# <sup>239</sup>Pu Evaluations in the Fast Energy Range

# P.Talou, T.Kawano, M.B.Chadwick, P.G.Young, and A.C.Kahler

T and XCP Divisions

Los Alamos National Laboratory, NM, USA



UNCLASSIFIED

Slide 1



### **Nuclear Data Evaluations**

#### Evaluations are based on a combination of

- Model calculations
- Experimental differential data
- Integral benchmark (adjustments?)

#### Strengths and Weaknesses

- Experiments  $\rightarrow$  often precise, but partial only
- Theory  $\rightarrow$  complete, but not accurate or predictive enough

#### Uncertainty Quantification

- First large-scale effort for ENDF/B-VII.1
- A lot remains to be done for better quantifying uncertainties and correlations
- Integral feedback provides some external constraints, but compensating errors may (do !) happen



UNCLASSIFIED



# **Quantifying uncertainties**

#### Current Status

- ENDF/B-VII.1, JENDL-4.0
- Cross sections, Spectra, Multiplicities, ...

#### Remaining issues

- Lack of systematic uncertainties
- Angular distributions
- Fission fragment yields?
- Prompt fission gamma rays
- Are quantified uncertainties realistic?

#### Where should the efforts be focused?

- Prompt fission neutrons and gamma rays
- Capture cross-section
- Inelastic cross-section
- Angular distributions?



UNCLASSIFIED

Slide 3



# ENDF/B-VII.1

# **Covariance Matrices for n+<sup>239</sup>Pu Cross Sections**

 Covariance matrices were evaluated for all important reaction cross sections, prompt fission neutron spectrum (at 0.5 MeV) and multiplicity



Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNSCI Nuclear Physics Working Group, Nov. 17-18, 2011, BNL



### <sup>239</sup>Pu (n,total) Cross Section

- Very precise measurements
- Well understood model calculations



Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNPCI Nuclear Physics Working Group, Nov. 17-18, 2011, BNL



# <sup>239</sup>Pu (n,fission) Cross Section

- Small uncertainties driven by <sup>235</sup>U (n,f) Cross Section standard
- Systematic uncertainties? New TPC measurement should help.



Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NNPCI Nuclear Physics Working Group, Nov. 17-18, 2011, BNL



#### <sup>239</sup>Pu Capture Cross Section

Based mostly on α measurement



Operated by Los Alamos National Security, LLC for NASCI Nuclear Physics Working Group, Nov. 17-18, 2011, BNL

NATION



#### <sup>239</sup>Pu (n,2n) Cross Section

- Chadwick, McNabb et al. analysis of GEANIE experimental data (2002)
- Good agreement with other experimental data and evaluated files, except JEFF-3.1





UNCLASSIFIED

Slide 8



### <sup>239</sup>Pu Inelastic Cross Sections and Angular Distributions

Large uncertainties



Slide 9



### **Prompt Fission Neutrons**

Spectrum: large uncertainties below ~500 keV and above 6 MeV





NATIONAL LABORATORY

UNCLASSIFIED

Slide 10



#### **Significant Differences in the Scattering Cross Sections**





# **Present Experimental and Theoretical Efforts**

#### Prompt Fission Neutron Spectrum and Multiplicity

- Chi-Nu experimental collaboration (R.C.Haight *et al.*)
- Theory: Monte Carlo Hauser-Feshbach calculations

#### Prompt Fission Gamma-Ray Spectrum and Multiplicity

- DANCE recent measurements
- EXOGAM @ GANIL
- Capture
  - Needs more attention to resolve model calculation differences above 1 MeV
    - Might be small impact on many applications
- Inelastic / Elastic in the Fast Energy Range
  - NEUP efforts (T.Kawano) and International efforts (WPEC, IAEA, WINS)
    - Nuclear reaction modeling essential
    - Optical model and fission competition



UNCLASSIFIED

Slide 12

