

---

 $^{100}\text{Pd } \varepsilon \text{ decay (3.63 d)}$    [1992Si11](#), [1965Ev05](#), [1977KaXX](#)

---

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 172, 1 (2021)		31-Jan-2021

Parent:  $^{100}\text{Pd}$ : E=0.0;  $J^\pi=0^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=3.63$  d 9;  $Q(\varepsilon)=378$  25; % $\varepsilon$  decay=100.0

$^{100}\text{Pd-T}_{1/2}$ : From the Adopted Levels of  $^{100}\text{Pd}$ .

$^{100}\text{Pd-Q}(\varepsilon)$ : From [2017Wa10](#).

[1992Si11](#):  $^{100}\text{Pd}$  source was produced via the  $^{103}\text{Rh}(\text{p},4\text{n})$  reaction by irradiation of metallic foil of >99% pure rhodium with a 45 MeV proton beam provided by the 88-inch cyclotron at the Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin with  $\gamma$ -x intrinsic Ge detectors at LBNL and University of Toronto. Deduced levels,  $J$ ,  $\pi$ , decay branching ratios, log ft. Comparisons with theoretical calculations.

[1965Ev05](#):  $^{100}\text{Pd}$  source was produced via  $^{103}\text{Rh}(\text{p},4\text{n})$  with 42 MeV protons from the Nevis Synchrocyclotron.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with a Ge(Li) detector and conversion electrons were detected with a six-gap beta-ray spectrometer. Measured  $E\gamma$ ,  $I\gamma$ ,  $\gamma(t)$ ,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $E(\text{ce})$ ,  $I(\text{ce})$ ,  $\text{ce-}\gamma$ -coin. Deduced levels, isomer  $T_{1/2}$ , conversion coefficients,  $\gamma$ -ray multipolarities. Proposed configurations for low-lying states.

Others:

$\gamma$ ,  $\text{ce}$ : [1977KaXX](#), [1964Ro20](#), [1964An07](#), [1970An30](#), [1974Si18](#), [1964Ko04](#), [1953Ma64](#). [1977KaXX](#) mention ce measurements with a high resolution magnetic spectrometer (0.1% in momentum), but no detailed results about subshell ratios and conversion coefficients are available.

$\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ : [1971Re06](#), [1965Ma34](#).

$\gamma\gamma(\theta,\text{H},\text{t})$ : [1971Re06](#), [1965Ma34](#), [1966Ma54](#). Others (dealing mainly with hyperfine studies and electric quadrupole interaction studies): [1993Kr26](#), [1993Kh11](#), [1993Kh10](#), [1990Kl05](#), [1990De32](#), [1987Bh06](#), [1987Kl04](#), [1987Li19](#), [1986Va19](#), [1986Ho17](#), [1985Me17](#), [1983Tr17](#), [1982Ar21](#), [1979Vi12](#), [1975Kr15](#), [1975Kr13](#), [1973Ha61](#), [1971KiZL](#), [1970Ko14](#), [1970Re10](#), [1966Ma64](#), [1966Ma54](#).

$\gamma\gamma(t)$ : [1979En03](#), [1971Re06](#), [1965Ma34](#).

$T_{1/2}$  of  $^{100}\text{Pd}$ : [1968Pa24](#). Others: [1972Ch13](#), [1964An07](#), [1964Ro20](#), [1948Li03](#).

[1995Sc50](#): cross section for production of  $^{100}\text{Pd}$  in  $^{50}\text{Cr}(^{58}\text{Ni},\text{X})$  reaction.

Calculated  $\beta^+/\varepsilon$  ratio: [1988Su16](#).

Total decay energy deposit of 372 keV 34 calculated by RADLIST code is in agreement with expected value of 378 keV 25, indicating the completeness of the decay scheme.

---

 $^{100}\text{Rh}$  Levels

---

E(level) <sup>†</sup>	$J^\pi$ <sup>‡</sup>	$T_{1/2}$ <sup>‡</sup>	Comments
0.0	$1^-$		Proposed configuration= $\pi(g_{9/2}^4, p_{1/2})_{1/2-} \otimes \nu(d_{5/2}, s_{1/2})_{1/2+}$ or $3/2+$ ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ).
32.68 2	$(2)^-$	27.6 ns 6	$T_{1/2}$ : $\gamma\gamma(t)$ ( <a href="#">1979En03</a> ).
74.78 2	$(2)^+$	214.3 ns 20	Proposed configuration= $\pi(g_{9/2}^4, p_{1/2})_{1/2-} \otimes \nu(d_{5/2}, s_{1/2})_{3/2+}$ or $5/2+$ ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ). $g=+2.151$ 4 ( <a href="#">1966Ma54</a> , <a href="#">1965Ma34</a> )
			$T_{1/2}$ : values from this dataset: 213.6 ns 20 ( <a href="#">1979En03</a> ), 214.5 ns 20 ( <a href="#">1971Re06</a> ), 235 ns 3 ( <a href="#">1965Ma34</a> ), 180 ns 20 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ). $J^\pi$ : $(84\gamma)(75\gamma)(\theta)$ rules out $J=0$ and favors $J=2$ over $J=1$ . $g$ factor: from $\gamma\gamma(\theta,\text{H},\text{t})$ . Other: 2.152 15 ( <a href="#">1971Re06</a> ). $Q=0.076$ 20 ( <a href="#">1979Vi12</a> ), an estimated value from a comparison of quadrupole interaction frequencies in $^{100}\text{Rh}$ and $^{99}\text{Ru}$ , using $Q=0.23$ 5 for a 99-keV level in $^{99}\text{Ru}$ .
			Proposed configuration= $\pi(g_{9/2}^5)_{9/2+} \otimes \nu(d_{5/2}, s_{1/2})_{5/2+}$ and/or $\pi(g_{9/2}^5)_{7/2+} \otimes \nu(d_{5/2}, s_{1/2})_{3/2+}$ ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ).
86.28 8	(1,2)		
136.38 6	(1)		
139.92 5	(0,1)		
151.86 5	(1)+		
154.00 10	(0,1)		
158.80 2	$1^+$	<0.35 ns	$T_{1/2}$ : other: <0.5 ns from $\gamma\gamma(t)$ ( <a href="#">1979En03</a> ). Proposed configuration= $\pi(g_{9/2}^5)_{7/2+} \otimes \nu(d_{5/2}, s_{1/2})_{5/2+}$ ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ).

---

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

**$^{100}\text{Pd } \varepsilon$  decay (3.63 d)    1992Si11,1965Ev05,1977KaXX (continued)** $^{100}\text{Rh}$  Levels (continued)<sup>†</sup> From least-squares fit to  $E\gamma$  data.<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Levels. $\varepsilon$  radiations

E(decay)	E(level)	I $\varepsilon$ <sup>†‡</sup>	Log ft	Comments
(2.2×10 <sup>2</sup> 3)	158.80	92 8	4.4 1	$\varepsilon K=0.844$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.125$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0305$ 8
(2.2×10 <sup>2</sup> 3)	154.00	0.037 11	7.8 2	$\varepsilon K=0.845$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.125$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0303$ 8
(2.3×10 <sup>2</sup> 3)	151.86	0.66 6	6.6 1	$\varepsilon K=0.845$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.124$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.0303$ 8
(2.4×10 <sup>2</sup> 3)	139.92	0.20 3	7.1 1	$\varepsilon K=0.847$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.1234$ 24; $\varepsilon M+=0.0300$ 7
(2.4×10 <sup>2</sup> 3)	136.38	0.27 15	7.0 3	$\varepsilon K=0.847$ 3; $\varepsilon L=0.1232$ 23; $\varepsilon M+=0.0299$ 7
(2.9×10 <sup>2</sup> <sup>#</sup> 3)	86.28	<0.07	>7.8	$\varepsilon K=0.8510$ 19; $\varepsilon L=0.1199$ 15; $\varepsilon M+=0.0290$ 4
(3.5×10 <sup>2</sup> <sup>#</sup> 3)	32.68	<4	>5.8 <sup>1u</sup>	$\varepsilon K=0.823$ 6; $\varepsilon L=0.142$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.0353$ 13 I $\varepsilon$ : 1 3 from intensity balance.
(378 <sup>#</sup> 25)	0.0	<8	>6.0	$\varepsilon K=0.8554$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.1166$ 8; $\varepsilon M+=0.02807$ 23

<sup>†</sup> From I( $\gamma+ce$ ) intensity balance at each level.<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

# Existence of this branch is questionable.

$\gamma(^{100}\text{Rh})$ 

Iγ normalization:  $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce))$  of  $\gamma$ s to g.s.)=96 4, assuming  $I(\text{ce(g.s.)})<8\%$  corresponding to  $\log ft>5.9$  for a first-forbidden transition. If  $I(\text{ce(g.s.)})=0$ , then Iγ normalization=0.55 3. Both these values are consistent with 0.61 7 (1970An30) from Iγ(absolute)(84γ) measured relative to that of 540γ from <sup>100</sup>Rh ε decay A 55.82γ placed from a level at 214.6 by 1965Ev05 is not confirmed in later studies and therefore this γ together with the 214.6 level is not considered in the decay scheme.

The experimental conversion coefficients from 1970An30 are relative to the 84γ treated as M1 with  $\alpha(K)=0.492$ .

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\#}$	$E_f$ (level)	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Mult. <sup>‡</sup>	$\delta^\ddagger$	$\alpha^{@}$	$I_{(\gamma+ce)}^{\#}$	Comments
(15.5)	<0.12	151.86	(1) <sup>+</sup>	136.38	(1)				0.5 1	$\gamma$ required by $\gamma\gamma$ data in (p,ny). Iγ: expected to be highly converted; $\alpha=4.22$ if E1. $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$ : estimated (evaluators) from branching ratio in (p,ny) (1983Bi04).
32.66 2	4.9 3	32.68	(2) <sup>-</sup>	0.0	1 <sup>-</sup>	M1+E2	0.15 3	10.1 7		$\alpha(K)=7.98$ 22; $\alpha(L)=1.8$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.34$ 7 $\alpha(N)=0.053$ 11; $\alpha(O)=0.00147$ 3 Eγ: others: 32.72 6 (1965Ev05), 32.4 2 (1964An07). Iγ: 8.6 2 (1977KaXX).
42.08 2	13.5 8	74.78	(2) <sup>+</sup>	32.68	(2) <sup>-</sup>	[E1]			1.695	Mult.,δ: from L1/L2/L3=100/57 10/48 10 and $\alpha(L)\exp=3.4$ 17 (1970An30). Other: K/(L+M)=7.0 10 (1964Ro20). $\alpha(K)=1.463$ 21; $\alpha(L)=0.191$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.0350$ 5 $\alpha(N)=0.00556$ 8; $\alpha(O)=0.000207$ 3 Eγ: others: 42.10 5 (1965Ev05), 41.9 5 (1964An07). Iγ: other: 17 3 (1977KaXX); 1965Ev05 report $I(\gamma+ce)=51$ .
53.52 15	0.08 2	86.28	(1,2)	32.68	(2) <sup>-</sup>	[D]			1.5 6	$\alpha(K)=1.3$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.16$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.029$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.0048$ 20; $\alpha(O)=0.00022$ 12 Eγ: other: 1964An07 report a γ of 51.7 5.
61.60 5	0.51 10	136.38	(1)	74.78	(2) <sup>+</sup>	[D]			1.0 4	$\alpha(K)=0.53$ 22; $\alpha(L)=0.07$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.012$ 5
72.52 10	0.15 4	158.80	1 <sup>+</sup>	86.28	(1,2)	[D]			0.61 25	$\alpha(N)=0.0020$ 9; $\alpha(O)=9.E-5$ 5 Iγ=0.38 8 (1977KaXX).
74.78 2	92 5	74.78	(2) <sup>+</sup>	0.0	1 <sup>-</sup>	E1			0.336	$\alpha(K)=0.293$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.0357$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.00657$ 10 $\alpha(N)=0.001061$ 15; $\alpha(O)=4.48\times10^{-5}$ 7 Eγ: others: 74.77 8 (1965Ev05), 74.4 4 (1964An07). Iγ: others: 69.8 (1965Ev05), 81.1 17 (1977KaXX).
84.00 2	100 6	158.80	1 <sup>+</sup>	74.78	(2) <sup>+</sup>	M1			0.561	Mult.: from $\alpha(K)\exp=0.25$ 14 (1970An30). Others: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.42$ (1965Ev05), K/(L)=8.4 8 (1964An07), K/(L+M)=7.2 5 (1964Ro20). $\alpha(K)=0.488$ 7; $\alpha(L)=0.0598$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.01114$ 16 $\alpha(N)=0.00184$ 3; $\alpha(O)=9.15\times10^{-5}$ 13 Eγ: others: 84.00 9 (1965Ev05), 83.8 4 (1964An07). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.54$ (1965Ev05), 0.69 23 (1970An30). Others: K/L=9.0 9 (1964An07), K/(L+M)=7.1 5 (1964Ro20).

<sup>100</sup>Pd  $\varepsilon$  decay (3.63 d)    1992Si11,1965Ev05,1977KaXX (continued)

<u><math>\gamma(^{100}\text{Rh})</math> (continued)</u>								
<u><math>E_\gamma^\dagger</math></u>	<u><math>I_\gamma^{\ddagger\#}</math></u>	<u><math>E_i(\text{level})</math></u>	<u><math>J_i^\pi</math></u>	<u><math>E_f</math></u>	<u><math>J_f^\pi</math></u>	<u>Mult.<sup>‡</sup></u>	<u><math>\alpha^@</math></u>	Comments
86.37 15	0.05 2	86.28	(1,2)	0.0	1 <sup>-</sup>	[D]	0.37 15	(84 $\gamma$ )(75 $\gamma$ )( $\theta$ ): $A_2=0.173$ 4 ( <a href="#">1971Re06</a> ). Others: $A_2=+0.18$ 3 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ); <a href="#">1965Ma34</a> . $\alpha(K)=0.32$ 13; $\alpha(L)=0.039$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.007$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.0012$ 5; $\alpha(O)=6.E-5$ 3
119.18 8	0.13 5	151.86	(1) <sup>+</sup>	32.68	(2) <sup>-</sup>	[E1]	0.0881	$\alpha(K)=0.0770$ 11; $\alpha(L)=0.00913$ 13; $\alpha(M)=0.001685$ 24 $\alpha(N)=0.000275$ 4; $\alpha(O)=1.240\times10^{-5}$ 18 $E_\gamma=118.59$ , $I_\gamma=0.34$ 5 ( <a href="#">1977KaXX</a> ). $E_\gamma$ : others: 126.07 19 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ), 126.5 5 ( <a href="#">1964An07</a> ). $I_\gamma$ : others: 18.0 15 ( <a href="#">1977KaXX</a> ), 33.4 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.064$ 13 ( <a href="#">1970An30</a> ).
126.15 2	15 1	158.80	1 <sup>+</sup>	32.68	(2) <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.0748	$\alpha(K)=0.0654$ 10; $\alpha(L)=0.00774$ 11; $\alpha(M)=0.001428$ 20 $\alpha(N)=0.000233$ 4; $\alpha(O)=1.059\times10^{-5}$ 15 $E_\gamma$ : others: 126.07 19 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ), 126.5 5 ( <a href="#">1964An07</a> ). $I_\gamma$ : others: 18.0 15 ( <a href="#">1977KaXX</a> ), 33.4 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.064$ 13 ( <a href="#">1970An30</a> ).
139.92 5	0.35 4	139.92	(0,1)	0.0	1 <sup>-</sup>	[D]	0.10 4	$\alpha(K)=0.08$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.010$ 5; $\alpha(M)=0.0019$ 8 $\alpha(N)=0.00031$ 14; $\alpha(O)=1.5\times10^{-5}$ 7 $E_\gamma$ : other: 139.72 30, placed from a level at 215 by <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> . $I_\gamma$ : others: 0.45 7 ( <a href="#">1977KaXX</a> ), 1.2 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ). Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.064$ 13 ( <a href="#">1970An30</a> ).
151.88 5	0.61 5	151.86	(1) <sup>+</sup>	0.0	1 <sup>-</sup>	E1	0.0439	$\alpha(K)=0.0384$ 6; $\alpha(L)=0.00452$ 7; $\alpha(M)=0.000834$ 12 $\alpha(N)=0.0001364$ 20; $\alpha(O)=6.32\times10^{-6}$ 9 $E_\gamma$ : other: 151.55 30 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ). $I_\gamma$ : others: 0.81 4 ( <a href="#">1977KaXX</a> ), 2.5 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ). Mult.: from the Adopted Gammas.
154.00 10	0.061 15	154.00	(0,1)	0.0	1 <sup>-</sup>	[D,E2]	0.17 12	$I_\gamma=0.16$ 3 ( <a href="#">1977KaXX</a> ). $\alpha(K)=0.0338$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.00397$ 6; $\alpha(M)=0.000732$ 11
158.87 5	3.2 2	158.80	1 <sup>+</sup>	0.0	1 <sup>-</sup>	[E1]	0.0386	$\alpha(N)=0.0001199$ 17; $\alpha(O)=5.58\times10^{-6}$ 8 $E_\gamma$ : others: 158.77 34 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ), 158.1 5 ( <a href="#">1964An07</a> ). $I_\gamma$ : other: 8.2 ( <a href="#">1965Ev05</a> ).

<sup>†</sup> From [1992Si11](#).<sup>‡</sup> From ce data in this study, adopted in the Adopted Gammas.

# For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.52 3.

@ Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code ([2008Ki07](#)) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

$^{100}\text{Pd } \varepsilon$  decay (3.63 d) 1992Si11,1965Ev05,1977KaXX

## Legend

- $I_\gamma < 2\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma < 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- $I_\gamma > 10\% \times I_\gamma^{\max}$
- - - - -  $\gamma$  Decay (Uncertain)
- Coincidence

## Decay Scheme

Intensities:  $I_{(\gamma+ce)}$  per 100 parent decays