

$^{100}\text{Ag } \varepsilon \text{ decay (2.24 min)}$     **1983Ra10,1980Ha20**

Type	Author	History	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 172, 1 (2021)		31-Jan-2021

Parent:  $^{100}\text{Ag}$ : E=15.52 16;  $J^\pi=(2)^+$ ;  $T_{1/2}=2.24$  min 15;  $Q(\varepsilon)=7075$  18; % $\varepsilon+\beta^+$  decay=100.0

$^{100}\text{Ag-E,J}^\pi$ : From  $^{100}\text{Ag}$  Adopted Levels.

$^{100}\text{Ag-T}_{1/2}$ : weighted average of 2.30 min 15 ([1980Ha20](#)) and 2.06 min 25 ([1983Ra10](#)), same value is recommended in the Adopted Levels of  $^{100}\text{Ag}$ . [1983Ra10](#) could not distinguish the g.s. and the isomer on the basis of half-life.

$^{100}\text{Ag-Q}(\varepsilon)$ : From [2017Wa10](#).

[1983Ra10](#):  $^{100}\text{Ag}$  source was produced in  $^{92}\text{Mo}(^{12}\text{C},\text{p}3\text{n})$  with E≈80 MeV  $^{12}\text{C}$  beam from the Manchester University Heavy-Ion Linear Accelerator and in  $^{102}\text{Pd}(\text{p},3\text{n})$  with E=39 MeV proton beam from the Harwell Variable Energy Cyclotron.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors and positrons were detected with a HPGe detector. Measured  $E\varepsilon$ ,  $I\varepsilon$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma(\theta)$ ,  $x\gamma$ -coin,  $\beta^+\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma\gamma(t)$ . Deduced levels,  $J$ ,  $\pi$ , parent  $T_{1/2}$ , decay branching ratios, log  $ft$ .

[1980Ha20](#):  $^{100}\text{Ag}$  source was produced in  $^{92}\text{Mo}(^{12}\text{C},\text{p}3\text{n})$  with 40 MeV proton beam from the McGill synchrocyclotron.  $\gamma$  rays were detected with two Ge(Li) detectors and positrons were detected with a plastic  $\Delta E$ -E counter telescope. Measured  $E\varepsilon$ ,  $I\varepsilon$ ,  $\gamma\gamma$ -coin,  $\beta^+\gamma$ -coin,  $\gamma(t)$ . Deduced levels,  $J$ ,  $\pi$ , parent  $T_{1/2}$ , decay branching ratios, log  $ft$ .

The level scheme is not considered as well established as that for the decay of  $^{100}\text{Ag}$  g.s.

Total decay energy deposit of 7415 keV 386 calculated by RADLIST code is consistent with the expected value of 7090 keV 18.

 $^{100}\text{Pd}$  Levels

$E(\text{level})^\dagger$	$J^\pi \ddagger$
0.0	$0^+$
665.69 10	$2^+$
1416.48 14	$4^+$
1523.6 3	(1,2 $^+$ )
1587.98 12	2( $^+$ )
2359.7 4	(2 $^+$ )
2532.29 25	(2 $^+$ )
2621.9 4	(1 $^-$ to 4 $^+$ )
2784.2 5	(1 $^+, 2^+, 3^+$ )
3236.1 4	(2 $^+, 3^+$ )

$^\dagger$  From least-squares fit to  $E\varepsilon$  data.

$\ddagger$  From the Adopted Levels.

 $\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations

$E(\text{decay})$	$E(\text{level})$	$I\beta^+ \ddagger$	$I\varepsilon \ddagger$	$\text{Log } ft^\dagger$	$I(\varepsilon+\beta^+) \ddagger \ddagger$	Comments
(3854 18)	3236.1	5.5 11	0.99 20	5.6 1	6.5 13	av $E\beta=1279.5$ 85; $\varepsilon K=0.1325$ 22; $\varepsilon L=0.0165$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.00399$ 7
(4306 18)	2784.2	8.7 3	1.01 4	5.69 4	9.7 3	av $E\beta=1491.7$ 85; $\varepsilon K=0.0899$ 14; $\varepsilon L=0.01117$ 17; $\varepsilon M+=0.00270$ 4
(4469# 18)	2621.9	<3	<0.3	>6.3	<3	av $E\beta=1568.3$ 86; $\varepsilon K=0.0789$ 12; $\varepsilon L=0.00980$ 14; $\varepsilon M+=0.00237$ 4
(4558 18)	2532.29	8 3	0.8 3	5.9 2	9 3	av $E\beta=1610.7$ 86; $\varepsilon K=0.0736$ 11; $\varepsilon L=0.00914$ 13; $\varepsilon M+=0.00221$ 4
(4731 18)	2359.7	12.9 17	1.04 14	5.8 1	13.9 18	av $E\beta=1692.5$ 86; $\varepsilon K=0.0646$ 9; $\varepsilon L=0.00802$ 11; $\varepsilon M+=0.00194$ 3
(5503 18)	1587.98	14.1 22	0.65 10	6.1 1	14.7 23	av $E\beta=2060.6$ 87; $\varepsilon K=0.0381$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.00472$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.001143$ 14
(5567 18)	1523.6	8.2 21	0.36 9	6.4 1	8.6 22	av $E\beta=2091.4$ 87; $\varepsilon K=0.0366$ 5; $\varepsilon L=0.00454$ 6; $\varepsilon M+=0.001098$ 13

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

---

**$^{100}\text{Ag } \varepsilon$  decay (2.24 min)    1983Ra10,1980Ha20 (continued)**

---

$\varepsilon, \beta^+$  radiations (continued)

E(decay) (5674 <sup>#</sup> 18)	E(level) 1416.48	I $\beta^+$ <sup>†</sup> <6	I $e^{\pm}$ <sup>‡</sup> <0.2	Log $f\bar{t}$ <sup>†</sup> >6.6	I( $\varepsilon + \beta^+$ ) <sup>†‡</sup> <6	Comments
(6425 18)	665.69	36 8	0.95 21	6.1 1	37 8	av $E\beta=2142.8$ 87; $\varepsilon K=0.0342$ 4; $\varepsilon L=0.00425$ 5; $\varepsilon M+=0.001028$ 12 $I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)$ : no direct feeding is expected from $(2)^+$ parent to 1416, $4^+$ state. Apparent feeding is probably due to missing feeding from $\gamma$ transitions from higher levels. av $E\beta=2504.3$ 87; $\varepsilon K=0.02236$ 22; $\varepsilon L=0.00277$ 3; $\varepsilon M+=0.000670$ 7 $(\beta^+)(666\gamma)$ data of 1980Ha20 gives $\beta^+$ (endpoint)= $5.3 \times 10^3$ 2 and $\beta^+$ singles gives $\beta^+$ (endpoint)= $5.4 \times 10^3$ 2, which shows definite $\beta^+$ feeding to the 666 level and little feeding to the g.s. as expected from $\Delta J^\pi$ .

<sup>†</sup> Considered as approximate since the level scheme is not well established. Decay branching ratios are deduced from  $I(\gamma+ce)$  intensity balance at each level.

<sup>‡</sup> Absolute intensity per 100 decays.

<sup>#</sup> Existence of this branch is questionable.

<sup>100</sup><sub>46</sub>Ag  $\varepsilon$  decay (2.24 min) 1983Ra10,1980Ha20 (continued)

 $\gamma(^{100}\text{Pd})$ 

I $_{\gamma}$  normalization: from I( $\gamma$ +ce)( $\gamma$  rays to g.s.)=100, assuming no  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\beta^+$  feeding to g.s. and no isomeric transition from 15.5 level to g.s. of <sup>100</sup>Ag.

E $_{\gamma}^{\dagger}$	I $_{\gamma}^{\dagger\#}$	E $_i$ (level)	J $^{\pi}_i$	E $_f$	J $^{\pi}_f$	Mult. $^{\ddagger}$	$\delta^{\ddagger}$	$\alpha^{@}$	Comments
614.1 4 665.7 1	2.1 10 100	3236.1 665.69	(2 $^+$ ,3 $^+$ ) 2 $^+$	2621.9 0.0	(1 $^-$ to 4 $^+$ ) 0 $^+$	E2		0.00271	$\alpha(K)=0.00236$ 4; $\alpha(L)=0.000288$ 4; $\alpha(M)=5.42 \times 10^{-5}$ 8 $\alpha(N)=9.06 \times 10^{-6}$ 13 E $_{\gamma}$ : other: 665.7 2 (1980Ha20).
750.8 1	<30	1416.48	4 $^+$	665.69	2 $^+$	E2		0.00199	$\alpha(K)=0.001733$ 25; $\alpha(L)=0.000210$ 3; $\alpha(M)=3.93 \times 10^{-5}$ 6 $\alpha(N)=6.59 \times 10^{-6}$ 10 E $_{\gamma}$ : other: 922.2 2 (1980Ha20).
922.3 1	10.0 20	1587.98	2 $^{(+)}$	665.69	2 $^+$	(E2+M1)	-1.77 +32-43	$1.24 \times 10^{-3}$ 2	$\alpha(K)=0.001088$ 19; $\alpha(L)=0.0001282$ 20; $\alpha(M)=2.40 \times 10^{-5}$ 4 $\alpha(N)=4.04 \times 10^{-6}$ 7 E $_{\gamma}$ : other: 922.2 2 (1980Ha20). I $_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 8.4 26 (1983Ra10) and 11.0 20 (1980Ha20).
1115.8 2	11 3	2532.29	(2 $^+$ )	1416.48	4 $^+$	(E2)		$7.91 \times 10^{-4}$	$\alpha(K)=0.000691$ 10; $\alpha(L)=8.09 \times 10^{-5}$ 12; $\alpha(M)=1.516 \times 10^{-5}$ 22 $\alpha(N)=2.55 \times 10^{-6}$ 4; $\alpha(IPF)=9.18 \times 10^{-7}$ 15
x1205.5 3	5.5 20								E $_{\gamma}$ : other: 1587.7 3 (1980Ha20).
1523.6 3	10.0 25	1523.6	(1,2 $^+$ )	0.0	0 $^+$				I $_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 6.2 20 (1983Ra10) and 8.0 17 (1980Ha20).
1587.9 2	7.2 17	1587.98	2 $^{(+)}$	0.0	0 $^+$				
x1639.9 2	2.8 15								
1694.0 3	16.1 20	2359.7	(2 $^+$ )	665.69	2 $^+$	(M1(+E2))	-0.08 20	$5.14 \times 10^{-4}$	$\alpha(K)=0.000324$ 5; $\alpha(L)=3.70 \times 10^{-5}$ 6; $\alpha(M)=6.92 \times 10^{-6}$ 11 $\alpha(N)=1.169 \times 10^{-6}$ 18; $\alpha(IPF)=0.0001448$ 23 E $_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 1693.9 3 (1983Ra10) and 1694.1 3 (1980Ha20). I $_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 17.1 20 (1983Ra10) and 14.0 30 (1980Ha20).
1819.8 4	5.5 11	3236.1	(2 $^+$ ,3 $^+$ )	1416.48	4 $^+$				E $_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 1819.7 3 (1983Ra10) and 1820.8 8 (1980Ha20). It is unplaced in 1980Ha20.
1956.0 4	4.0 13	2621.9	(1 $^-$ to 4 $^+$ )	665.69	2 $^+$				I $_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 4.8 24 (1983Ra10) and 5.6 11 (1980Ha20). E $_{\gamma}$ : weighted average of 1956.0 4 (1983Ra10) and 1956.0 7 (1980Ha20). It is unplaced in 1980Ha20.

**$^{100}\text{Ag}$   $\varepsilon$  decay (2.24 min)    1983Ra10,1980Ha20 (continued)**

**$\gamma(^{100}\text{Pd})$  (continued)**

$E_\gamma^\dagger$	$I_\gamma^{\dagger\#}$	$E_i(\text{level})$	$J_i^\pi$	$E_f$	$J_f^\pi$	Comments
2118.5 5	11.3 15	2784.2	(1 <sup>+</sup> ,2 <sup>+</sup> ,3 <sup>+</sup> )	665.69	2 <sup>+</sup>	$I_\gamma$ : weighted average of 2.3 15 (1983Ra10) and 4.9 11 (1980Ha20). $E_\gamma$ : weighted average of 2118.1 4 (1983Ra10) and 2119.0 5 (1980Ha20). It is unplaced in 1980Ha20. $I_\gamma$ : weighted average of 13.0 30 (1983Ra10) and 10.9 15 (1980Ha20).

<sup>†</sup> From 1983Ra10, unless otherwise noted.

<sup>‡</sup> From the Adopted Gammas.

<sup>#</sup> For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.855 22.

<sup>@</sup> Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on  $\gamma$ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

<sup>x</sup>  $\gamma$  ray not placed in level scheme.

$^{100}\text{Ag } \varepsilon$  decay (2.24 min) 1983Ra10,1980Ha20