

¹⁰³Tc β⁻ decay 1979Ni05

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. De Frenne	NDS 110, 2081 (2009)	1-Mar-2009

Parent: ¹⁰³Tc: E=0.0; J^π=5/2⁺; T_{1/2}=54.2 s 8; Q(β⁻)=2662 10; %β⁻ decay=100.0

Others: 1975Ba60, 1972Tr08, 1970HeZU.

¹⁰³Tc activity from ¹⁰⁴Ru(γ,p) measured: Eγ, Iγ, γγ, Eβ, Iβ; deduced: log ft, ¹⁰³Ru levels, J^π. Enriched isotope.

¹⁰³Ru Levels

E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]	E(level) [†]	J ^π [‡]
0.0	3/2 ⁺	404.44 7	(7/2) ⁺	661.73 7	(3/2) ⁺
3.03 6	5/2 ⁺	406.19 8	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺	774.87 7	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)
136.079 3	5/2 ⁺	432.10 10	1/2 ⁺	905.32 15	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺
174.32 5	1/2 ⁺	501.06 7	(5/2) ⁺	940.70 13	
213.52 6	7/2 ⁺	555.00 10	(1/2 ⁺)	1065.60 8	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺
297.83 12	(7/2) ⁻	562.90 8	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)		
346.383 12	3/2 ⁺	591.98 6	(5/2) ⁺		

[†] Calculated from observed gammas.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

β⁻ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁻ ^{†#}	Log ft	Comments	
(1596 10)	1065.60	1.07 15	5.82 7	av Eβ=	606 5
(1721 10)	940.70	0.82 13	6.07 7	av Eβ=	662 5
(1757 10)	905.32	1.56 22	5.82 7	av Eβ=	678 5
(1887 10)	774.87	1.49 21	5.97 7	av Eβ=	737 5
(2000 10)	661.73	1.10 17	6.20 7	av Eβ=	788 5
(2070 10)	591.98	0.52 9	6.59 8	av Eβ=	820 5
(2099 10)	562.90	9.9 14	5.33 7	av Eβ=	834 5
(2107 10)	555.00	0.068 [‡] 16	7.50 11	av Eβ=	837 5
(2161 10)	501.06	3.6 5	5.82 7	av Eβ=	862 5
(2230 10)	432.10	0.057 [‡] 15	7.68 12	av Eβ=	894 5
(2256 10)	406.19	2.8 4	6.01 7	av Eβ=	906 5
(2258 10)	404.44	0.37 16	6.89 19	av Eβ=	907 5
(2316 10)	346.383	30 4	5.03 6	av Eβ=	934 5
(2364 10)	297.83	0.09 6	7.6 3	av Eβ=	956 6
(2448 10)	213.52	2.5 5	6.21 9	av Eβ=	995 6
(2488 [@] 10)	174.32	≤0.9	≥6.7	av Eβ=	1013 6
(2526 10)	136.079	10.1 17	5.66 8	av Eβ=	1031 6
(2659 10)	3.03				
(2662 10)	0.0	34 8	5.23 11	av Eβ=	1095 6

Iβ⁻: total calculated β⁻ intensity to g.s. and/or 2.8-keV level. From simultaneous β⁻ and γ measurements, Iβ=41 10 is deduced by 1979Ni05.
Log ft: calculated for total Iβ=34 8 to g.s.

[†] Calculated by evaluator from I(γ+ce) imbalance at each level.

[‡] log ft too small for ΔJ=2, Δπ=no, no explanation if J^π values of level is correct.

Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

@ Existence of this branch is questionable.

$^{103}\text{Tc} \beta^-$ decay **1979Ni05** (continued) $\gamma(^{103}\text{Ru})$

I γ normalization: the value I(346.4 γ)=17.5% 19 is a weighted average of I(346.4 γ)=18.4% 23 deduced from fission-yield measurements in $^{245}\text{Cm}(n,F)$ (1981Di01) and I(346.4 γ)=16% 3 deduced by the evaluator from simultaneous β and γ measurements (1979Ni05).

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult.	α^\ddagger	Comments
(2.8)		3.03	5/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺			
133.2 5	2.1 3	136.079	5/2 ⁺	3.03	5/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.1398 25	
136.079 3	95 5	136.079	5/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.1319 19	$\alpha(K)=0.1156$; $\alpha(L)=0.01386$; $\alpha(M)=0.00254$; $\alpha(N+..)=0.00049$
160.9 1	0.35 10	661.73	(3/2) ⁺	501.06	(5/2) ⁺	[M1]	0.0836 12	
172.0 10	0.38 3	346.383	3/2 ⁺	174.32	1/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0699 15	
174.31 5	16 2	174.32	1/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0675 10	
190.3 5	0.32 6	404.44	(7/2) ⁺	213.52	7/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0534 9	
210.40 5	18 [†] 2	213.52	7/2 ⁺	3.03	5/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0409 6	
210.40	39 [†] 2	346.383	3/2 ⁺	136.079	5/2 ⁺			
213.0 2	0.87 5	213.52	7/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺	[E2]	0.0871 13	
232.0 2	0.58 11	406.19	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺	174.32	1/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0317 5	
^x 239.0 2	0.35 11							
245.3 3	0.25 6	591.98	(5/2) ⁺	346.383	3/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0274 5	
268.6 1	0.8 3	404.44	(7/2) ⁺	136.079	5/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0217 3	
270.1 1	3.4 3	406.19	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺	136.079	5/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0214 3	
287.6 1	3.7 3	501.06	(5/2) ⁺	213.52	7/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0182 3	
294.8 1	0.5 3	297.83	(7/2) ⁻	3.03	5/2 ⁺			
315.25 10	0.88 14	661.73	(3/2) ⁺	346.383	3/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.0144 4	
343.55 15	23.1 11	346.383	3/2 ⁺	3.03	5/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.01164 17	
346.377 12	100 5	346.383	3/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.01141 16	
365.1 2	2.4 3	501.06	(5/2) ⁺	136.079	5/2 ⁺	[M1]	0.01001 14	
368.7 1	1.1 2	774.87	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	406.19	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺			
370.6 1	0.82 15	774.87	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	404.44	(7/2) ⁺			
378.0 1	0.59 15	591.98	(5/2) ⁺	213.52	7/2 ⁺			E_γ : Poor energy fit. Level energy difference = 378.46.
388.6 1	12.7 8	562.90	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	174.32	1/2 ⁺			
401.6 5	3.9 7	404.44	(7/2) ⁺	3.03	5/2 ⁺			
403.2 5	12.0 7	406.19	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺	3.03	5/2 ⁺			
418 1	0.2 1	591.98	(5/2) ⁺	174.32	1/2 ⁺			
428.3 1	1.1 2	774.87	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	346.383	3/2 ⁺			
432.1 1	0.31 7	432.10	1/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺			
456.1 1	0.59 15	591.98	(5/2) ⁺	136.079	5/2 ⁺			
487.3 1	0.94 13	661.73	(3/2) ⁺	174.32	1/2 ⁺			
501.2 1	13.9 8	501.06	(5/2) ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺			
525.5 3	0.39 10	661.73	(3/2) ⁺	136.079	5/2 ⁺			
^x 533.1 5	0.31 15							
555.0 1	0.37 7	555.00	(1/2) ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺			
559.7 3	1.2 3	562.90	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	3.03	5/2 ⁺			
562.9 1	40 3	562.90	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	0.0	3/2 ⁺			
^x 583.6 5	0.13 4							
589.1 1	0.58 12	591.98	(5/2) ⁺	3.03	5/2 ⁺			
592.1 1	0.60 13	591.98	(5/2) ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺			
^x 600.7 2	0.24 7							
^x 607.6 3	0.18 6							
^x 625.0 5	0.47 15							
638.6 3	1.6 2	774.87	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	136.079	5/2 ⁺			
^x 652.3 3	0.16 5							

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{103}\text{Tc}\beta^-$ decay **1979Ni05** (continued) $\gamma(^{103}\text{Ru})$ (continued)

E_γ	$I_\gamma^\#$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π
658.5 5	1.6 4	661.73	(3/2) ⁺	3.03	5/2 ⁺
661.2	1.8 [†] 2	661.73	(3/2) ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺
661.2 1	2.2 [†] 2	1065.60	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺	404.44	(7/2) ⁺
^x 741.4 3	0.26 8				
769.2 2	3.0 2	905.32	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺	136.079	5/2 ⁺
772.0 3	0.5 3	774.87	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	3.03	5/2 ⁺
774.8 2	3.0 2	774.87	(3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺)	0.0	3/2 ⁺
^x 785.0 5	0.26 8				
804.6 2	1.3 2	940.70		136.079	5/2 ⁺
^x 830.7 5	0.23 7				
851.9 2	1.24 20	1065.60	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺	213.52	7/2 ⁺
902.4 3	3.5 3	905.32	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺	3.03	5/2 ⁺
905.3 3	2.0 3	905.32	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺
929.4 2	0.77 10	1065.60	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺	136.079	5/2 ⁺
937.8 2	2.9 3	940.70		3.03	5/2 ⁺
940.4 3	0.26 9	940.70		0.0	3/2 ⁺
^x 952.0 3	0.16 5				
^x 955.2 5	0.58 15				
^x 1042.7 5	0.41 12				
1062.7 2	0.83 13	1065.60	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺	3.03	5/2 ⁺
1065.6 2	0.75 11	1065.60	3/2 ⁺ ,5/2 ⁺ ,7/2 ⁺	0.0	3/2 ⁺
^x 1181.0 10	0.18 6				
^x 1233.3 10	0.33 10				
^x 1312.0 10	0.13 4				
^x 1315.0 10	0.09 3				

[†] Intensity of the members of a doublet obtained from coincidence spectra.

[‡] Calculated assuming M1 multipolarity, unless noted otherwise. Only $\alpha's > 1\%$ are given and this is done only with the goal of calculating approximate logft values. These multiplicities are not adopted in the Adopted Levels, Gammas data set.

[#] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.175 19.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

