# Standard neutron capture γ-ray data methodology

Richard B. Firestone Isotopes Project, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA 94720



# Introduction

The horizontal evaluation of  $(n,\gamma)$  data for the IAEA/LBNL EGAF database is the primary activity in the LBNL Isotopes Project evaluation plan.

- New measurements for all elements with guided neutron beams are not in ENSDF.
- Analysis of total radiative neutron cross sections indicated widespread discrepancies.
- Statistical model calculations will improve the Adopted Levels, Gammas data in ENSDF and RIPL.
- A new community of data evaluators is being generated around this topic.

A standalone  $(n,\gamma)$  database evaluated by experts should be separated from ENSDF and published independently.

### Summary of (n,γ) analysis



#### **Data Sources**

**EGAF** – only reliable source of cold/thermal capture  $\gamma$ -ray cross section data.

- Standardization data
- Efficiency calibration <1% 0.5-6 MeV, <3% elsewhere
- Compton suppressed data
- Measurements for all natural elements, isotopic measurements available upon request

**ENSDF** – additional relative γ-ray measurements from other sources, e.g. Grenoble crystal data. Also decay data. **XUNDL/Literature** – updates beyond ENSDF

Atlas on Neutron Resonances – recommended  $\sigma_0$  data, references.

**CSISRS** – references to cross sections with data included **DDEP** – decay data library

### **Level Scheme**

- Starting with ENSDF Adopted Levels, Gammas place capture γ-ray into the level scheme.
- Check literature for relevant new level data and update the level scheme as necessary
- Add unobserved γ-rays from Adopted Gammas using adopted branching ratios.
- Add conversion coefficients using BRICC.
- Check the intensity balance through the level scheme.
  - 1. If statistically self consistent go on to next step.
  - 2. If not, re-evaluate level scheme for possible errors and revise if possible.

#### **DICEBOX Calculations**

- If level scheme is fairly complete, typically for Z<20, no calculations are needed. Go to next step.
- Choose DICEBOX parameters for level density and photon strength based on local systematics.
- Run DICEBOX.
- Compare calculated capture state width with calculation and check the population/depopulation plot.
- Vary input parameters and repeat calculation until good agreement is reached.
- Check for outliers in the population/depopulation plot. These are likely to be due to problems with the data. Try different spins/parities to improve the comparison. Go back to previous step to resolve the problem.

This tends to be the most difficult part of the analysis

## **Analysis of Activation Decay Data**

During prompt  $(n,\gamma)$  measurement delayed  $\gamma$ -rays from activation products are also seen. They must be corrected for saturation during bombardment where the total number of  $\gamma$ -ray decays at infinite time  $N_0$  is given by

$$N_0 = N(1-e^{-\lambda t})/e^{-\lambda t}$$

Where N is the observed number of  $\gamma$ -ray decays observed during bombardment,  $\lambda = ln(2)/t_{1/2}$ , and t is the bombardment time.

#### **Cross Section Calculation**

**Prompt Gammas** 

 $\sigma_0 = \Sigma \sigma_{\gamma}(GS)^{expt, E < Ecrit} + \Sigma \sigma_{\gamma}(GS)^{calc, E > Ecrit}$ 

**Activation Gammas** 

 $\sigma_0 = \sigma_\gamma / P_\gamma$ 

Either  $\sigma_0$  or  $P_{\gamma}$  can be determined this way so both values should be reconciled into a consistent result.

### Publication

- 1. Final results should be published in a peer reviewed journal.
- 2. Detailed results will be published in EGAF database
  - a) Compilation of literature  $\sigma_0$  values and recommended value.
  - b) Adopted Levels, Gamma in RIPL format
  - c) Adopted (n, $\gamma$ ) dataset with  $\sigma_{\gamma}$ , intensity balances, S<sub>n</sub>, and photon strengths for primary transitions
  - d) Supporting  $(n, \gamma)$  datasets from literature
  - e) Adopted decay dataset  $\rightarrow$  DDEP
- Future EGAF will extend coverage to higher energy data