

^{104}Rh ε decay (42.3 s)

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 108,2035 (2007)	30-Mar-2007

Parent: ^{104}Rh : E=0.0; $J^\pi=1^+$; $T_{1/2}=42.3$ s 4; $Q(\varepsilon)=1139$ 4; % ε +% β^+ decay=0.45 10

Activity from $^{103}\text{Rh}(\text{d},\text{p})$, $^{103}\text{Rh}(\text{n},\gamma)$.

Measured γ ([1971Do10](#), [1970Ok03](#)), $\gamma\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ([1972Ok01](#), [1972Si08](#)) Ge(Li), NaI detectors. Others: [1967Fe03](#), [1959Gi63](#), [1955Jo25](#), [1953Jo09](#).

See also ^{104}Rh β^- decay.

$I\beta^+ < 0.0005\%$ ([1961La16](#)).

$\varepsilon/\beta^- = 0.0045$ 10 from K x ray/ $I\beta$ ([1965Fr05](#)).

 ^{104}Ru Levels

E(level)	$J^\pi \dagger$	$T_{1/2} \ddagger$
0.0	0^+	stable
358.12 15	2^+	
987.7 5	0^+	

\dagger From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^+ \dagger$	$I\varepsilon \dagger$	Log ft	Comments
(151 \ddagger 4)	987.7		0.0010 4	5.15 18	$\varepsilon K = 0.8370$; $\varepsilon L = 0.1312$; $\varepsilon M+ = 0.0318$
(781 4)	358.12		0.016 3	5.42 6	$\varepsilon K = 0.9$; $\varepsilon L = 0.11000$; $\varepsilon M+ = 0.02600$
(1139 4)	0.0	2.711×10^{-5}	0.43 10	4.32 11	av $E\beta = 63$ 4; $\varepsilon K = 0.9$; $\varepsilon L = 0.10855$; $\varepsilon M+ = 0.02560$

\dagger Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

\ddagger Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{104}\text{Ru})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: from $I(\gamma + ce) + I\varepsilon$ branching to g.s.=0.45% 10.

$E_\gamma \dagger$	$I_\gamma \ddagger \ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.
358.12 15	0.81 6	358.12	2^+	0.0	0^+	E2
629.6 $\#$ 4	0.05 2	987.7	0^+	358.12	2^+	

\dagger From [1972Si08](#).

\ddagger For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.020 5.

$\#$ Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{104}\text{Rh } \varepsilon$ decay (42.3 s)