Integral Testing of the ²³⁹Np Capture Cross Section using Reactor Experiments

Ian Gauld, Germina Ilas, Marco Pigni, and Doro Wiarda

Cross Section Evaluation Working Group Meeting Validation Committee Brookhaven National Laboratory

November 20, 2013

PTS #47212





Introduction

- Reactor benchmarks involving isotopic measurements of irradiated fuel have provided important integral data validation (Cs, Sm, Eu)
- In mid-to-late 1990s, many of the primary reports from Hanford reactor experiments conducted in 1960s were declassified
- Experimental data from radiochemistry on discharged fuel elements was measured as the basis for predictive capability at the time of early production reactors, given limited nuclear data and simulation methods available
- In 2010, the DOE Nuclear Criticality Safety Program (NCSP) initiated a multi-laboratory effort to investigate potential use of the historical measurement data from Hanford and Savannah River reactors
- NCSP objective is to compile and review data for potential use as benchmarks for improved integral actinide cross section data for the criticality safety program





Hanford Reactor Benchmarks

CHPRC-01590 Revision 0

Potential Benchmarks for Actinide Production in Hanford Reactors

Document Type: RPT R. J. Puigh CH2M HILL Plateau Remediation Company

H. Toffer

Date Published October 2011

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy Assistant Secretary for Environmental Management

Contractor for the U.S. Department of Energy under Contract DE-AC06-08RL14788



P.O. Box 1600 Richland, Washington

A. D. Anislal Jol19/2011 Release Approval Date

Approved for Public Release; Further Dissemination Unlimited



- Hanford experiments evaluated by DOE-EM for criticality safety program (B, N, and K reactors)
- Nine experiments identified as having sufficient documentation to be used as potential benchmarks
- Experiments performed in 1967-1970
- Declassified in 1995 for public release
- Destructive analysis data from high precision mass spectrometry
- Very low exposures
 - Commercial LWR: ~15,000 70,000 MWd/t
 - CANDU Nat U: ~7,500 MWd/t
 - Hanford: 285 1,720 MWd/t



Hanford B Experiments (1967)

- 18 measurements from Hanford B selected from test programs PTA-069 and PTA-084 (²³⁷Np production) for detailed evaluation using state-of-the-art methods and data
- Low exposure of natural uranium metal
- Destructive analysis of fuel
 - Uranium isotopics (235 U < \pm 0.7% relative assay measurement uncertainty)
 - Plutonium isotopics (239 Pu < $\pm 0.1\%$, 240 Pu < $\pm 0.6\%$)
 - Plutonium generation ($\pm 3.5\%$)
 - Total Cs production (±3.0%)
 - Total Np production (±5.0%)
- Different initial ²³⁶U contents used to investigate ²³⁷Np production





PTA-069 and -084²³⁷Np Experiments

							-	OPERATING	DATA ON T	EST	TUBES	*							
		PTA	React.	Fuel	Chg. Lgth	Proc. Tube	Fuel Piece	Date Chgd.	Dischgd.	Oper Full Pwr Days	r. L Avg. Tube <u>Pwr-kw</u>	Est. Exp. MWD/T	Water o React In	Temp. C . React. Out	Avg. Fuel Pc.	Spec. Pvr. kw/ft Fuel Pc.			
DECLASSIFIED	1 2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	069	В	n neo	32 32 24 32	1079 1179 -1378 0582	11 12 17 18 10 11 16 17 12 13 10 11	8/2/67	9/9/67 10/18/67 1/12/68 1 11/17/67	30 55 116 74	30 1059.0 55 1167.0 16 1239.0 74 911.0	285 575 1721 -	19.9 98 19.2 104 14.4 100 17.3 90	98 104 100 90	69.6 66.8 53.7 49.7 85.5 82.4 64.3 60.6 55.9 71.3 68.9 54.6	50.5 50.5 76.0 55.2 56.8 60.3 99.4 91.7 40.8 40.8 55.4	-10	* B to E	* Burnup from 285 to 1721 MWd/ton U Exposures from 30 to 147 days
		084	в	03N	24 32	1078 3485	17 12 13 4 8	5/5/67	11/17/67 11/17/67	74. 147	1153.0 1262.0	1011 1664	17.3 16.3	93 113	51.4 58.4 54.3 64.5 96.0	54.0 84.2 84.2 28.5 52.1	- DECI	l	²⁴⁰ Pu isotopic contents range from 3% to 17% of total
	19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26	054	KW	кх2.1	45	3659	17 3 13 23(C) 33 43 23(E) 23(Q)	4/20/67	9/13/67	116	1892.8	1711	15.0	91	105.0 89.0 75.0 50.0 28.0 16.0 50.0 50.0	85.4 34.0 91.0 102.0 69.0 18.0 102.0 102.0	ASSIFIED	ŀ	Pu
	27 28 29 30 31	137	KW	K5E	51	2786	14 20 28 40 45	6/7/68	10/4/68	61	1810.0	860	18.1	115	106.0 90.0 66.0 32.0 21.0	81.6 95.9 98.3 77.9 55.6	DUN-7243 RD		



 $\widetilde{\omega}$

5

Hanford Reactor Model



Hanford B reactor lattice (not to scale)





Hanford B Reactor







²⁴⁰Pu Production Paths

- ²³⁹U (n,γ) minor
- ²³⁹Np (n,γ) very important at low exposures *
- ²³⁹Pu (n,γ) dominant production route and higher burnup



*J. HALPERIN et al. An Effective Capture Cross Section of Np²³⁹ for Thermal Reactor Neutrons, *Nuclear Science and Engineering* **1**, 108-111 (1956)





Computation Analysis

- Calculations performed using the SCALE nuclear systems modeling and simulation code suite
- Data processing using continuous-energy treatment in CENTRM module
- Cross sections applied in the ORIGEN code use to simulate results for 18 measured samples
- Analyses performed using ENDF/B-VII.0, -VII.1, JEFF-3.0/A, and TENDL cross sections





Current Evaluated Data for ²³⁹Np (n,γ)





²³⁹Np (n,γ) Cross Sections

Library	Capture cross section (b) *
ENDF/B-VII.0	28.0
ENDF/B-VII.1	35.2
JEFF -3.0/A (EAF)	49.0
TENDL	28.7

* Effective 1-group value for Hanford B reactor





ENDF/B-VII.0 Results (Pu isotopics)







Effect of Nuclear Data Library <u>Relative</u> change in predicted isotope content







Conclusions

- Current evaluated nuclear data libraries appear to dramatically underestimate ²⁴⁰Pu production in very low burnup uranium
- Measurements (< 1% uncertainty) indicate a systematic underestimate of production likely via the ²³⁹Np capture route
- Different evaluations do not reconcile the errors
- Many measurements used in current cross section evaluation are from 1950s; analysis of measurements relied on low accuracy nuclear data
- There is a need to reanalyze earlier measurements using present day nuclear data and modern modeling and simulation tools
- Hanford experiments (1967) document important high quality mass spectrometry data that are currently being evaluated at ORNL to support improved nuclear data for low exposure fuels for safeguards/criticality safety applications





Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the Department of Energy, National Nuclear Security Administration, Office of Defense Nuclear Nonproliferation R&D



