¹⁰⁰Cd ε decay (49.1 s) **1989Ry02**

	Hist	ory	
Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date
Full Evaluation	Balraj Singh and Jun Chen	NDS 172, 1 (2021)	31-Jan-2021

Parent: ¹⁰⁰Cd: E=0.0; J^{π}=0⁺; T_{1/2}=49.1 s 5; Q(ε)=3943 5; % ε +% β ⁺ decay=100.0

¹⁰⁰Cd-T_{1/2}: From ¹⁰⁰Cd Adopted Levels, from 1989Ry02.

¹⁰⁰Cd-Q(ε): From 2017Wa10.

1989Ry02: ¹⁰⁰Cd source was produced in spallation reaction of 600-MeV protons on $\approx 100 \text{ g/cm}^2$ molten tin target at the ISOLDE II facility. x and γ rays were detected with Ge(Li) detectors and conversion electrons were detected with a mini-orange spectrometer. Measured E γ , I γ , $\gamma\gamma$ -coin, E(ce), I(ce), (x ray) γ -coin, ce- γ -coin. γ (t). Deduced levels, J, π , parent T_{1/2}, conversion coefficients, γ -ray multipolarities, ε -decay branching ratios, log *ft*. Comparisons with theoretical calculations. See also 2010Ba51 review article.

Additional information 1.

Other: 1970Hn03: (T_{1/2} and a few γ rays reported).

Total decay energy deposit of 4004 keV 98 calculated by RADLIST code is in agreement with the expected value of 3943 keV 5, indicating the completeness of the decay scheme.

E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	E(level) [†]	$J^{\pi \ddagger}$	E(level) [†]	Jπ‡	E(level) [†]	Jπ‡
0.0	$(5)^+$	236.15 17	$(3)^{+}$	952.05 19	1+	1393.15 19	1^{+}
15.51 17	$(2)^{+}$	303.64 14	$(3)^{+}$	1039.45 21	$(1,2)^{-}$	1574.30 22	1^{+}
124.70 10	$(4)^+$	583.38 18	$(1,2,3)^+$	1156.39 20	1^{+}	1892.95 25	1^{+}
155.22 18	$(1,2,3)^+$	886.03 19	$(1,2,3)^+$	1212.69 20	1^{+}	1960.2 3	1^{+}

[†] From a least-squares fit to $E\gamma$ data.

[‡] From Adopted Levels.

ε, β^+ radiations

100Ag Levels

E(decay)	E(level)	Ιβ ⁺ ‡	$I\varepsilon^{\ddagger}$	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger\ddagger}$	Comments
(1983 5)	1960.2	0.076 8	0.47 5	4.94 5	0.55 6	av Eβ=428.1 22; εK=0.7448 19; εL=0.09446 24; εM+=0.02337 6
(2050 5)	1892.95	0.32 3	1.6 2	4.45 5	1.9 2	av Eβ=457.7 22; εK=0.7194 20; εL=0.0912 3; εM+=0.02255 7
(2369 5)	1574.30	1.8 2	3.7 3	4.21 4	5.5 5	av Eβ=599.1 23; εK=0.5793 23; εL=0.0732 3; εM+=0.01810 8
(2550 5)	1393.15	6.7 6	9.1 8	3.88 4	15.8 14	av Eβ=680.3 23; εK=0.4969 23; εL=0.0627 3; εM+=0.01550 7
(2730 5)	1212.69	1.9 2	1.8 <i>1</i>	4.64 4	3.7 3	av Eβ=761.8 23; εK=0.4207 20; εL=0.0530 3; εM+=0.01311 7
(2787 5)	1156.39	1.2 1	1.1 <i>1</i>	4.89 <i>4</i>	2.3 2	av E β =787.4 23; ε K=0.3986 20; ε L=0.05023 25; ε M+=0.01242 6
(2904 [#] 5)	1039.45	< 0.2	<0.1	>5.9	< 0.3	av Eβ=840.6 23; εK=0.3558 18; εL=0.04481 23; εM+=0.01107 6
(2991 5)	952.05	43 3	26 2	3.56 4	69 5	av Eβ=880.4 23; εK=0.3265 17; εL=0.04110 21; εM+=0.01016 5
(3057 [#] 5)	886.03	< 0.71	< 0.39	>5.4	<1.1	av Eβ=910.6 23; εK=0.3060 16; εL=0.03850 20; εM+=0.00951 5

I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$): no direct $\beta^+ + \varepsilon$ feeding is expected from 0^+ parent state to 886-keV state for J=2 and 3. Apparent weak feeding is possibly due to weak unobserved γ transitions from higher levels.

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

$^{100}\mathrm{Cd}\,\varepsilon$ decay (49.1 s) 1989Ry02 (continued)

ϵ, β^+ radiations (continued)

E(decay)	E(level)	Iβ ⁺ ‡	Ie‡	Log ft	$I(\varepsilon + \beta^+)^{\dagger \ddagger}$	Comments
(3360 [#] 5)	583.38	<0.5	< 0.2	>5.8	<0.7	av Eβ=1049.8 24; εK=0.2275 11; εL=0.02859 14; εM+=0.00706 4
						I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$): no direct $\beta^+ + \varepsilon$ feeding is expected from 0 ⁺ parent state to 583-keV state for J=2 and 3. Apparent weak feeding is possibly due to weak unobserved γ transitions from higher levels.
(3639 [#] 5)	303.64	0.7 5	0.2 1	5.9 <i>3</i>	0.9 6	av $E\beta$ =1179.5 24; εK =0.1745 9; εL =0.02191 11; εM +=0.00541 3
						I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$): no direct $\beta^+ + \varepsilon$ feeding is expected from 0^+ parent state to 304, (3) ⁺ state. Apparent weak feeding is possibly due to weak unobserved γ transitions from higher levels.
(3707 [#] 5)	236.15	0.7 3	0.2 1	5.93 20	0.9 4	av Eβ=1210.9 24; εK=0.1640 8; εL=0.02059 10; εM+=0.005086 24
						I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$): no direct $\beta^+ + \varepsilon$ feeding is expected from 0 ⁺ parent state to 236, (3) ⁺ state. Apparent weak feeding is possibly due to weak unobserved γ transitions from higher levels.
(3788 [#] 5)	155.22	< 0.82	<0.18	>5.9	<1.0	av Eβ=1248.6 24; εK=0.1524 7; εL=0.01912 9; εM+=0.004724 22
						I($\varepsilon + \beta^+$): no direct $\beta^+ + \varepsilon$ feeding is expected from 0 ⁺ parent state to 155-keV state for J=2 and 3. Apparent weak feeding is possibly due to weak unobserved γ transitions from higher levels.

[†] From I(γ+ce) balance at each level.
[‡] Absolute intensity per 100 decays.
[#] Existence of this branch is questionable.

$\gamma(^{100}\text{Ag})$

Iy normalization: from $\Sigma(I(\gamma+ce \text{ to g.s. and 15-keV level})=100$. No ε feeding is expected to g.s. and 15-keV level. Unplaced intensity is $\approx 0.6\%$.

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E_i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^{π}	\mathbf{E}_{f}	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult.#	δ#	α &	Comments
111.4 2	0.32 4	236.15	(3)+	124.70	(4)+	M1(+E2)	<0.5	0.38 7	%Iγ=0.21 3 $\alpha(K)=0.32$ 5; $\alpha(L)=0.048$ 15; $\alpha(M)=0.009$ 3 $\alpha(N)=0.0015$ 5; $\alpha(O)=5.7\times10^{-5}$ 7 Mult : $\alpha(K)$ eyn=0.23 11
117.0 2	0.14 <i>3</i>	1156.39	1+	1039.45	(1,2) ⁻	[E1]		0.1020	Identitie $\alpha(K) < \alpha p = 0.25$ 17. Ice(K)=0.074 32. %Iγ=0.092 21 $\alpha(K)=0.0888$ 14; $\alpha(L)=0.01078$ 16; $\alpha(M)=0.00203$ 3
124.70 10	5.6 4	124.70	(4) ⁺	0.0	(5)+	M1(+E2)	<0.1	0.228	$\alpha(N)=0.000346 \ 6; \ \alpha(O)=1.421\times10^{-3} \ 21$ %I γ =3.7 3 $\alpha(K)=0.198 \ 4; \ \alpha(L)=0.0247 \ 6; \ \alpha(M)=0.00471 \ 11$ $\alpha(N)=0.000814 \ 18; \ \alpha(O)=3.72\times10^{-5} \ 6$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.18 2. Ice(K)=1.0 1.

 $^{100}_{47}\text{Ag}_{53}\text{-}3$

$\gamma(^{100}\text{Ag})$ (continued)											
E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E _i (level)	J_i^π	E_f	J_f^π	Mult. [#]	δ #	α &	Comments		
139.71 10	10.2 6	155.22	(1,2,3)+	15.51	(2)+	M1(+E2)	<0.3	0.177 12	%Iγ=6.7 5 $\alpha(K)=0.152 \ 9; \ \alpha(L)=0.0199 \ 22;$ $\alpha(M)=0.0038 \ 5$ $\alpha(N)=0.00065 \ 7;$ $\alpha(O)=2.81\times10^{-5} \ 12$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.14 2.		
148.5 <i>3</i>	0.21 4	303.64	(3)+	155.22	(1,2,3)+	[M1,E2]		0.25 11	Ice(K)=1.4 2. %I γ =0.14 3 α (K)=0.20 9; α (L)=0.035 20; α (M)=0.007 4 α (N)=0.0011 7; α (O)=3.3×10 ⁻⁵ 11		
^x 164.3 4 173.2 2	0.09 <i>3</i> 0.76 <i>6</i>	1212.69	1+	1039.45	(1,2)-	E1		0.0334	%I γ =0.059 20 %I γ =0.50 5 $\alpha(K)$ =0.0291 5; $\alpha(L)$ =0.00348 5; $\alpha(M)$ =0.000657 10 $\alpha(N)$ =0.0001124 17; $\alpha(O)$ =4.83×10 ⁻⁶ 7 Mult.: $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.030 7 gives $\delta < 0.13$		
178.95 10	7.0 5	303.64	(3)+	124.70	(4)+	M1(+E2)	<0.4	0.091 7	Ice(K)=0.023 5. %Iγ=4.6 4 α (K)=0.079 6; α (L)=0.0101 12; α (M)=0.00194 23 α (N)=0.00033 4; α (O)=1.45×10 ⁻⁵ 8 Mult.: α (K)exp=0.076 9.		
220.65 10	5.5 4	236.15	(3)+	15.51	(2)+	M1(+E2)	<0.8	0.056 8	Ice(K)=0.53 5. %I γ =3.6 3 α (K)=0.048 7; α (L)=0.0064 13; α (M)=0.00122 25 α (N)=0.00021 4; α (O)=8.7×10 ⁻⁶ 8 Mult.: α (K)exp=0.047 8.		
270.37 15	0.39 3	1156.39	1+	886.03	(1,2,3)+	M1,E2		0.036 8	Ice(K)=0.26 $\vec{4}$. %I γ =0.257 23 α (K)=0.031 7; α (L)=0.0042 13; α (M)=0.00081 25 α (N)=0.00014 4; α (O)=5.4×10 ⁻⁶ 8 Mult.: α (K)exp=0.023 11.		
288.13 <i>15</i>	3.2 3	303.64	(3)+	15.51	(2)+	M1(+E2)	<1.1	0.027 4	Ice(K)=0.009 4. %I γ =2.11 22 α (K)=0.023 3; α (L)=0.0030 5; α (M)=0.00058 10 α (N)=9.9×10 ⁻⁵ 16; α (O)=4.2×10 ⁻⁶ 4 Mult.: α (K)exp=0.023 3.		
302.8 <i>3</i>	0.17 6	886.03	(1,2,3)+	583.38	(1,2,3)+	[M1,E2]		0.026 5	Ice(K)=0.073 8. %I γ =0.11 4 α (K)=0.022 4; α (L)=0.0029 8; α (M)=0.00056 14 α (N)=9.6×10 ⁻⁵ 23; α (O)=3.9×10 ⁻⁶ 5		
347.23 15	3.2 3	583.38	$(1,2,3)^+$	236.15	(3)+	M1,E2		0.0171 22	%Iγ=2.11 22		

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

 $^{100}_{47}\mathrm{Ag}_{53}$ -4

¹⁰⁰Cd ε decay (49.1 s) **1989Ry02** (continued)

$\gamma(^{100}\text{Ag})$ (continued)

E_{γ}^{\dagger}	$I_{\gamma}^{\dagger @}$	E_i (level)	\mathbf{J}_i^π	E_f	\mathbf{J}_f^{π}	Mult.#	<i>δ</i> #	α ^{&}	Comments
361.4 <i>3</i> 368.70 <i>15</i>	0.33 <i>11</i> 7.0 5	1574.30 952.05	1+ 1+	1212.69 583.38	1^+ $(1,2,3)^+$	M1,E2	_	0.0144 16	$\alpha(K)=0.0148 \ 18; \ \alpha(L)=0.0019$ 4; $\alpha(M)=0.00037 \ 7$ $\alpha(N)=6.3\times10^{-5} \ 11;$ $\alpha(O)=2.62\times10^{-6} \ 20$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.017 \ 2.$ Ice(K)=0.054 5. %Iy=0.22 8 %Iy=4.6 4 $\alpha(K)=0.0124 \ 12; \ \alpha(L)=0.0016$ 3; $\alpha(M)=0.00031 \ 5$ $\alpha(N)=5.2\times10^{-5} \ 8;$
428.20 15	6.9 5	583.38	(1,2,3)+	155.22	(1,2,3)+	M1(+E2)	<1.3	0.0092 4	$\alpha(O)=2.22\times10^{-6} I3$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)$ exp=0.014 2. Ice(K)=0.097 11. %I γ =4.6 4 $\alpha(K)$ =0.0080 3; $\alpha(L)$ =0.00099 7; $\alpha(M)$ =0.000189 14
441.10 <i>15</i>	1.15 11	1393.15	1+	952.05	1+	M1,E2		0.0087 5	$\alpha(N)=3.25\times10^{-5} 22;$ $\alpha(O)=1.46\times10^{-6} 3$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0074 8.$ Ice(K)=0.051 4. %Iy=0.76 8 $\alpha(K)=0.0075 4; \alpha(L)=0.00095$ 9; $\alpha(M)=0.000180 18$ $\alpha(K)=2.1\times10^{-5} 2.$
500.0 <i>5</i> 507.25 <i>25</i>	0.13 <i>4</i> 8.4 <i>16</i>	1892.95 1393.15	1+ 1+	1393.15 886.03	1^+ (1,2,3) ⁺	M1,E2		0.00597 12	$\alpha(N)=3.1\times10^{-5} 3;$ $\alpha(O)=1.355\times10^{-6} 25$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0078 12.$ Ice(K)=0.009 1. %Iy=0.09 3 %Iy=5.5 11 $\alpha(K)=0.00519 9;$ $\alpha(L)=0.00064 4;$ $\alpha(M)=0.000122 7$
^x 525.5 3 535.0 3 567.90 15	0.61 7 0.31 5 7.9 5	1574.30 583.38	1+ (1,2,3)+	1039.45 15.51	$(1,2)^{-}$ $(2)^{+}$	M1,E2		0.00445 8	$\alpha(N)=2.10\times10^{-5} I0;$ $\alpha(O)=9.36\times10^{-7} 22$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0042 I4.$ Ice(K)=0.035 I0. %Iy=0.40 5 %Iy=0.20 4 %Iy=5.2 4 $\alpha(K)=0.00387 8;$ $\alpha(L)=0.000473 I2;$ $\alpha(M)=8.99\times10^{-5} 23$
573.1 <i>4</i> 582.5 <i>3</i>	0.39 8 9.5 6	1156.39 886.03	1^+ (1,2,3) ⁺	583.38 303.64	$(1,2,3)^+$ $(3)^+$	M1,E2		0.00417 9	$\alpha(N)=1.55\times10^{-5} 4;$ $\alpha(O)=7.0\times10^{-7} 3$ Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0043 6.$ Ice(K)=0.034 4. %I γ =0.26 6 %I γ =6.3 5 $\alpha(K)=0.00363 9;$ $\alpha(L)=0.000442 9;$ $\alpha(M)=8.40\times10^{-5} 18$ $\alpha(N)=1.449\times10^{-5} 25;$ $\alpha(O)=6.6\times10^{-7} 3$
									Mult.: $\alpha(K)\exp=0.0037/13$. Ice(K)=0.035/12.

¹⁰⁰Cd ε decay (49.1 s) **1989Ry02** (continued)

Ι_γ†@ E_{γ}^{\dagger} E_i(level) \mathbf{J}_{i}^{π} \mathbf{E}_{f} J_f^{π} Comments 1^{+} 0.75 7 1212.69 583.38 (1,2,3)+ $\% I_{\gamma} = 0.50 5$ 629.4 3 650.0 3 1.03 10 886.03 $(1,2,3)^+$ 236.15 (3)+ $\%I\gamma = 0.68 \ 8$ 0.32 10 1892.95 1^{+} 1212.69 1+ $\% I_{\gamma} = 0.21 \ 7$ 680.6 4 1^{+} 688.3 *3* 5.3 5 1574.30 886.03 (1,2,3)+ %I γ =3.5 4 x707.5 5 0.10 3 %Iy=0.066 20 155.22 (1,2,3)+ $(1,2,3)^+$ 730.77 25 2.6 2 886.03 %Iy=1.72 15 0.11 3 1^{+} 155.22 (1,2,3)+ $\% I_{\gamma} = 0.073 \ 20$ 796.6 4 952.05 1^{+} 809.83 20 7.1 5 1393.15 583.38 (1,2,3)+ %I γ =4.7 4 1^{+} 852.0^{‡a} 4 0.15 4 303.64 (3)+ $\% I\gamma = 0.10 \ 3$ 1156.39 870.4 3 $(1,2,3)^+$ %Iγ=0.56 6 0.85 8 886.03 $15.51 (2)^+$ 0.34 6 303.64 (3)+ $\%I\gamma = 0.22 \ 4$ 909.2 4 1212.69 1^{+} 1^{+} 936.55 15 952.05 15.51 (2)+ %Iy=66.0 15 100 6 1^{+} 952.05 1+ 1.7 3 1892.95 $%I\gamma = 1.12 21$ 940.9 3 ^x974.3 5 0.14 4 $\% I\gamma = 0.09 \ 3$ 1^{+} 990.9 3 2.1 2 1574.30 583.38 (1,2,3)+ %Iv=1.39 15 $(1,2)^{-}$ 1024.1 3 1.5 2 1039.45 $15.51 (2)^+$ $\% I\gamma = 0.99 \ 14$ 1057.5 3 1.5 2 1212.69 1^{+} 155.22 (1,2,3)+ %Iy=0.99 14 1074.2 5 0.14 5 1960.2 1^{+} 886.03 (1,2,3)+ $\% I\gamma = 0.09 4$ 1140.79 20 2.5 2 1156.39 1^{+} $15.51 (2)^+$ %Iy=1.65 15 0.30 7 1^{+} $236.15(3)^+$ $\%I\gamma = 0.205$ 1156.8 5 1393.15 1197.12 20 2.9 2 1212.69 1^{+} $15.51 (2)^+$ %Iy=1.91 15 1^{+} 0.71 5 583.38 (1,2,3)+ $\% I\gamma = 0.47 4$ 1309.3 *3* 1892.95 1338.2 4 0.29 10 1^{+} 1574.30 $236.15(3)^+$ $\%I\gamma = 0.197$ 1377.52 20 7.1 5 1393.15 1^{+} $15.51 (2)^+$ $\%I\gamma = 4.7 4$ 1944.7 3 0.70 5 1960.2 1^{+} 15.51 (2)+ $\% I\gamma = 0.46 4$

 $\gamma(^{100}\text{Ag})$ (continued)

[†] From 1989Ry02. Quoted values of I γ and I(ce) are the original values in 1989Ry02 divided by a factor of 10.

[‡] Placement suggested by the evaluators on the basis of energy sums.

[#] From ce data in 1989Ry02, adopted in Adopted Gammas. Conversion coefficients are not explicitly given in 1989Ry02 but plotted in Fig.7 of 198902; quoted values under comments are deduced by evaluators from I γ and I(ce) values in 1989Ry02. [@] For absolute intensity per 100 decays, multiply by 0.66 *3*.

& Total theoretical internal conversion coefficients, calculated using the BrIcc code (2008Ki07) with Frozen orbital approximation based on γ -ray energies, assigned multipolarities, and mixing ratios, unless otherwise specified.

^a Placement of transition in the level scheme is uncertain.

 $x \gamma$ ray not placed in level scheme.



9-⁸⁵8V¹⁷₀₀₁

From ENSDF

9-⁸⁵8V¹