#### $^{9}$ Be(d,p),(d,p\gamma) 1979Aj01

### History

Туре	Author	Citation	Literature Cutoff Date								
Full Evaluation	J. H. Kelley, C. G. Sheu and J. L. Godwin, et al.	NP A745 155 (2004)	31-Mar-2004								
1954B102: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p) E=11.9 M	AeV, measured $\sigma(\theta, E_P)$ . <sup>10</sup> Be levels deduced L.										
1967Bl02: ${}^{9}$ Be(d,p) E=1-6 MeV, measured polarization (E <sub>P</sub> , $\theta$ ).											
1968Fi09: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p) E=1.7 Me	eV, measured Doppler-shift attenuation. <sup>10</sup> Be levels of	leduced $T_{1/2}$ .									
1969A117: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p) E=3.25 MeV, measured $\sigma(E_P)$ , $\gamma$ - $\gamma$ -coin. <sup>10</sup> Be level deduced $T_{1/2}$ , 6.18 MeV $\Gamma$ -level, $\gamma$ -branching.											
1969Ro12: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,P $\gamma$ ) E=2.8 MeV, measured $\sigma(\theta_{\gamma}), \theta_{P}$ ), P- $\gamma$ -coin, $\gamma$ - $\gamma(\theta)$ . <sup>10</sup> Be deduced levels, J, $\pi$ , S.											
1971Cr05: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,P $\gamma$ ) E=4-5 MeV, $\theta_{\rm P}$ =0 degree, measured (E,E <sub>P</sub> ,E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> , $\theta_{P_{\gamma}}$ ). Deduced channel-spin ratio.											
1971Ko41: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p) E=1-15 ]	MeV, analyzed $P_P(E,THETA)$ .	•									
1972Ar31: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p) E<2.5 MeV, measured $\sigma$ (E).											
1973Do02: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p) E not given, analyzed $\sigma(\theta)$ . <sup>10</sup> Be deduced levels, J, $\pi$ , $\Gamma$ -level.											
1974An27: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p) E=17.3 MeV, measured $\sigma(E_P,\theta)$ . <sup>10</sup> Be deduced levels, L <sub>N</sub> , S, $\Gamma$ -level.											
1974Bo48: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p) E=0.9-2.5 MeV, measured $\sigma$ (E,E <sub>P</sub> ). Deduced S.											
1974Fr02: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p) E=0.6-2.7 MeV, measured $\sigma(\theta)$ .											
1975Hu18: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,P $\gamma$ ) E=1.5 MeV, measured P $\gamma$ -coin. <sup>10</sup> Be level deduced J, $\pi$ .											
1975Zw01: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p) E=0.9-3.1 MeV, measured $\sigma(E,\theta)$ , $\sigma(E)$ . <sup>10</sup> Be levels deduced S.											
1976Da15: <sup>9</sup> Be(pol. d,P) E=15 MeV, measured $\sigma(\theta)$ , A <sub>Y</sub> (THETA). <sup>10</sup> Be levels deduced S, $\Gamma$ , J-admixtures. DWBA.											
1977Ba39: <sup>9</sup> Be(pol. d,P) E=12 MeV, measured iT <sub>11</sub> , T <sub>20</sub> , T <sub>22</sub> (THETA).											
1978Al25: <sup>9</sup> Be(d,p $\gamma$ ) E=1.5 MeV, measured P $\gamma$ angular correlation. Deduced population numbers.											
1980De45: <sup>9</sup> Be(pol. d,P) E=1.4-2.2 MeV, measured $\sigma(\theta)$ , vector analyzing power vs. $\theta$ ,E. Deduced resonance, direct process											
interference.	10										
1982Go05: 'Be(d,p) E=12 MeV, analyzed data. <sup>10</sup> Be level deduced S. DWBA.											
1984De46: <sup>°</sup> Be(pol. d,P) E=2-2.8 MeV, measured $\sigma(\theta)$ , polarization asymmetry ratio vs. $\theta$ . Deduced potential parameters.											
1986Go23: <sup>2</sup> Be(d,p) E=18.6 MeV, measured $\sigma(\theta)$ . Deduced vertex constants, optical model parameters. DWBA.											
198/Va13: 'Be(d,P $\gamma$ ) E=12.5 MeV, measured $\sigma(\theta_{\rm P},\theta_{\gamma})$ . 'Be deduced spin tensor values.											
1995Ly03: 'Be(pol. d,P) E=2.5-3 MeV, measured vector analyzing power vs. $\theta$ ,E. Deduced model parameters. DWBA and											
R-matrix											

R-matrix. 1997Ya02,1997Ya08: <sup>9</sup>Be(d,p)  $E_{C.M.}$ =57-141 keV, measured astrophysical S-factor. 1999Bu26: <sup>9</sup>Be(d,p) E=1.0 MeV, measured  $E_{\gamma}$ ,  $I_{\gamma(\theta)}$ . <sup>10</sup>Be deduced level energy. 2000Ge16: <sup>9</sup>Be(d,p) E=3-11 MeV, measured  $\sigma(\theta)$ , integral  $\sigma$ . 2001Ze09: <sup>9</sup>Be(d,p) E=15.2 MeV, measured  $\sigma(E,\theta)$ . Deduced spin-tensor components of density matrix correlation features. L: Label= $l_n$  from (1974An27).

## <sup>10</sup>Be Levels

E(level)	$\mathbf{J}^{\pi}$	T <sub>1/2</sub>	L	S	Comments
0	$0^{+}$		1	≈1.06	
3368.34 <i>43</i>	$2^{+}$	0.125 ps 12	1	0.17	E(level): from (1999Bu26).
					Γ: from average of $T_{1/2}=131$ fs <i>14</i> (1968Fi09) and $T_{1/2}=111$ fs <i>21</i> from (1966Wa10).
5958.3 <i>3</i>	2+	<55 fs	1	0.54	E(level): from ${}^{9}\text{Be}(n,\gamma)$ thermal neutron capture (1966Gr18). This level and its partner are populated roughly 15:1 In $(n,\gamma)$ and 1:1 In $(d,p)$ so when (1969Ro12) deduced that the separation between members of the doublet is 1.6 keV 5 the energy of the upper was fixed. $I^{\pi}$ : from (1969Ro12)
5959.9 6	1-		0		E(level): from (1969Ro12) the separation between members of the doublet is $\Delta E=1.6 \text{ keV } 5$ ; so using E=5958.3 keV 3 for the lower transition the energy $E_x = 5959.9 \ 6$ is obtained for the upper state. J <sup><math>\pi</math></sup> : from (1969Ro12).
6179.3 7	0+	0.76 ps +4-3			E(level): from (1969A117) who summed the level differences for <sup>10</sup> Be(6179 to 5959.9) = 219.4 keV 3 (1969A117) <sup>10</sup> Be(5959.9 to 3368.0) = 2590.30 keV 25 (1966Gr18) <sup>9</sup> Be(n, $\gamma$ ) and <sup>10</sup> Be(3368.0 to 0) =3368.0 keV 2 (1966Gr18).

Continued on next page (footnotes at end of table)

#### $^{9}$ Be(d,p),(d,p $\gamma$ ) 1979Aj01 (continued)

## <sup>10</sup>Be Levels (continued)

E(leve	1)	$J^{\pi}$ T <sub>1</sub>	/2	L S			Comments
6263 7.37×10 <sup>3</sup> 7.54×10 <sup>3</sup> 9.27×10 <sup>3</sup> 9.4×10 <sup>3</sup> 10.57×10 <sup>3</sup> 11.76×10 <sup>3</sup>	3.3 50 3.3 50	2- 150 k 291 k 121 k	eV 20 eV 20 eV 10	0+2 2 0.36 1 0.20	5)	E(level): from (1954Ju23,1969A117). In (1954Ju23) the Q( $\beta^-$ ) values of <sup>10</sup> Be(6.18, 6.26) are reported As Q=-1.592 MeV 7 and Q=1.676 MeV 7, respectively. A difference of $\Delta E=84$ keV 10. Using E <sub>x</sub> =6179.3 MeV 7 for <sup>10</sup> Be(6.18) the energy 6263 keV 10 is deduced for <sup>10</sup> Be(6.26). The authors of (1969A117) had assumed an uncertainty of 5 keV In the level energy difference, but this seems optimistic. E(level): from (1974An27). E(level): from (1974An27). E(level): from (2001Cu06). $\Gamma$ : from (1974An27). E(level): from (1974An27). E(level): from (1974An27). E(level): from (1974An27). E(level): from (1974An27).	
							$\gamma(^{10}\text{Be})$
E <sub>i</sub> (level)	$\mathbf{J}_i^{\pi}$	Eγ	$I_{\gamma}$	$E_f$	$\mathbf{J}_{f}^{\pi}$	Mult.	Comments
3368.34	2+	3367.73 43	100	0	$0^{+}$	E2	$\Gamma_{\gamma}$ =3.66×10 <sup>-3</sup> eV 35
5958.3	2+	2589.9 <i>3</i>	>90‡	3368.34	2+	M1	for comments on branching ratio see (1974Aj01). Also note branching ratio from (1969Ro12).
		5956.4 <i>3</i>	<10 <sup>‡</sup>	0	$0^{+}$	E2	
5959.9	1-	2591.5 6	17 <sup>‡</sup> 8	3368.34	2+	E1	for comments on branching ratio see (1974Aj01). Also note branching ratio from (1969Ro12). Branching=17 + $6-10$ and 83 + $10-6$ .
		5958.0 6	83 <sup>‡</sup> 8	0	$0^{+}$	E1	
6179.3	0+	219.4 3	24† 2	5959.9	1-	E1	$\Gamma_{\gamma}$ =0.14×10 <sup>-3</sup> eV 5 also note that (1969A117) deduced branching ratios that were not reproducible. This problem causes their reported observation of <sup>10</sup> Be(6.18:0 <sup>+</sup> ) to g.s.(0 <sup>+</sup> ) decay not to Be accepted; see discussion In (1975Wa06). E <sub><math>\gamma</math></sub> : from (1969A117).
		2810.9 7	76† 2	3368.34	2+	E2	$\Gamma_{\gamma} = 0.46 \times 10^{-3} \text{ eV } 28$
6263.3	2-	303.4	≤1‡	5959.9	1-		branching ratio from (1969Ro12).
		2894.9 6261.2	99 <sup>‡</sup> 1 1 <sup>‡</sup> 1	3368.34 0	2+ 0+	E1 M2	

<sup>†</sup> From (1975Wa06). <sup>‡</sup> From (1969Ro12).

# <sup>9</sup>Be(d,p),(d,pγ) 1979Aj01

### Level Scheme

Intensities: % photon branching from each level



<sup>10</sup><sub>4</sub>Be<sub>6</sub>