$^{106} {\rm In} \; \varepsilon \; {\rm decay} \; ({\rm 6.2 \; min})$

History									
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date						
Full Evaluation	D. De Frenne and A. Negret	NDS 109, 943 (2008)	1-May-2007						

Parent: ¹⁰⁶In: E=0.0; J^{π}=7⁺; T_{1/2}=6.2 min *1*; Q(ε)=6526 *11*; % ε +% β ⁺ decay=100.0

Experimental results are considered as incomplete by the evaluators as the beta decay energy is very high (6526 keV 11) A number of inconsistencies in the ε feeding of some levels are observed. See also decay of other ¹⁰⁶In isomer.

1992Ku01: source: ¹⁰⁶Cd(p,n) E not given. Measured: $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, ce(K) deduced: ¹⁰⁶Cd levels, J^{π} , α (K)exp, mult.

1978Hu06: source: ¹⁰⁶Cd(p,n) E=11,15 MeV. Measured: γ singles, $\gamma\gamma$ and $\beta\gamma\beta$ singles. Deduced: ¹⁰⁶Cd levels, J, π , log *ft*.

1984Ro10: source ¹⁰⁶In from Sn(p,2pxn), on-line mass separation. Measured E γ , I γ , Ice, $\gamma\gamma$ and γ (ce). Deduced: log *ft*, ¹⁰⁶Cd

```
levels, J, \pi, \alpha, mult.
Others: 1972Me02, 1976Fl14, 1980Wi20.
```

 $Q(\varepsilon)$ =6531 16 keV calculated from 1984Fi05.

¹⁰⁶Cd Levels

E(level)	J ^π &	E(level)	J ^{π &}	E(level)	J ^π &	E(level)	J ^π &
0.0^{\dagger}	0^{+}	2485.58 [#] 17	4+	3084.03 23	7+	3641.93 17	(8 ⁺)
632.60 [†] 10	2+	2491.72 [†] <i>14</i>	6+	3126.03 18	7+	3787.33 [‡] 20	
1493.71 [†] <i>13</i>	4+	2502.92 15	6+	3283.93? [‡] 20	+	4243.51? [‡] 20	+
2104.52 13	4+	2629.02 19	5-	3357.7? [‡] <i>3</i>		4282.59? [#] 20	
2305.15 21	4+	2920.22 [‡] 24	5	3366.97 [‡] 20	8+	4398.6? ^{‡@} 3	
2330.26 16	5+	2924.67 20	6+	3472.71 [‡] <i>18</i>		5130.67 [‡] <i>19</i>	
2468.3 5	4+	3043.91 17	8+	3547.44 [‡] 18	+		

[†] Band(A): $\Delta J=2$ g.s. band.

[‡] Observed only by 1984Ro10.

[#] Observed only by 1980Wi20.

[@] Only one deexciting γ observed by 1984Ro10.

[&] From Adopted Levels.

ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$\mathrm{I}\beta^+$ [†]	$\mathrm{I}arepsilon^\dagger$	Log ft	$\mathrm{I}(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger}$	Comments
(2243 11)	4282.59?	1.89 20	0.00111 12	4.88 5	1.89 20	av E β =544.1 49; ε K=0.000590 18
(2884 11)	3641.93	2.7 7		5.53 12	2.7 7	av E β =832.3 50
(3400 11)	3126.03	2.80 23		5.99 4	2.80 23	av $E\beta = 1069.0 51$
(3442 11)	3084.03	6.2 4		5.68 <i>3</i>	6.2 4	av Eβ=1088.4 51
(3482 11)	3043.91	39.8 11	0.00256 8	4.901 17	39.8 11	av E β =1106.9 51; ε K=6.42×10 ⁻⁵ 9
(3601 11)	2924.67	3.5 4		6.05 5	3.5 4	av $E\beta = 1162.2 51$
(3897 11)	2629.02	2.50 23		7.75^{1u} 5	2.50 23	av $E\beta = 1309.5 51$
(4023 11)	2502.92	8.3 14		5.97 8	8.3 14	av $E\beta = 1358.952$
						$E(\beta^{+})=2.59 \text{ MeV } 20 \text{ (1978Hu06) } \beta(1009\gamma).$
(4034 11)	2491.72	10.6 20		5.87 9	10.6 20	av Eβ=1364.1 52
						$E(\beta^+)=2.56 \text{ MeV } 20 \text{ (1978Hu06) } \beta(998\gamma).$ Other:
						2.7 MeV 1 (1966Ca09) β (860 γ ,990 γ).
(4040 11)	2485.58	< 0.5		>7.2	< 0.5	av E β =1367.0 52
						Direct feeding of this level in ε decay highly
						improbable because $J^{\pi}(^{106}\text{In})=7^+$. Probably an
						important fraction of the ε decay to higher lying
						levels is missed.
(4196 [‡] <i>11</i>)	2330.26	7.0 5		6.15 4	7.0 5	av E β =1439.9 52

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{106} {\rm In} \ \varepsilon$ decay (6.2 min) (continued)

ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+$ [†]	Log ft	$\mathrm{I}(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger}$	Comments			
(4221 11)	2305.15	0.87 14	7.07 7	0.87 14	If for ¹⁰⁶ In g.s. $J^{\pi}=7^+$, then β^- transition to 2330-keV level is second forbidden and log $ft=6.21$ is too small. However, because several of the transitions feeding this level have no intensity given they easily could account for the feeding of the 2330-keV level. Also a number of γ 's deexciting unobserved levels fed in ε decay could have been missed. av E β =1451.7 52 Very improbable that this level is directly fed in ε decay because			
(4421 11)	2104 52	117	712	117	$J^{\pi}(^{106}\text{In})=7^+.$			
(4421* 11)	2104.52	1.1 /	7.1 3	1.1 /	We $\beta = 1546.1.52$ Very improbable that this level is directly fed in ε decay because $J^{\pi}(^{106}\text{In})=7^+$.			
(5032 [‡] 11)	1493.71	10 6	6.5 3	10 6	av E β =1835.5 53 Very improbable that this level is directly fed in ε decay because $J^{\pi}(^{106}\text{In})=7^+$.			
(5893 11)	632.60	<7	>7.0	<7	av E β =2247.1 53			

[†] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.
[‡] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$\gamma(^{106}\text{Cd})$

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult. [#]	α &	Comments
$161.0^{\ddagger}2$	0.5^{\ddagger} 1	2491 72	6+	2330.26	5+			
186.6.2	0.6.1	2491.72	6+	2305.15	4^{+}			
225.7 2	6.9.3	2330.26	5+	2104.52	4+	M1+E2	0.051 7	α (K)exp=0.055 6 (1992Ku01)
								I_{γ} : weighted average of 7.0 <i>4</i> (1978Hu06) and 6.7 <i>5</i> (1976Fl14).
282.7 2		3366.97	8+	3084.03	7+			
^x 308.9 2								
^x 314.6 2								
^x 390.7 2								
^x 395.5 2								
421.3 2		3547.44	+	3126.03	7+	E2	0.015	
433.1 [‡] 2	2.3 [‡] 3	2924.67	6+	2491.72	6+	E2	0.0093	
x438.6 2								
524.6 [‡] 2	1.9 [‡] 2	2629.02	5-	2104.52	4+	E1	0.0018	
541.0 3	12.5 5	3043.91	8+	2502.92	6+			I_{γ} : weighted average of 12.7 7 (1978Hu06) and 12.4 6 (1976F114)
552.4 2	25.2 9	3043.91	8+	2491.72	6+			I_{γ} : weighted average of 25.8 <i>13</i> (1978Hu06) and 24.7 <i>13</i> (1976F114).
558.6 [‡] 2	$2.2^{\ddagger} 2$	3043.91	8+	2485.58	4+			
580.7 5	0.7 1	3084.03	7+	2502.92	6+			
592.1 4	3.0 <i>3</i>	3084.03	7+	2491.72	6+			
601.4 2		4243.51?	+	3641.93	(8^{+})	M1	0.0043	
610.7 2	3.6 <i>3</i>	2104.52	4+	1493.71	4+	E2	0.0035	I_{γ} : from 1976F114.
623.2 [‡] 2	1.8 [‡] 2	3126.03	7^{+}	2502.92	6+	M1+E2	0.0039	
632.6 1	100	632.60	2^{+}	0.0	0^{+}	E2	0.0030	
634 1 2	1071	3126.03	7+	2491 72	6+			
x636.2 2	1.0 1	2120.00		2.71.72	Č			

$^{106} {\rm In} \ \varepsilon$ decay (6.2 min) (continued)

$\gamma(^{106}\text{Cd})$ (continued)

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_{f}	\mathbf{J}_{f}^{π}	Mult. [#]	α &	Comments
x690.9 2 753.3 4 780.7 2 792.5 2 x802.1 2	2.5 2	3084.03 3283.93? 3283.93?	7+ + +	2330.26 2502.92 2491.72	5+ 6+ 6+	E2	0.0016	
x808.3 2 811.1 4	1.28 9	2305.15	4+	1493.71	4+	M1,E2		I_{γ} : weighted average of 1.2 2 (1978Hu06) and 1.3 <i>l</i> (1976E114)
820.3 ^{<i>a</i>} 2 836.7 4 861.1 <i>I</i> 875.2 2 887.1 2 974.6 ^{<i>a</i>} 4 980.8 2 992.1 [‡] 2 997.8 <i>I</i> 1009.3 <i>I</i>	2.8 2 90 5 1.6 <i>I</i> 1.50 [‡] <i>I</i> 5 41.5 <i>I</i> 7 27.5 <i>I</i> 0	2924.67 2330.26 1493.71 3366.97 5130.67 2468.3 3472.71 2485.58 2491.72 2502.92	$ \begin{array}{c} 6^+ \\ 5^+ \\ 4^+ \\ 8^+ \\ 4^+ \\ 6^+ \\ 6^+ \end{array} $	2104.52 1493.71 632.60 2491.72 4243.51? 1493.71 2491.72 1493.71 1493.71 1493.71	$ \begin{array}{r} 4^+ \\ 4^+ \\ 2^+ \\ 6^+ \\ + \\ 4^+ \\ 4^+ \\ 4^+ \\ 4^+ \\ 4^+ \end{array} $	M1,E2 E2 E2	0.0014 0.0015	I.3 T (1970) 114). E_{γ} : observed only by 1984Ro10. α (K)exp=0.0026 4 (1992Ku01) I_{γ} : from 1976F114. Observed only by 1978Hu06. E_{γ} : different placement given by 1978Hu06. I_{\gamma}: weighted average of 48 3 (1978Hu06) and 38.6 20 (1976F114). I_{\gamma}: weighted average of 30.4 15 (1978Hu06) and
1027.4 2 1031.6 2 ^x 1063.7 2 ^x 1076.9 2		3357.7? 4398.6?		2330.26 3366.97	5+ 8+			25.3 <i>13</i> (1976FI14).
1135.2 2	0.6 l	2629.02	5-	1493.71	4 ⁺		0.0000	E_{γ} , I_{γ} : from 1980Wi20.
1139.0 [‡] 2	2.7 [‡] 7	3641.93	(8+)	2502.92	6+	(M1)	0.0008	E_{γ}, I_{γ} : from 1978Hu06. Mult.: From level scheme Mult=Q.
1142.7 2 x1145 1	3.9 10	3472.71		2330.26	5+			
1149.4 2 x1173.7 2 1199.7 2 1217.5 2		3641.93 4243.51? 3547.44	(8 ⁺) + +	2491.72 3043.91 2330.26	6 ⁺ 8 ⁺ 5 ⁺			
x1243.3 2 1284.6 2 1295.4 2 x1298.8 2 x1272.6 2		3787.33 3787.33		2502.92 2491.72	6+ 6+			
1426.5 2		2920.22	5	1493.71	4+			
1430.8 [‡] 2 1442.7 2 1471.9 <i>1</i>	1.2 [‡] 2 6.6 5	2924.67 3547.44 2104.52	6+ + 4+	1493.71 2104.52 632.60	4+ 4+ 2+			I_{γ} : weighted average of 3.0 <i>12</i> (1978Hu06) and
1488.7 2 *1505.9 2 *1518.6 2 *1524.9 2 *1550.5 2 *1622.1 2 *1622.2 2		5130.67		3641.93	(8+)			1.2 3 (1970F114).
1672.6 <i>3</i> <i>x</i> 1757.1 <i>2</i> 1763 <i>4 2</i>	0.19 3	2305.15	4+	632.60	2 ⁺	E2		
1705.4 <i>2</i> 1780 1 [‡] 2	1.5 [‡] 2	4282 597		2502.97	о 6 ⁺			
$1790.4^{\ddagger} 2$	$0.40^{\ddagger} 4$	4282.59?		2491.72	6+			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

¹⁰⁶In ε decay (6.2 min) (continued)

$\gamma(^{106}Cd)$ (continued)

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E _i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	E_f	\mathbf{J}_{f}^{π}	E_{γ}^{\dagger}	E_i (level)
1853.0 [‡] 2	0.9^{\ddagger} 1	2485.58	4+	632.60	2+	x2225.7 2	
^x 1896.4 2						x2390.3 2	
1978.9 2		3472.71		1493.71	4+	x2414.1 2	
^x 2005.3 2						x2449.0 2	
^x 2046.2 2						x2494.3 2	
2087.1 2		5130.67		3043.91	8^{+}	^x 2551.4 2	
2148.8 2		3641.93	(8+)	1493.71	4+	x2586.2 2	

[†] E γ with I γ values are from 1978Hu06, unless otherwise noted. E γ values for transitions with no I γ are from 1984Ro10. For I γ see 1984Ro10, they are not given for 106 In(5.2 min) and 106 In(6.2 min) ε decay separately. Unassigned γ 's of 1984Ro10 given in both decays.

[‡] Taken from 1980Wi20. No $\Delta I\gamma$ given by the authors. Estimated by the evaluators to be 10%.

[#] From 1984Ro10; based on conversion electron data. [@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.997.

[&] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 $x \gamma$ ray not placed in level scheme.

¹⁰⁶In ε decay (6.2 min)





5

106 In ε decay (6.2 min)



$\frac{106}{10} In \varepsilon decay (6.2 min)$





