

$^{104}\text{Rh } \beta^- \text{ decay (4.34 min)}$

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 108,2035 (2007)	30-Mar-2007

Parent: ^{104}Rh : $E=128.9679$ 5; $J^\pi=5^+$; $T_{1/2}=4.34$ min 3; $Q(\beta^-)=2440$ 5; $\% \beta^- \text{ decay}=0.13$ 1

See also ^{104}Rh IT decay.

From [1971Do10](#), [1972Ok01](#), [1972Si08](#), [1974Gi11](#). Others: [1967Fe03](#), [1963Wi10](#).

$\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence measurements by [1972Si08](#) are summarized on the decay scheme. Others: [1963Wi10](#), [1955Bu33](#).

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$: [1972Ok01](#), [1972Si08](#).

The total β branching as estimated from the equilibrium source intensities reported by [1972Si08](#) and [1974Gi11](#) and the adopted decay scheme is $I\beta=0.13\%$. This gives $I\beta(1323 \text{ level})=0.062\%$, in good agreement with $\approx 0.07\%$ reported by [1960Bu05](#).

 $^{104}\text{Pd Levels}$

E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$	E(level)	$J^\pi^\dagger$
0.0	0^+	1341.68 5	2^+	1941.6? 5		2265.31 7	4^+
555.81 4	2^+	1792.86 6	0^+	2082.38 6	4^+	2444.5? 3	$4^+, 5^+, 6^+$
1323.59 6	4^+	1793.4 2	2^+	2125.5?			
1333.2	0^+	1820.65 16	3^+	2181.58 6	4^+		

† From Adopted Levels.

 β^- radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	$I\beta^-^\dagger$	Log ft	Comments
310 8	2265.31	0.013 2	5.8 1	av $E\beta=$ 90 3
393 8	2181.58	0.018 2	6.2 1	av $E\beta=$ 118 3
493 8	2082.38	0.040 3	6.1 1	av $E\beta=$ 152 3
1251 8	1323.59	0.062 10	7.3 1	av $E\beta=$ 456 4

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

 $\gamma(^{104}\text{Pd})$

$I\gamma$ normalization: from $\Sigma I(\gamma+\text{ce})$ to g.s.=0.13 1. No $I\beta$ to g.s.

$E_\gamma^\ddagger$	$I_\gamma^\ddagger$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
332.6# 2	0.11	2125.5?		1793.4	2^+	
443.5@ 5	0.07 3	2265.31	4^+	1820.65	3^+	
451.5# 2	0.29 3	1793.4	2^+	1341.68	2^+	
460.5# 4	0.08	1793.4	2^+	1333.2	0^+	
479.4a 5	0.05 3	1820.65	3^+	1341.68	2^+	
488.5# 3	0.11	1820.65	3^+	1333.2	0^+	
497.8# 8	0.09	1820.65	3^+	1323.59	4^+	
555.81 4	100	555.81	2^+	0.0	0^+	
618.0@ 5	0.03 3	1941.6?		1323.59	4^+	
623.2@ 5	0.06 3	2444.5?	$4^+, 5^+, 6^+$	1820.65	3^+	
740.69 5	0.66 4	2082.38	4^+	1341.68	2^+	
758.78 6	0.72 4	2082.38	4^+	1323.59	4^+	$\delta=0.05$ 13 or 0.77 11, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ 1972Ok01 .

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{104}\text{Rh} \beta^-$ decay (4.34 min) (continued) $\gamma(^{104}\text{Pd})$ (continued)

$E_\gamma^{\ddagger}$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Comments
767.78 [#] 5	5.0 2	1323.59	4 ⁺	555.81	2 ⁺	
777.2 [#] 2	0.29 3	1333.2	0 ⁺	555.81	2 ⁺	
785.88 [#] 5	0.66 4	1341.68	2 ⁺	555.81	2 ⁺	$\delta > 10$ or < -12 , $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ 1972Si08. Other: 1972Ok01.
839.90 10	0.050 15	2181.58	4 ⁺	1341.68	2 ⁺	
858.00 6	0.55 4	2181.58	4 ⁺	1323.59	4 ⁺	$\delta = -0.28$ 11 or 1.7 4, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ 1972Ok01.
923.62 10	0.14 2	2265.31	4 ⁺	1341.68	2 ⁺	
941.72 6	0.52 3	2265.31	4 ⁺	1323.59	4 ⁺	$\delta = -0.41$ 14, $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ 1972Ok01.
1237.05 5	3.2 3	1792.86	0 ⁺	555.81	2 ⁺	
1238.0	≈ 0.5	1793.4	2 ⁺	555.81	2 ⁺	I_γ : deduced from 33.5-min ^{104}Ag decay.
1264.85 15	0.03 1	1820.65	3 ⁺	555.81	2 ⁺	
1341.67 7	0.50 3	1341.68	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	
1526.60 6	0.61 4	2082.38	4 ⁺	555.81	2 ⁺	
1625.76 7	0.30 2	2181.58	4 ⁺	555.81	2 ⁺	
1708.0 [@] 5	0.005 5	2265.31	4 ⁺	555.81	2 ⁺	
1793.2 [#] 2	0.05 1	1793.4	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	

[†] Relative photon intensity from 4.34 min+42.3 s ^{104}Rh equilibrium sources.

[‡] From 1972Si08.

[#] From 1974Gi11.

[@] From 1971Do10.

[&] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.00130 17.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{104}\text{Rh} \beta^-$ decay (4.34 min)

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - - - γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

