

Evaluation of RIPL Data

Richard B. Firestone

Isotopes Project, Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory,
Berkeley, CA 94720

RIPL – Reference Input Parameter Library for Calculation of Nuclear Reactions and Nuclear Data Evaluations

R. Capote,^{1*} M. Herman,^{1,2} P. Obložinský,^{1,2} P.G. Young,³ S. Goriely,⁴ T. Belgya,⁵ A.V. Ignatyuk,⁶
A.J. Koning,⁷ S. Hilaire,⁸ V.A. Plujko,⁹ M. Avrigeanu,¹⁰ O. Bersillon,⁸ M.B. Chadwick,³ T. Fukahori,¹¹
Zhigang Ge,¹² Yinlu Han,¹² S. Kailas,¹³ J. Kopecky,¹⁴
V.M. Maslov,¹⁵ G. Reffo,¹⁶ M. Sin,¹⁷ E.Sh. Soukhovitskii,¹⁵ P. Talou³

¹ *NAPC–Nuclear Data Section, International Atomic Energy Agency, A-1400 Vienna, Austria*

² *National Nuclear Data Center, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, NY 11973, USA*

³ *Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM 87544, USA*

⁴ *Université Libre de Bruxelles, BE 1050 Brussels, Belgium*

⁵ *Institute of Isotope and Surface Chemistry, Chemical Research Center, H-1525 Budapest, Hungary*

⁶ *Institute of Physics and Power Engineering, 249033 Obninsk, Russia*

⁷ *Fuels Actinides and Isotopes NRG Nuclear Research and Consultance Group, NL-1755 Petten, The Netherlands*

⁸ *CEA, DAM, DIF, F-91297 Arpajon, France*

⁹ *Taras Shevchenko National University, 03022 Kiev, Ukraine*

¹⁰ *National Institute of Physics and Nuclear Engineering “Horia Hulubei”, 077125 Bucharest-Magurele, Romania*

¹¹ *Japan Atomic Energy Agency, Tokai-mura, Naka-gun, Ibaraki-ken, 319-1195 Japan*

¹² *China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing 102413 China*

¹³ *Bhabha Atomic Research Center, Trombay, 400085 Mumbai, India*

¹⁴ *JUKO Research, NL-1817 Alkmaar, The Netherlands*

¹⁵ *Joint Institute for Power and Nuclear Research – Sosny, BY-220109 Minsk, Belarus*

¹⁶ *Retired in 1998, Ente Nuove Tecnologie, Energia e Ambiente (ENEA), 40129 Bologna, Italy and*

¹⁷ *Nuclear Physics Department, Bucharest University, 077125 Bucharest-Magurele, Romania*

Reference Input Parameter Library

¹⁴⁵Eu

number of levels: 276
 number of gamma-rays: 541
 number of levels in a complete level scheme: 22
 number of levels with assigned spin and parity: 6
 neutron separation energy: 10.448001 [MeV]
 proton separation energy: 3.315400 [MeV]

E_{crit} region of interest

Number decay modes

Decay mode uncertainty

Spin selection criterion

NL	EL[MeV]	S/P	F	T1/2[s]	Ng	s	unc	Eg[MeV]	s-info	nd	m	p	mode
							Nf		Pg		Pe		Icc
1	0.000000	2.5	1	5.12E+05	0	u			5/2+	1	=	100.0000	%EC+%B+
2	0.329920	3.5	1		1	u		0.330	7/2+	0		1.000E+00	6.840E-02
3	0.716000	5.5	-1	4.90E-07	2	u	1	0.387	11/2-	0		8.042E-01	1.650E-01
							2	0.716	1/2+	0		1.958E-01	1.320E-02
4	0.808330	0.5	1		1	u	1	0.808	3/2+	0		1.000E+00	4.013E-03
5	1.041710	1.5	1		1	u	1	1.042	9/2-	0		1.000E+00	3.801E-03
6	1.368000	4.5	-1		2	u	3	0.652	7/2-	0		0.000E+00	1.200E-02
							2	1.038	0.000E+00	0.000E+00		0.000E+00	9.773E-04
7	1.459700	1.5	1		2	g	2	1.130	0	0		2.308E-01	1.967E-03
							1	1.460	7/2-	0		7.692E-01	1.728E-03
8	1.500000	3.5	-1	???	1	u	1	1.500	0.000E+00	0.000E+00		0.000E+00	5.093E-04
9	1.567120	1.5	-1		1	c	1	1.567	3/2(-), 5/2(-)	0		1.000E+00	0.000E+00
10	1.599000	3.5	1		0	u	1		(7/2)+	0		1.000E+00	
11	1.600260	1.5	-1		1	u	1	1.600	3/2-	0		1.000E+00	0.000E+00

Missing P_γ

J^π selection

No gammas

Should ENSDF Generate RIPL?

- ENSDF is already the main component of RIPL
- ENSDF evaluators are in the best position to generate RIPL
 - Suggesting most likely spin assignments
 - Proposing missing gamma transitions
 - Including theoretical predictions
- ENSDF can be improved by providing more complete recommended data
 - Using *spin continuous normalized distribution* to order multiple J^π possibilities.
 - More complete information
- EGAF effort already plans to do this for (n, γ) nuclei
- This possibility should be discussed with R. Capote at the NSDD Meeting in Kuwait.