.50	(4) <sup>+</sup>	1932.69	4 <sup>+</sup>			
450.8 1	28.3 15	2757.94	5 <sup>+</sup>	2306.78	4 <sup>-</sup>	E1		$\alpha(K)=0.00216$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.00027$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.002$ 10
<sup>x</sup> 473.2 4	1.0 5							
511.7 1	100 5	511.52	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$\alpha(K)=0.00484$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.00061$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0049$ 11 (1966De11)
586.0 4	1.0 1	2953.18	5 <sup>+</sup>	2366.50	(4) <sup>+</sup>	M1,E2		
601.2 3	3.5 2	2953.18	5 <sup>+</sup>	2351.98	4 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		
616.1 1	23.6 16	1127.89	2 <sup>+</sup>	511.52	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		$\alpha(K)=0.00296$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.00035$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0029$ 5
645.8 2	3.2 2	2953.18	5 <sup>+</sup>	2306.78	4 <sup>-</sup>	E1		
680.6 3	2.2 1	2757.94	5 <sup>+</sup>	2077.18	(4) <sup>+</sup>	M1,E2		Transition to $J(\text{final})=6^+$ is inferred from $I(\gamma+ce)$ balance.
703.1 2	5.2 5	1932.69	4 <sup>+</sup>	1228.78	4 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		
717.2 1	33.8 18	1228.78	4 <sup>+</sup>	511.52	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$\alpha(K)=0.00195$ ; $\alpha(L)=0.00024$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0015$ 6
748.5 1	22.6 12	2306.78	4 <sup>-</sup>	1557.80	3 <sup>+</sup>	E1		
793.8 2	6.6 11	2351.98	4 <sup>+</sup>	1557.80	3 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		
804.6 2	15.2 13	1932.69	4 <sup>+</sup>	1127.89	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		
808.4 2	8.7 5	2366.50	(4) <sup>+</sup>	1557.80	3 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		
825.0 1	15.9 9	2757.94	5 <sup>+</sup>	1932.69	4 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		
848.0 <sup>a</sup> 2	1.9 <sup>a</sup> CA	2076.79	6 <sup>+</sup>	1228.78	4 <sup>+</sup>			Doublet $I_\gamma(848\gamma)=4.2$ 5 minus $I_\gamma=2.3$ component via $4^+$ , 2077-keV state.
848.0 <sup>a</sup> 2	2.3 <sup>a</sup> CA	2077.18	(4) <sup>+</sup>	1228.78	4 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(1565\gamma)/I_\gamma(848\gamma)=0.31$ (1973In08, $^{106}\text{Ag}$ decay).
(956.2)	0.56 CA	2085.07	3 <sup>-</sup>	1127.89	2 <sup>+</sup>			
1020.5 3	2.3 2	2953.18	5 <sup>+</sup>	1932.69	4 <sup>+</sup>	M1,E2		
1046.7 1	35.5 18	1557.80	3 <sup>+</sup>	511.52	2 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		$\alpha(K)=0.00080$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00068$ 16
(1122)	0.8 CA	2351.98	4 <sup>+</sup>	1228.78	4 <sup>+</sup>			$I_\gamma$ : from $I_\gamma(1122\gamma)/I_\gamma(1223\gamma)=0.081$ 9 (1973In08, $^{106}\text{Ag}$ decay).
1127.7 1	16 1	1127.89	2 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	0 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$\alpha(K)=0.0008$ $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00053$ 16
(1136.8)	0.5 CA	2366.50	(4) <sup>+</sup>	1228.78	4 <sup>+</sup>			$\alpha(K)=0.00059$
(1200.5 1)	13.3 7	2757.94	5 <sup>+</sup>	1557.80	3 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00069$ 22

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

 **$^{106}\text{Rh} \beta^-$  decay (131 min)    1971Ta09,1966De11 (continued)**


---

 $\gamma(^{106}\text{Pd})$  (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\dagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>#</sup>	$\alpha^{\text{@}}$	Comments
1224.2 1	9.5 8	2351.98	4 <sup>+</sup>	1127.89	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		$\alpha(K)=0.00057$
1395.5 1	3.3 4	2953.18	5 <sup>+</sup>	1557.80	3 <sup>+</sup>	[E2]		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00061$ 16
1529.4 1	20.5 18	2757.94	5 <sup>+</sup>	1228.78	4 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2		$\alpha(K)=0.00043$
1565.4 3	0.7 5	2077.18	(4) <sup>+</sup>	511.52	2 <sup>+</sup>			$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0007$ 3
1573.9 2	7.8 6	2085.07	3 <sup>-</sup>	511.52	2 <sup>+</sup>	E1		$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00037$ 13
1724.6 2	2.6 5	2953.18	5 <sup>+</sup>	1228.78	4 <sup>+</sup>	M1+E2	0.00026	
1840.6 2	2.2 4	2351.98	4 <sup>+</sup>	511.52	2 <sup>+</sup>	E2		

<sup>†</sup> From semi  $\gamma$ -singles analysis (1971Ta09), unless otherwise noted. Where comparison possible,  $E\gamma$  systematically 0.7-1.5 keV higher than in  $(\alpha,2n\gamma)$ ,  $^{106}\text{Ag}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (8.46 d), and  $(n,n'\gamma)$  data.

<sup>‡</sup> Only statistical uncertainty given. Evaluators added quadratically an uncertainty of 5% due to the systematic deviation of the gamma data compared to other similar experiments.

<sup>#</sup> Deduced from  $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$  including 8.46-d  $^{106}\text{Ag}$  decay data.

<sup>@</sup> Calculated for  $\delta$  from adopted gammas.

<sup>&</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.855 7.

<sup>a</sup> Multiply placed with intensity suitably divided.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

