

$^{104}\text{Mo} \beta^-$ decay 1981TiZZ

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 108,2035 (2007)	30-Mar-2007

Parent: ^{104}Mo : E=0.0; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=60$ s 2; $Q(\beta^-)=2157$ 28; % β^- decay=100.0Activity $^{235}\text{U}(n,\text{F})$ from LOHENGRIN on-line isotope separation, chem.Measured: γ , $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (1981TiZZ), $\gamma(t)$ (1976KaYO), $\beta\gamma$ (1987Gr18).

The preliminary level scheme is as given by 1981TiZZ was confirmed by 1987Gr18.

 ^{104}Tc Levels

E(level)	J^π [†]	$T_{1/2}$	Comments
0	(3 ⁺)	18.3 min 3	
69.7 2	(⁺)	3.5 μs 3	$T_{1/2}$: from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ (1981TiZZ), other: 5 μs 2 (1999Ge01).
106.1 3	(⁺)	0.40 μs 2	$T_{1/2}$: from 1999Ge01.
119.7 3	(⁺)		
174.8 3	1 ⁺		
220.8 3	(0 ^{+,1^+,2^+})		
265.8 3			
306.9 3			
363.9 3			
399.1 4			
442.6 3			
613.9 3	1 ⁺		
642.0 3	1 ⁺		
710.6 3			
728.8 4	1 ⁺		
765.1 3			
778.9 3			
908.5 3			
989.4 3			
1017.5 3	1 ⁺		

† From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-$ [†]	Log ft	Comments
(1.14×10 ³ 3)	1017.5	1.0 3	5.47 11	av $E\beta=$ 429.15 13
(1.17×10 ³ 3)	989.4	0.70 25	5.95	av $E\beta=$ 441.20 13
(1.25×10 ³ 3)	908.5	0.70 25	5.95	av $E\beta=$ 476.14 13
(1.38×10 ³ 3)	778.9	0.39 10	6.19 7	av $E\beta=$ 532.79 13
(1.39×10 ³ 3)	765.1	0.30 12	6.33 16	av $E\beta=$ 538.91 13
(1.43×10 ³ 3)	728.8	3.0 6	5.43 11	av $E\beta=$ 554.80 13
(1.45×10 ³ 3)	710.6	0.28 9	6.41 8	av $E\beta=$ 562.94 13
(1.52×10 ³ 3)	642.0	5.9 14	5.12 8	av $E\beta=$ 593.41 13
(1.54×10 ³ 3)	613.9	1.3 4	5.82 8	E(decay): $E\beta=$ 1940 40 (1987Gr18).
(1.76×10 ³ 3)	399.1	0.52 14	6.43 8	av $E\beta=$ 605.94 13
(1.79×10 ³ 3)	363.9	0.9 3	6.29 6	E(decay): $E\beta=$ 1530 40 (1987Gr18).
(1.85×10 ³ 3)	306.9	1.0 3	6.22 20	av $E\beta=$ 702.57 14
(1.94×10 ³ 3)	220.8	22 6		E(decay): $E\beta=$ 718.44 14
				E(decay): $E\beta=$ 1695 80 (1987Gr18).
				av $E\beta=$ 744.45 14
				av $E\beta=$ 783.45 14

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{104}\text{Mo} \beta^-$ decay 1981TIZZ (continued) **β^- radiations (continued)**

E(decay) (1.98×10^3)	E(level)	I β^- [†]	Log ft	Comments			
	174.8	86 21	4.35 10	av E β = 804.81 14 E(decay): E β =1955 50 (1987Gr18).			

[†] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.89.

 $\gamma(^{104}\text{Tc})$

I γ normalization: $\Sigma I(\gamma + ce)$ to g.s.=100, assuming no I β to g.s. ($\Delta J > 3$).

E γ	I γ [#]	E i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. [†]	α [@]	Comments
36.3 2	14 2	106.1	(+)	69.7	(+)	[M1]	5.22	$\alpha(K) = 4.54; \alpha(L) = 0.549; \alpha(M) = 0.0997$ $B(M1)(W.u.) = 0.000185 11$
46.0 2	8 1	220.8	(0 ⁺ , 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺)	174.8 1 ⁺		[M1]	2.60	$\alpha(K) = 2.261; \alpha(L) = 0.274; \alpha(M) = 0.0497$
50.0 2	3.9 4	119.7	(+)	69.7 (+)		[M1]	2.03	$\alpha(K) = 1.77; \alpha(L) = 0.22; \alpha(M) = 0.04; \alpha(N..) = 0.008$
55.0 2	8.6 9	174.8	1 ⁺	119.7 (+)		[M1]	1.54 3	$\alpha(K) = 1.346; \alpha(L) = 0.1626; \alpha(M) = 0.0295; \alpha(N..) = 0.00570$
68.8 2	55 6	174.8	1 ⁺	106.1 (+)		[M1]	0.811	$\alpha(K) = 0.707; \alpha(L) = 0.0851; \alpha(M) = 0.01542; \alpha(N..) = 0.00298$
69.7 2	17.8 20	69.7	(+)	0 (3 ⁺)		E2	4.62	$\alpha(K) = 3.44; \alpha(L) = 0.974; \alpha(M) = 0.1801; \alpha(N..) = 0.0303$ $B(E2)(W.u.) = 0.60 6$
								Mult.: from comparison with Tc K x rays in $\gamma\gamma(t)$.
86.0 2	≈ 2	306.9		220.8 (0 ⁺ , 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺)				
87.0 2	>3	728.8	1 ⁺	642.0 1 ⁺				
91.0 2	4.9 5	265.8		174.8 1 ⁺				
92.0 2	0.09 2	399.1		306.9				
98.0 2	<0.1	363.9		265.8				
101.0 2	0.26 6	220.8	(0 ⁺ , 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺)	119.7 (+)				
105.2 2	0.88 20	174.8	1 ⁺	69.7 (+)		[M1]	0.2440	$\alpha(K) = 0.2130; \alpha(L) = 0.0254; \alpha(M) = 0.00461; \alpha(N..) = 0.00089$
114.6 2	0.07 2	220.8	(0 ⁺ , 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺)	106.1 (+)				
115.0 & 2	1 2	728.8	1 ⁺	613.9 1 ⁺				
132.0 8	<0.06	399.1		265.8				
151.0 2	0.73 25	220.8	(0 ⁺ , 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺)	69.7 (+)				
159.5 2	0.44 12	265.8		106.1 (+)				
178.3 6	0.37 8	399.1		220.8 (0 ⁺ , 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺)				
189.3 2	0.75 20	363.9		174.8 1 ⁺				
196.1 2	0.37 8	265.8		69.7 (+)				
199.1 2	0.22 5	642.0	1 ⁺	442.6				
221.7 2	0.3 1	442.6		220.8 (0 ⁺ , 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺)				
335.0 2	0.6 2	642.0	1 ⁺	306.9				
376.0 2	4.7 5	642.0	1 ⁺	265.8				
393.1 2	1.3 2	613.9	1 ⁺	220.8 (0 ⁺ , 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺)				
421.0 2	2.6 3	642.0	1 ⁺	220.8 (0 ⁺ , 1 ⁺ , 2 ⁺)				
444.8 2	0.28 6	710.6		265.8				
467.2 2	0.7 2	642.0	1 ⁺	174.8 1 ⁺				
535.0 8	<0.1	642.0	1 ⁺	106.1 (+)				
604.1 2	0.39 5	778.9		174.8 1 ⁺				

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{104}\text{Mo} \beta^-$ decay 1981TIZZ (continued) **$\gamma(^{104}\text{Tc})$ (continued)**

E_γ	$I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
659.0 2	0.3 1	765.1		106.1	(⁺)
710.4 2	0.33	1017.5	1 ⁺	306.9	
733.7 2	0.7 2	908.5		174.8	1 ⁺
768.6 2	0.7 2	989.4		220.8	(0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)
796.7 2	0.7 2	1017.5	1 ⁺	220.8	(0 ⁺ ,1 ⁺ ,2 ⁺)

[†] The authors assigned M1 to those γ 's which had half-lives < 1 μs .

[‡] Uncertainty estimated by evaluator as being 10% when $I_\gamma > 1$ and 20% for others.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 1.0 2.

[@] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

[&] Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{104}\text{Mo } \beta^- \text{ decay} \quad 1981\text{TiZZ}$ 