

^{102}Rh ε decay (3.742 y) 1969Ge02,1970Si13

Type	Author	History Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	D. De Frenne	NDS 110, 1745 (2009)	31-Dec-2008

Parent: ^{102}Rh : E=140; $J^\pi=6^{(+)}$; $T_{1/2}=3.742$ y 10; $Q(\varepsilon)=2323$ 5; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$ decay=100.0

Some γ -rays, observed by 1970Hu02 only, are summation peaks and therefore, have been omitted.

Because of the many close-lying doublets, the internal conversion data of 1968Ad02 and 1961Hi06 are not included.

Coincidence measurements by 1969Ko24 and 1970Ta03 are summarized on the decay scheme.

Others: 1965Ro09, 1971Fr12.

 ^{102}Ru Levels

E(level) [‡]	J^π [†]	Comments
0	0 ⁺	
475.07 4	2 ⁺	
1103.14 5	2 ⁺	J^π : (628)(475)(θ) is consistent with $J(D+Q)2(Q)0$ for $J(1103$ level)=2 and $\delta(628\gamma)=60$ 20. Not consistent with $J=0,1,3,4$ for any δ (1970Si13).
1106.36 6	4 ⁺	
1521.67 7	3 ⁺	J^π : (1049)(475)(θ) is consistent with $J(D+Q)2(Q)0$ for $J(1521$ level)=3 and $\delta(1047\gamma)=-7.0$ 6. Not consistent with $J=1$ or 2 for any δ . (419)(1103)(θ) is consistent with $J(D+Q)2(Q)0$ $J(1521$ level)=3 and $\delta(419\gamma)=-7.2$ 1. Not consistent with $J=1$ or 2 for any δ (1970Si13).
1798.76 14	4 ⁺	
1873.22 8	6 ⁺	J^π : $J=5$ deduced by 1970Si13 from triple cascade angular correlation disagrees with adopted value.
2219.17 7	5 ⁺	J^π : $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ data are consistent with $J=3$ or 5, not consistent with $J=4$ (1970Si13).

[†] From Adopted Levels.

[‡] From a least-squares procedure using gammas given in the data set.

 ε, β^+ radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I ε [‡]	Log ft	I($\varepsilon+\beta^+$) [‡]	Comments
(244 5)	2219.17	67 3	6.21 8	67 3	$\varepsilon K=$ 0.813 6; $\varepsilon L=$ 0.150 5; $\varepsilon M+=$ 0.0370 12
(590 5)	1873.22	35 2	7.91 3	35 2	$\varepsilon K=$ 0.8594; $\varepsilon L=$ 0.11363 14; $\varepsilon M+=$ 0.02700 4
(664 5)	1798.76	0.8 4	9.69 22	0.8 4	$\varepsilon K=$ 0.8609; $\varepsilon L=$ 0.1124; $\varepsilon M+=$ 0.02666 3
(1357# 5)	1106.36	≤ 4 [†]	≥ 9.7	≤ 4	$\varepsilon K=$ 0.8657; $\varepsilon L=$ 0.1083; $\varepsilon M+=$ 0.02555

[†] Only upper limit can be given, because of uncertainties in γ -intensities.

[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

Existence of this branch is questionable.

 $\gamma(^{102}\text{Ru})$

I γ normalization: Normalization to absolute γ -intensities is based on assumption that there is no direct β^- feeding to the ^{102}Ru g.s.

E γ [†]	I γ ^{†&}	E _i (level)	J $^\pi_i$	E _f	J $^\pi_f$	Mult. [#]	$\delta^{\#}$	Comments
75.6@ ^a 5	0.21 9	1873.22	6 ⁺	1798.76	4 ⁺			
345.89 12	0.87 10	2219.17	5 ⁺	1873.22	6 ⁺			
415.25 15	2.1 3	1521.67	3 ⁺	1106.36	4 ⁺			
418.52 18	9.4 [‡] 10	1521.67	3 ⁺	1103.14	2 ⁺	E2+M1	-7.2 10	
420.4 2	3.2 3	2219.17	5 ⁺	1798.76	4 ⁺			Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=5.8\times 10^{-3}$ 14 (1993Fa11).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

^{102}Rh ε decay (3.742 y) 1969Ge02,1970Si13 (continued)

$\gamma(^{102}\text{Ru})$ (continued)

E_γ^{\dagger}	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\&}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	$\delta^{\#}$	Comments
475.06 4	95 4	475.07	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺			
628.05 5	8.3 4	1103.14	2 ⁺	475.07	2 ⁺	E2(+M1)+E0	-60 20	Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=2.58\times 10^{-3}$ 11 (1993Fa11).
631.29 5	56 2	1106.36	4 ⁺	475.07	2 ⁺	E2		Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=2.43\times 10^{-3}$ 10 (1993Fa11).
692.4 2	1.6 2	1798.76	4 ⁺	1106.36	4 ⁺			
695.6 3	2.9 4	1798.76	4 ⁺	1103.14	2 ⁺			
697.49 8	44 2	2219.17	5 ⁺	1521.67	3 ⁺	E2		
766.84 6	34 2	1873.22	6 ⁺	1106.36	4 ⁺			
1046.59 7	34 2	1521.67	3 ⁺	475.07	2 ⁺	E2+M1	-5.7 3	δ : from 1989Hi12. other: -7.0 6 (1970Si13).
1103.16 6	4.6 3	1103.14	2 ⁺	0	0 ⁺	E2		Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=6.27\times 10^{-4}$ 30 (1993Fa11).
1112.84 7	19 1	2219.17	5 ⁺	1106.36	4 ⁺	E2+M1	-1.1 +6-9	
1323.6 5	0.46 8	1798.76	4 ⁺	475.07	2 ⁺			

[†] γ -ray energies and intensities are from 1969Ge02, unless noted otherwise.

[‡] Uncertainty given by the evaluator. The value given in the paper seems to be a misprint.

[#] γ -multipolarities and mixing ratios are from $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ results of 1970Si13, unless noted otherwise.

[@] Observed by 1969Ko24 only.

[&] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

$^{102}\text{Rh } \epsilon$ decay (3.742 y) 1969Ge02,1970Si13