

$^{100}\text{Mo}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$ 1976De33

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen		NDS 172, 1 (2021)	31-Jan-2021

1976De33 (also **1975De29**): E=45 MeV alpha beam was produced from the 280-cm-diam AVF Groningen cyclotron. Targets were isotopically enriched (97%) metallic ^{100}Mo , 5 mg/cm² thick for γ -ray measurements and 0.7 mg/cm² for conversion-electron measurements. γ rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors and conversion electrons were detected with a mini-orange electron spectrometer. Measured $E\gamma$, $I\gamma$, $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(t)$, $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$, E(ce), I(ce), ce(t). Deduced levels, J, π , conversion coefficients, γ -ray multipolarities, mixing ratios. Comparison with IBA-model calculations. In $\gamma(t)$ and ce(t) studies, no delayed transitions with $T_{1/2} > 5$ ns observed.

Other:

1993GoZU: E=39.04 MeV. Measured relative γ -ray intensities for 34 γ rays.

All data are from **1976De33**, unless otherwise noted.

 ^{100}Ru Levels

E(level) [#]	J π [‡]	Comments
0.0	0 ⁺	
539.8 3	2 ⁺	
1131.3?† 11	0 ⁺	
1227.2 5	4 ⁺	
1362.8† 8	2 ⁺	
1881.7† 11	3 ⁺	
2063.6† 7	4 ⁺	
2076.9 5	6 ⁺	
2168.7?†	3 ⁻	
2528.9 5	5 ⁻	
2953.4 5	7 ⁻	
2965.6 5	6 ⁻	
3062.1 6	8 ⁺	
3141.1 5	7 ⁻	
3266.1 6	(8 ⁺)	
3356.7 5	8 ⁻	
3505.4 5	9 ⁻	
3577.6 6	(7,9) ⁻	J π : 9 ⁻ in the Adopted Levels.
3994.5 6	(10) ⁻	
4086.1 6	10 ⁺	
4233.1 6	(11) ⁻	
4318.3 7	(9,11)	J π : (11) ⁻ in the Adopted Levels.
4800.3 6	(12 ⁻)	
4921.3? 7	(12 ⁺)	
5130.1 7	(10,11,12 ⁺)	J π : (12 ⁺) in the Adopted Levels.
5165.5 7	(13) ⁻	
5280.4 8	(9 to 13)	J π : (13 ⁻) in the Adopted Levels.
5716.8? 8	(14 ⁺)	
6201.8 8	(15 ⁻)	E(level),J π : this level corresponds to 7204,(17 ⁻) in Adopted Levels, since the 1036.3 γ in 1976De33 from 6202 level is considered the same as the 1036.6 γ from 7204, (17 ⁻) level in the cascade: 1037-1005-933-728 from 2000Ti07 in ($^{36}\text{S},\alpha 2n\gamma$). In 1976De33 , the same cascade is defined as 1036-932-728, without the intermediate 1005 γ .

† Level scheme taken from the Adopted Levels.

‡ From $\gamma(\theta)$ and $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ data. The assignments are from the Adopted Levels for levels up to 2 MeV.

From least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data.

$^{100}\text{Mo}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$ **1976De33** (continued) $\gamma(^{100}\text{Ru})$

γ (lin pol) for 540 γ , 688 γ , 850 γ , 985 γ and 1024 γ used to determine polarization sensitivity (1976De33).

The ce data were normalized to $\alpha(K)=0.0038$ for 539.7 γ and $\alpha(K)=0.0020$ for 687.4 γ , both treated as E2 transitions (1976De33).

E_γ †	I_γ †	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. ‡	δ ‡	Comments
148.6 3	1.6 1	3505.4	9 ⁻	3356.7	8 ⁻	D+Q	-1.5 15	$A_2=-0.41$ 6; $A_4=+0.01$ 8
187.4 3	0.9 1	3141.1	7 ⁻	2953.4	7 ⁻			
204.0 3	1.3 2	3266.1	(8 ⁺)	3062.1	8 ⁺			
238.2 & 3	<1.2	4233.1	(11) ⁻	3994.5	(10) ⁻			
364.2 3	3.7 2	3505.4	9 ⁻	3141.1	7 ⁻	E2		$A_2=+0.22$ 5; $A_4=-0.11$ 6; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.016$ 8
391.0 3	6.7 4	3356.7	8 ⁻	2965.6	6 ⁻	(E2)		$A_2=+0.26$ 3; $A_4=-0.07$ 3; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.007$ 4 Pol= $+0.55$ 15.
403.2 3	3.7 2	3356.7	8 ⁻	2953.4	7 ⁻	E2+M1	+1.7 5	$A_2=+0.56$ 5; $A_4=+0.16$ 5; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.008$ 4 Pol= -0.05 15.
424.3 3	4.0 2	2953.4	7 ⁻	2528.9	5 ⁻	(E2)		$A_2=+0.36$ 8; $A_4=-0.02$ 10; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.009$ 5
436.4 3	1.6 1	2965.6	6 ⁻	2528.9	5 ⁻	(M1+E2)	+2.8 20	$A_2=+0.65$ 20; $A_4=+0.6$ 2; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}<0.009$
443.3 3	4.5 3	3505.4	9 ⁻	3062.1	8 ⁺	E1(+M2)	-0.11 5	$A_2=-0.33$ 8; $A_4=+0.03$ 11; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}<0.0025$ Pol= $+0.25$ 9.
489.3 3	3.0 5	3994.5	(10) ⁻	3505.4	9 ⁻	E2+M1	+4 2	$A_2=+0.23$ 9; $A_4=+0.06$ 11; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0049$ 10 Pol= -0.08 10.
539.7 3	100	539.8	2 ⁺	0.0	0 ⁺	E2#		
552.2 3	6.2 4	3505.4	9 ⁻	2953.4	7 ⁻	(E2)		$A_2=+0.26$ 5; $A_4=-0.10$ 5; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.007$ 4
567.4 & 3	<1.6	4800.3	(12) ⁻	4233.1	(11) ⁻			
591.5 @	0.6 @ 1	1131.3?	0 ⁺	539.8	2 ⁺			
612.4 3	2.1 2	3141.1	7 ⁻	2528.9	5 ⁻	E2		$A_2=+0.21$ 8; $A_4=-0.21$ 9; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0030$ 15
624.2 3	8.4 5	3577.6	(7,9) ⁻	2953.4	7 ⁻	E2+M1		$A_2=+0.27$ 4; $A_4=-0.15$ 4; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0030$ 15 Pol= $+0.40$ 15.
637.7 2	6.5 4	3994.5	(10) ⁻	3356.7	8 ⁻	E2		$A_2=+0.39$ 5; $A_4=-0.02$ 6; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0035$ 18
687.4 3	87 5	1227.2	4 ⁺	539.8	2 ⁺	E2#		$A_2=+0.24$ 2; $A_4=-0.07$ 3
727.7 3	9.6 6	4233.1	(11) ⁻	3505.4	9 ⁻	(E2)		$A_2=+0.33$ 6; $A_4=-0.09$ 7; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0017$ 7
740.6 3	7.0 4	4318.3	(9,11)	3577.6	(7,9) ⁻	E2		$A_2=+0.34$ 3; $A_4=-0.08$ 3 Pol= $+0.65$ 15.
795.5 & 3	3.7 2	5716.8?	(14 ⁺)	4921.3?	(12 ⁺)	(E2)		$A_2=+0.28$ 5; $A_4=+0.05$ 5; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0020$ 10 Mult.: E2,M1 from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$.
*803.6 @	3.3 @ 5							
805.8 3	4.5 2	4800.3	(12) ⁻	3994.5	(10) ⁻	(E2)		$A_2=+0.27$ 5; $A_4=-0.08$ 5; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0016$ 6
822.3 @	1.2 @ 5	1362.8	2 ⁺	539.8	2 ⁺			
835.2 & 3	8.6 5	4921.3?	(12 ⁺)	4086.1	10 ⁺			$A_2=+0.03$ 5; $A_4=0.00$ 6; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0008$ 4 Mult.: D,E2 from $\alpha(K)\text{exp}$.
849.9 3	72 5	2076.9	6 ⁺	1227.2	4 ⁺	E2		$A_2=+0.28$ 6; $A_4=-0.15$ 7; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.0011$ 2
876.7 3	23 2	2953.4	7 ⁻	2076.9	6 ⁺	E1		$A_2=-0.32$ 4; $A_4=0.00$ 6; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}=0.00045$ 10 Pol= $+0.38$ 8. δ : 1976De33 give -1.7 18 which is not consistent with A_2 and A_4 values for 876.7 γ . The value should probably be -0.17 18, consistent with $\delta\approx 0$ in figure 4 (1976De33).
888.8 3	4.1 2	2965.6	6 ⁻	2076.9	6 ⁺	E1(+M2)	+0.4 7	$A_2=+0.29$ 5; $A_4=-0.05$ 5; $\alpha(K)\text{exp}<0.0004$ Pol= -0.20 15.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{100}\text{Mo}(\alpha,4n\gamma)$ **1976De33** (continued) $\gamma(^{100}\text{Ru})$ (continued)

E_γ^\dagger	I_γ^\dagger	$E_i(\text{level})$	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [‡]	δ^\ddagger	Comments
932.4 3	4.3 3	5165.5	(13) ⁻	4233.1	(11) ⁻	(E2)		$A_2=+0.28$ 8; $A_4=-0.11$ 9; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0015$ 5
962.1 3	2.1 1	5280.4	(9 to 13)	4318.3	(9,11)	(E2,M1)		$A_2=+0.23$ 10; $A_4=+0.15$ 12; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0013$ 7
985.2 3	29 3	3062.1	8 ⁺	2076.9	6 ⁺	E2		$A_2=+0.25$ 2; $A_4=-0.05$ 3; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.007$ 1
1024.0 3	11.0 10	4086.1	10 ⁺	3062.1	8 ⁺	(E2)		$A_2=+0.28$ 3; $A_4=-0.05$ 3; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.0010$ 2
1036.3 3	1.4 2	6201.8	(15) ⁻	5165.5	(13) ⁻	(E2)		$A_2=+0.43$ 12; $A_4=+0.03$ 14; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}<0.0030$ E_γ : this γ ray is most likely the same as 1036.6 γ in 2000Ti07 from 7207, (17 ⁻) level in ($^{34}\text{S},\alpha 2n\gamma$) reaction.
1044.0 3	3.9 3	5130.1	(10,11,12 ⁺)	4086.1	10 ⁺			$A_2=+0.25$ 11; $A_4=-0.01$ 13
1064.5 ^{&} 3	<1.7	3141.1	7 ⁻	2076.9	6 ⁺			
1188.1 [@] 10	1.3 [@] 2	3266.1	(8 ⁺)	2076.9	6 ⁺			
1301.6 3	9.8 6	2528.9	5 ⁻	1227.2	4 ⁺	E1(+M2)	-0.07 3	$A_2=-0.31$ 3; $A_4=+0.06$ 4; $\alpha(\text{K})\text{exp}=0.00026$ 13 Pol=+0.7 6.
1341.9 [@]	2.5 [@] 2	1881.7	3 ⁺	539.8	2 ⁺			
1523.8 [@]	2.0 [@] 3	2063.6	4 ⁺	539.8	2 ⁺			
1628.9 [@] &	1.6 [@] 2	2168.7?	3 ⁻	539.8	2 ⁺			

[†] From **1976De33** at $E(\alpha)=45$ MeV, unless otherwise stated. Values from **1993GoZU** at $E(\alpha)=39$ MeV are also available.

[‡] From $\gamma(\theta)$, $\gamma(\text{lin pol})$ and ce data in **1976De33**, unless otherwise stated. The sign of δ given by **1976De33** is opposite to that adopted here.

From the Adopted Gammas. $\gamma(\theta)$ data (**1976De33**) consistent with this assignment.

@ From **1993GoZU**. γ not reported by **1976De33**. Relative intensities quoted by **1993GoZU** at $E=39$ MeV and by **1976De33** at $E=45$ MeV are comparable.

& Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

^x γ ray not placed in level scheme.

¹⁰⁰Mo($\alpha,4n\gamma$) 1976De33

Legend

Level Scheme
Intensities: Relative I _{γ}

- I _{γ} < 2% × I _{γ} ^{max}
- I _{γ} < 10% × I _{γ} ^{max}
- I _{γ} > 10% × I _{γ} ^{max}
- - - - - → γ Decay (Uncertain)

