

^{104}Cd ε decay **1970Mu17**

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Jean Blachot	NDS 108,2035 (2007)	30-Mar-2007

Parent: ^{104}Cd : $E=0.0$; $J^\pi=0^+$; $T_{1/2}=57.7$ min 10; $Q(\varepsilon)=1137$ 11; $\% \varepsilon + \% \beta^+$ decay=100.0

Activity: Sn(p,3pxn), on-line isotope separation (ISOLDE) (1970Mu17).

Measured: semi ce, γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidence. Others: 1955Jo25, 1971Do07.

The level energy of the first-excited state was not known to 1970Mu17, so their energies have been changed using the energy from 1979De44 for the first-excited state.

 ^{104}Ag Levels

E(level)	J^π †	$T_{1/2}$ †
0	5^+	69.2 min
6.90 22	2^+	
90.6 4	1^+	
130.7 4	$(3)^+$	
157.4 4	$(2)^+$	
716.5 5	1^+	

† From Adopted Levels.

 ε, β^+ radiations

$\varepsilon/\beta^+=95$ 30 from $\gamma\gamma$ -coincidences (1970Mu17). This indicates $Q_+=1580$ 60 for ^{104}Cd .

E(decay)	E(level)	I_ε †	Log f_t	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ †	Comments
(421 11)	716.5	≈ 28.5	≈ 3.6	≈ 28.5	$\varepsilon K=0.8528$; $\varepsilon L=0.1177$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.02947$ 9
(980‡ 11)	157.4	≤ 1.0	≥ 5.8	≤ 1.0	$\varepsilon K=0.8606$; $\varepsilon L=0.1117$; $\varepsilon M+=0.02772$
(1046 11)	90.6	71.75	≈ 4.0	71.75	$\varepsilon K=0.8610$; $\varepsilon L=0.1114$; $\varepsilon M+=0.02764$

† Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

‡ Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{104}\text{Ag})$

I_γ normalization: assuming no ε feeding to g.s., $I(\gamma+ce)$ to g.s.=100.

1.0% 3 of 83.5 γ are in coincidence with γ^\pm (1970Mu17).

The 26 γ is proposed on the basis of 123 γ -559 γ coincidences.

See 1955Jo25 for additional conversion-electron energies.

E_γ	I_γ †	E_i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\ddagger	Comments
6.9		6.90	2^+	0	5^+			
26.6#		157.4	$(2)^+$	130.7	$(3)^+$			
66.6 2	5.1 4	157.4	$(2)^+$	90.6	1^+	M1	1.40	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=1.20$ 5; $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.145$ 10; $M+=0.051$ 16
83.5 2	100	90.6	1^+	6.90	2^+	M1	0.68	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.58$ 4; $\alpha(L)\text{exp}=0.076$ 10; $M+=0.023$ 11
123.7# 2	0.75 8	130.7	$(3)^+$	6.90	2^+	M1	0.24	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.21$ 3; $L+=0.033$ 7
150.2 2	0.24 3	157.4	$(2)^+$	6.90	2^+	M1	0.12	$\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.10$ 3; $L+=0.02$
559.0 2	13.5 10	716.5	1^+	157.4	$(2)^+$			

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{104}Cd ε decay **1970Mu17** (continued) $\gamma(^{104}\text{Ag})$ (continued)

E_γ	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\ddagger	Comments
625.7 2	4.6 4	716.5	1 ⁺	90.6	1 ⁺			
709.3 2	41.5 25	716.5	1 ⁺	6.90	2 ⁺	M1,E2	0.0024 3	$\alpha(\text{K})_{\text{exp}}=0.0020\ 3; L_+=0.0004$

[†] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.47 5.

[‡] Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^{104}Cd ϵ decay 1970Mu17

Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{max}$
- - - - -→ γ Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

Decay Scheme

Intensities: I_γ per 100 parent decays

